

11. Comparative Economics and Potential for Increased Gas Use

11.1. Comparative Economics -- Transportation Fuels

In previous sections economic summaries were given for a variety of methods of converting natural gas into transportation fuels. The production costs for these processes will now be compared with market prices and recommendations will be made about the potential attractiveness of doing further research on these processes.

Methanol and MTBE are both established processes. Methanol is in wide use both as a chemical and as an intermediate for use in making other chemicals. Many people have discussed the idea of using it as a transportation fuel. MTBE is manufactured in a number of locations for use as a gasoline blend component used to enhance gasoline octane and to meet environmental requirements.

The Fischer-Tropsch process is a tested and commercial proven process. It has been used for gasoline and diesel oil production in South Africa. South Africa has been a special situation because the Fischer-Tropsch plants were built at a time when the international community was imposing an oil embargo on the country. Thus, the existence of the plants in South Africa should not be taken to imply commercial viability in other areas.

Direct conversion of natural gas to gasoline by oxidative coupling or oxyhydrochlorination plus oligomerization and the production of C₁-C₆ alcohols are in the research and development stage. All of the work on these processes has been done in the laboratory or pilot plant.

Exhibit 11-1 compares the costs of producing the transportation fuels discussed in Section 8.1 to 8.7, inclusive, with prices for comparable transportation fuels taken from price projection tables. All costs and prices are U.S. Gulf Coast, December 1993. Product costs are based on building a grassroots plant.

Exhibit 11-1 shows that methanol and MTBE can be produced from grassroots plants at costs comparable to the current market prices. Based on these results, further research to find methods of decreasing the cost of producing methanol and MTBE seems warranted but will undoubtedly be carried on by the vendors selling the competing commercial processes. It is estimated that by 1996, 52% of the methanol demand will be for conversion to MTBE. Research on methanol and MTBE will continue to be of great interest.

Exhibit 11-1. Market Prices and Production Costs for U.S. Production Facilities

Product/Process Name	Report Section Showing Product Cost Estimates	Production Costs \$/Gallon	Product Market Prices \$/Gallon
Methanol/LPMEOH Process	8.1	0.44	0.43
Gasoline-diesel mix/Fischer-Tropsch Liquids*	8.2	1.51	0.66
Gasoline by Oxidative Coupling	8.3	1.69	0.69
Gasoline by Oxyhydrochlorination	8.3	1.82	0.69
Higher Alcohols by IFP Process	8.4	1.20	0.90 (for MTBE) and 0.43 (for methanol)
MTBE by UOP Process	8.5	0.86	0.90
Compressed Natural Gas (CNG)	8.6	0.73/geg	0.84
Liquefied Natural Gas	8.7	0.85/geg	0.84

*Includes upgrading cost of Fischer-Tropsch liquids. Assumes 46% gasoline and 54% diesel oil in product.

The cost of gasoline and diesel oil by Fischer-Tropsch processing is more than twice as large as the market price for these same products when produced from crude oil. Fischer-Tropsch does not look attractive using lower 48 gas now, nor will it likely look attractive in the future. In fact it will probably look less attractive if the forecast of U.S. lower 48 gas prices growing faster than crude turns out to be correct. The potential attractiveness with gas as a feedstock lies with using remote gas resources. If the cost of natural gas is \$1.00 per million Btu rather than \$2.43 per million Btu as assumed in Section 8 economics, the cost of producing Fischer-Tropsch liquids would go down to \$1.17 per gallon (see Section 10.6).

Due to the high cost of producing C₁-C₅ alcohols, research in this area is not recommended unless there is evidence of the potential for significant improvements. The processes developed up to this time produce alcohol mixtures which consist mostly of methanol and ethanol. These forms of alcohols are not good from a marketing standpoint due to problems with polarity and water attraction. It appears that production of higher alcohols from chemicals other than methane may be more profitable.

Due to the poor economics of producing gasoline by direct conversion processes such as oxidative coupling and oxyhydrochlorination an economic process may not be available for a long time. A lot of research in this area has been done and hope for a breakthrough is diminishing. The economics given for oxidative coupling were based on an ARCO design from 1987 and nothing superior has appeared in the published literature since then.

CNG and LNG are competitive in price with gasoline and therefore are simple, attractive methods of utilizing natural gas for transportation fuels. Further work is needed to make it convenient and readily available as a fuel. As can be seen in Exhibit 8-8, it is more expensive to produce LNG than CNG. There are trade offs in comparing CNG and LNG, such as energy density, transportation and distribution costs to consumers, and vehicle fueling and storage. Currently, CNG is primarily being considered for cars and buses whereas LNG is being considered primarily for large trucks and locomotives.

There is new promise for future commercialization of a process for converting natural gas to dimethyl ether (DME) via the syngas route. A group of companies recently announced that DME is a good diesel fuel because of its high cetane number and low emissions.

In summary, methanol, MTBE, CNG and DME currently appear to be the most attractive forms of transportation fuels that can be made from natural gas. At this stage, production of Fischer-Tropsch liquids, gasoline by direct conversion and C₁-C₆ alcohols are less attractive alternative methods of converting natural gas to transportation fuels from an economic standpoint.

11.2. Comparative Economics -- Chemicals and Power Production

In sections 9.1 - 9.6, economic summaries were given for a variety of ways to convert natural gas into chemicals and power. The production costs for these processes will now be compared with market prices, the economics of using low quality gas will be shown and recommendations will be made about the potential attractiveness of doing further research on these processes.

Exhibit 11-2 compares the cost of producing chemicals and power shown in Sections 9.1, 9.2, 9.3, and 9.6, with prices for chemicals and power taken from price projection tables. All costs and prices are U.S. Gulf Coast, December 1993 except for ammonia market prices. Product costs are based on building grassroots plants.

Exhibit 11-2.

Name of Product	Section Showing Product Cost Economics	Cost of Producing Product	Actual Market Price
Methanol	9.1	\$0.44/gallon	\$0.43/gallon
Ammonia	9.2	\$240/ST	\$140-145/ST (10/93) \$230-232/ST (10/94)
Power by Combined Cycle	9.3	\$0.037/kWh	\$0.049/kWh
Methyl Chloride	9.6	\$116/Ton	\$0.335/lb

Exhibit 11-3 shows the value of the low quality natural gas when producing methanol, ammonia and power (Sections 9.1, 9.2, and 9.3). The LQNG contained 13% nitrogen. The value of the LQNG was calculated assuming the same product prices estimated for comparable plants which use high quality natural gas feed costing \$2.43/million Btu. In addition, the price of low quality gas is shown when using it as a feedstock in a cryogenic nitrogen rejection plant to get high quality natural gas priced at \$2.43/million Btu.

Exhibit 11-3.

Product Made Using LQNG Feed	Value of LQNG When Alternative High Quality Gas is 2.43 \$/MMBTU
Methanol	2.27
Ammonia	2.24
Power by Combined Cycle	2.32
High Quality Natural Gas by Nitrogen Rejection	1.71

The potential for increased gas use in each of the markets will be determined by the economics given above and by growth in domestic production of the product. In the case of methanol, the economics of producing methanol using domestic gas as a feed is estimated to be competitive with foreign produced methanol, and additional methanol capacity additions are expected. Methanol demand growth in the U.S. has been very strong because of the mandate to provide oxygenated and reformulated gasoline. The rate of growth in U.S. methanol demand will decrease after 1995, but will still be sufficient to provide for increased gas use.

The U.S. demand for ammonia has been stagnant and the US production cost for a new facility are not competitive compared to imported ammonia. Increased use of natural gas for ammonia production is unlikely. The possible use of methane as a feedstock for ethylene production was reviewed. Production of ethylene is an intermediate step in some of the processes for producing gasoline and diesel from methane. In fact the economics of producing ethylene appear to be more attractive than going the second step of conversion to gasoline. The research on methane to ethylene has shown some promise but conversion and selectivity have not yet achieved levels to be competitive with use of gas liquids for ethylene production.

The use of natural gas for power generation has been growing in both the industrial and power sectors. Gas based power costs are extremely competitive, gas is environmentally attractive, and gas-based generators have great size flexibility. Future growth is occurring and will continue as long as gas's future price picture remains as bright as it is now.

Methyl chloride can be produced from natural gas but the production process, oxyhydrochlorination, is still in the research stage. Methyl chloride has a fairly small market demand but the oxyhydrochlorination process has added importance, since in a second process step transportation fuels can be produced. More work is needed to bring the methyl chloride manufacturing cost down. The market price of methyl chloride is six times the cost of producing it probably because its current price is based on production of small quantities.

11.3. Potential For Increased Gas Use

At present about 200,000 B/D of MTBE is being produced. This is made from methanol and butanes with the methanol being produced using natural gas as a feedstock. At present, MTBE and relatively small amounts of CNG and methanol comprise the total amount of transportation fuels made from natural gas.

This situation may change. Dimethyl ether (DME) is potentially useful as a new and superior diesel fuel. Compressed natural gas (CNG) is being demonstrated for use in fleet use as a clean burning fuel. Also methanol may increase in usage because of its environmental advantages. All of these would be made starting with natural gas. If by the year 2010 a total of 1,000,000 B/D gasoline and diesel is replaced with gas derived fuels consisting of MTBE, DME, CNG and methanol, approximately 1.6 trillion cubic feet of natural gas per year would be used.

11.4. Estimated Employment Generation of a Gas-to-Liquids Industry

As has been described, some natural gas-to-liquid processes are already competitive with crude derived products and other processes may reach that state with additional research and development effort. When those processes are economically competitive with crude based products, that is, there are no subsidies, then there are favorable economic benefits to the American consumer. When gas derived products produced from domestic gas replace crude derived products, then they have a beneficial impact on the U.S. trade balance.

Construction of gas conversion process facilities will result in employment benefits of creating jobs that are well above average in wages and salaries. The process industries are among the most capital intensive of the manufacturing industries and wages are relatively high. According to API statistics [140] in 1992, the U.S. refinery industry employed 118,919 workers to produce approximately 14.8 MMB/D of products or about 8 workers per thousand barrels per day of product.

By 2010 DOE's Energy Outlook [8] forecasts that U.S. demand for transportation fuel will increase by about 3 million barrels per day. If it is assumed that all of that increased demand were met by gas derived products, an estimate of the increase in process industry employment could be developed. Based on the economic analysis of this report, methanol and MTBE facilities would require only about 80-100% of the total employment of the average U.S. refinery on a per product barrel basis and a Fischer-Tropsh plant would require about 2 times the refinery employment. For new gas-to-liquid facilities, we have estimated that an average of 10 employees for a thousand barrel per day of production would be required. For 3 million barrels of incremental production, the total new process employment would be 30,000 employees. These higher paying jobs in the process industry would also indirectly create additional employment in the plant areas through the multiplier effect.

11.5. Potential Improvements and Cost Reductions for New Gas to Liquids Projects

The natural gas to liquids projects discussed in previous sections are in various stages of development. Some processes such as direct conversion of methane to gasoline via oxidative coupling and conversion of methane to higher alcohols are in the research stage. Other processes such as conversion of methane to methanol and MTBE are established commercial projects with numerous plants. In this section, some guidelines are provided to show what might be expected to happen to costs as a process is developed and commercialized.

Rand made an extensive study of cost growth in pioneer plants [141]. They looked at information supplied by 34 firms and covered pioneer process plants through R&D, project definition, engineering/construction and start-up. Results showed that the ratio of estimated costs to actual costs ranged from 49% in the R&D stage to 93% in the construction stage. Most of the variation in cost-estimation error can be explained by (1) the extent to which the plant's technology departs from that of prior plants, (2) the degree of definition of the project's site and characteristics and (3) plant complexity.

Rand also did several studies to show the improvement cost obtained by building a number of plants patterned on a first-of-a-kind process plant [142, 143]. When they compare costs of a second ~~plant~~ with costs of a first-of-a-kind plant, their results showed that with respect to overall unit ~~product~~ cost/price, the effective range of improvement appears to be between 5 and 40 percent with a norm between 20 percent and 30 percent. Improvements in design and construction cost accounts for a 5 to 10 percent decrease in costs. Improvements in plant performance with successive plants is also a significant factor. An illustration is the three SASOL plants. SASOL I took 4 to 5 years to reach 75 percent of design capacity, SASOL II took 2 years to reach 75% of design capacity and SASOL III reached 90% of design capacity in nine months. The best improvements in costs of successive plants resulted from use of the knowledge of the owner-operator and his experienced personnel and taking time to fully analyze the operation of the first plant before building the next plant. Another factor resulting in maximizing cost improvements in successive plants is based on maintaining similarity between the pioneer and follow-on plant.

Section B.5.3 of Volume I of the EPRI TAG Manual [144] is generally in agreement with the results of the Rand study. Figure B.5.1 shows capital costs/unit of capacity versus time. It shows that the capital cost based on incomplete data is about 30% of the actual capital cost of the first plant whereas capital cost for a plant available for commercial order is about 75% of the actual cost. After building the first plant, the third plant built would cost about 75% as much as the first plant and the fifth plant would cost 50% as much as the first plant. Not much change in plant cost is expected after building the fifth plant.

These studies may be used as a guide in making decisions about the development and demonstration of new processes. If the costs for a new process at the engineering/construction are 25% above the costs for a mature competitive process, it is probably advisable to build a first-of-a-kind plant. Later plants for the same process can be built at significantly lower costs and can reach capacity more quickly and thus compete with the mature process. It is therefore justified for government to subsidize and sponsor the building of such a plant.

References

- [1] Energy Information Administration, USDOE, "Natural Gas Annual 1992" Vol. 1, November 1993, DOE/EIA-0131(92)/1.
- [2] Energy Information Administration, USDOE, "Natural Gas Annual 1992 - Issues and Trends", March 1993, DOE/EIA-0560(92).
- [3] Energy Information Administration, USDOE, "Natural Gas Annual 1994 - Issues and Trends" July 1994, DOE/EIA-0560(94).
- [4] Holtbeg, P.D. et al, "1993 Edition of the GRI Baseline Projection of U.S. Energy Supply and Demand Projection to 2010, Gas Research Institute, June 1993.
- [5] W.P. Acheson, J. H. Hackworth, S. Kaspar and H. G. McIlvried, "Utilization of Low Quality Natural Gas: A Content Assessment", DOE/MC/27346-35417 (DE94000047), Jan. 1993.
- [6] R. H. Hugman, E. H. Vidas and P. S. Springer (Energy and Environmental Analysis, Inc.), "Chemical Composition of Discovered and Undiscovered Natural Gas in the Lower-48 United States", Gas Research Institute, Nov. 1990, GRI 90/0248.
- [7] Energy Information Administration/U.S. Department of Energy, "Annual Energy Outlook 1994 with Projections to 2010", January, 1994, DOE/EIA-0383 (94).
- [8] Energy Information Administration, US DOE "Annual Energy Outlook 1995 with Projections to 2010", January 1995, DOE/EIA-0383 (95).
- [9] Gas Research Institute, "GRI Baseline Projection of US Energy Supply and Demand, 1995 Edition", Baseline/Gas Resource Analytical Center, August 1994.
- [10] Gushee, David E., "Atlantic Transportation Fuels: Oil Import and Highway Tax Issues", Congressional Research Service, Order Code IB93009, updated February 18, 1994.
- [11] National Petroleum Council, "Petroleum Storage & Transportation", Washington, D.C., April 1989.
- [12] Christopher S. Weaver, "Natural Gas Vehicles - A Review of the State of the Art," Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE), Paper Number 892133, (Warrendale, PA, 1989), p. 37.
- [13] American Petroleum Institute, Alcohols and Ethers, Publication No. 4261, 2nd ed. (Washington, DC July 1988) p. 54.

- [14] Frank Black, "An Overview of The Technical Implications of Methanol and Ethanol on Highway Motor Fuels," Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE), Paper Number 912413, (Warrendale, PA, 1991), p. 11.
- [15] Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Mobile Sources, *Analysis of the Economic and Environmental Effects of Compressed Natural Gas as a Vehicle Fuel*, Volume I, Passenger Cars and Light Trucks, (Ann Arbor, MI, April 1990), p.48.
- [16] California Department of Transportation, Division of Mass Transportation, *Public Transportation Alternative Fuels*, Final Report, prepared by Booz-Allen & Hamilton, Inc., FTA/DMT-CA-80-PB92-120120 (Sacramento, CA, June 30, 1992), p. 5-3.
- [17] U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Mobile Sources, *Analysis of the Economic and Environmental Effects of Methanol as an Automotive Fuel*, Special Report (Washington, DC, September 1990).
- [18] *National Petroleum News*, Volume 85, Number 7 (Elk Grove Village, IL: Hunter Publishing Limited Partnership, Mid-June, 1993), pp. 130, 133.
- [19] *First Interim Report of the Interagency Commission on Alternative Motor Fuels*, (Washington, DC, September 30, 1990), pp. 4-29, 30.
- [20] U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Policy, Planning, and Analysis, *Assessments of Costs and Benefits of Flexible and Alternative Fuel Use in the U.S. Transportation Sector, Technical Report Four: Vehicle and Fuel Distribution Requirements*, DOE/PE-0095P (Washington, DC, August 1990), pp. 18-21.
- [21] California Energy Commission, fax provided by Methanex, July 15, 1992.
- [22] Annaloyd Thomason, "NGVs: What Every Customer Should Know," NGV Resource Guide, Volume 1, ed. Ellen Pollock (Denver, CO: RP Publishing Inc., June 1993), p.7.
- [23] PACE Petrochemical Service, Annual Issue, December 1993.
- [24] "Ammonia Strength Has Staying Power", *Chemical Marketing Reporter*, October 3, 1994, p. 3.
- [25] Mark L. Kesler and Barbara Ex (Arthur D. Little), "The Role of Natural Gas in the Chemical Process Industry: Implications for the Future, Volume 2, Appendices, Final Report, January 1989-June 1990", prepared for Gas Research Institute, December 1990, PB91-182535.
- [26] "Methanol Moves into a New Era", *Chemical Week*, August 31/September 7, 1994, p. 36.

- [27] Smock, Robert, "Base Load Power Plans Continue to Expand", *Power Engineering*, April 1994, p. 23.
- [28] Energy Information Administration, USDOE, "Natural Gas 1994: Issue and Trends", July 1994, DOE/EIA-0560 (94).
- [29] Chem Systems Inc. "Topical Report LPMEOH Economics", submitted to Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., March 16, 1990.
- [30] Jens R. Rostrup-Nielsen (Haldor Topsoe A/S), "Catalysis and Large-Scale Conversion of Natural Gas", presented at 4th European Workshop on Methane Activation, Eindhoven, May 18, 1994 to be published in an issue of *Catalysis Today*.
- [31] Joseph M. Fox and David J. Slocum (Bechtel), "The Methanol Fuel Option - Cost and Implementation", presented to 1989 World Methanol Conference, Houston, Texas, December 5-7, 1989.
- [32] Emil Supp (Lurgi GmbH), "Improved Methanol Production and Conversion Technologies", *Energy Progress* (Vol. 5, No. 3), September, 1985, p. 127.
- [33] G. L. Farina (Foster Wheeler) and Emil Supp (Lurgi), "Produce Syngas for Methanol", *Hydrocarbon Processing*, March 1992, p. 77.
- [34] N. J. Macnaughton, A. Pinto and P. L. Rogerson (ICI), "Development of Methanol Technology for Future Fuel and Chemical Markets", *Energy Progress* (Vol. 4, No. 4), December 1984, p. 232.
- [35] "ICI's Gas Heated Reformer Will Lower Methanol Production Costs", *Synthetic Fuels Report*, September 1990, p. 1-18.
- [36] J. Ladebeck (Sud-Chemie AG), "Improve Methanol Synthesis", *Hydrocarbon Processing*, March 1993, p. 89.
- [37] "Assessment of Costs and Benefits of Flexible and Alternative Fuel Use in the U.S. Transportation Sector - Technical Report Three: Methanol Production and Transportation Costs" (U.S. Department of Energy), November 1989, DOE/PE-0093.
- [38] Anne K. Rhodes, "New Integrated Methanol/NH₃ Plant Starts Up in Western Oklahoma", *Oil & Gas Journal*, August 8, 1994, p. 65.
- [39] *PACE Petrochemical Service*, November/December 1993, p. 57.
- [40] Methanol Sections of Petrochemical Handbook 1993, *Hydrocarbon Processing*, pp. 190 and 193.

- [41] J. Haggin, "Fischer-Tropsch: New Life for Old Technology", *Chemical and Engineering News*, October 26, 1981, p. 22.
- [42] Joseph M. Fox, Tan-Ping Chen and Bruce D. Degen (Bechtel), "Direct Methane Conversion Process Evaluations - Final Report, issued in October, 1988", July, 1988, prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC22-87PC9814.
- [43] R.D. Srivastava (Burns and Roe) and V. U. S. Rao, G. Cinquegrane and G. J. Stiegel (PETC), "Catalysts for Fischer-Tropsch", *Hydrocarbon Processing*, February 1990, p. 59.
- [44] M. E. Dry, "The Sasol Route to Fuels", *Chemtech*, December 1982, p. 744.
- [45] "The Cost of Liquid Fuels From Coal Part II: Fischer-Tropsch Liquids", a report by IEA Coal Research, November 1984, IEA/EAS/E3/2.
- [46] Mary L. Riekema, Anthony G. Vickers, Edward C. Haun and Robert C. Koltz (UOP), *Chemical Engineering Progress*, April 1982, p. 86. The complete study on this reference is based is UOP Inc. "Comparison of Fischer-Tropsch Reactor Systems - Phase I", Final Report, DOE Contract DEA CO1-78ET/0/59 (1981).
- [47] J. M. Fox, B. D. Degen, Grover Cady, F. D. Deslate and R. L. Summers, (Bechtel), "Final Report - Slurry Reactor Design Studies", DOE Project No. DE-AC22-89PC89867, June 1990.
- [48] Maarten van der Burgt, Jaap van Klinker and Tjong Sie, "The Shell Middle Distillate Synthesis Process", *Petroleum Review*, The Institute of Petroleum, April 1990, p. 204.
- [49] Alan H. Singleton (Energy International), "Technology Development for Cobalt Fischer-Tropsch Catalyst", proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. II, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, p. 877.
- [50] A. H. Singleton (Energy International Corp) R. Oukaci, J. G. Goodwin and G. Marcellin (University of Pittsburgh), "Technology Development for Cobalt F-T Catalysts", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 7-8, 1994, Pittsburgh, PA, pp. 427-433.
- [51] Fred L. Tungate et al (University of Kentucky), "Technology Development for Iron Fischer-Tropsch Catalysts", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. II, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, p. 879.
- [52] B. H. Davis (University of Kentucky), "Technology Development for Iron Fischer-Tropsch Catalysts", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 7-8, 1994, Pittsburgh, PA., pp. 373-387.

[53] R. R. Frame and H. B. Gala (UOP), "Fischer-Tropsch Iron Catalyst Development", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. II, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, p. 911.

[54] D. B. Bukur, X. Lang, M. Koranne and L. Nowicki (Texas A&M), "Pretreatment Effect on Process Evaluation Studies of Precipitated Iron Fischer-Tropsch Catalysts", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. II, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, p. 943.

[55] Gerald N. Choi and Samuel S. Tam (Bechtel Corp. R&D), Joseph M. Fox (Consultant), Sheldon Kramer (Amoco) and John J. Marano (Burns and Roe Service Corp.), "Process Designs/Simulation Models for Advanced Fischer-Tropsch Technology", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 7-8, 1994, Pittsburgh, PA., pp. 463-477.

[56] D. Mahajan, E. Zuckerman and A. Kobayashi (Brookhaven National Laboratory), "Particle Size Dependence in Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, September 7-8, 1994, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, Pittsburgh, PA., pp. 479-494.

[57] David Gray and Glen Tomlinson (the MITRE Corporation) "Comparison of Coal and Natural Gas Feedstocks in Indirect Liquefaction", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, September 7-8, 1994, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, Pittsburgh, PA., pp. 495-505.

[58] Robert J. Gormley, Michael F. Zavochak (U.S. DOE/PETC), Paul W. Deffenbaugh (Gilbert/Commonwealth, Inc.) and K.R.P.M. Rao (University of Kentucky), "Testing Low-Alpha Fischer-Tropsch Catalysts", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, September 7-8, 1994, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, Pittsburgh, PA., pp. 389-407.

[59] K.R.P.M. Rao, F.E. Huggins, G. P. Huffman, B.H. Davis and R.J. O'Brien (University of Kentucky), R.J. Gormley (U.S. DOE) and R.J. O'Brien (Texas A&M University), "Mossbauer Spectroscopy Study of Iron-Based Catalysts Used in Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 7-8, 1994, Pittsburgh, PA., pp. 409-425.

[60] Gerald N. Choi and Samuel S. Tam (Bechtel), Joseph Fox III (consultant), Sheldon J. Kramer (Amoco) and John J. Marano (Burns and Roe), "Baseline Design/Economics for Advanced Fischer-Tropsch Technology", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. II, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, p. 1055.

- [61] P. P. Shah and H. E. Fullerton (UOP), "Economics of Upgrading Fischer-Tropsch Products", Proceedings of the Indirect Liquefaction Contractors' Review Meeting, compiled by G. J. Stiegel (PETC) and R. D. Srivastava (Burns and Roe), November 6-8, 1990, p. 383.
- [62] C. A. Jones, J.J. Leonard and J.A. Sofranko (ARCO), "The Oxidative Conversion of Methane to Higher Hydrocarbons Over Alkali-Promoted Mn/SiO₂", *Journal of Catalysis*, Vol. 103, p. 311-319 (1987).
- [63] C. E. Taylor and R. P. Noceti, "Conversion of Methane to Gasoline-Range Hydrocarbons", American Chemical Society Division of Fuel Chemistry Preprints, 32 (3), pp. 307-314 (1989).
- [64] M. Showalter, K. Erkkila and J. A. Shelnutz (Sandia National Laboratories), "Light Hydrocarbon Gas Conversion Using Halogenated Iron Dodecaphenylporphyrin Catalysts," Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. I, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, p. 249.
- [65] Margaret A. Showalter and John A. Shelnutz (Sandia National Laboratories), "Light Hydrocarbon Gas Conversion Using Halogenated Porphyrin Catalysts", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 7-8, 1994, Pittsburgh, PA, pp. 667-675.
- [66] Robert L. McCormick, Mahesh C. Jha and Robert D. Streuber (Amax R&D), "Development of Vanadium-Phosphate Catalysts for Methane Partial Oxidation", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. I, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, p. 277.
- [67] V. Balachandran, S. L. Morissette, J. T. Dusek, R. L. Mieville and R. B. Poeppel (Argonne National Laboratory) and M. S. Kleefisch, S. Pei, T. P. Kobylinski and C.A. Udovich (Amoco Research Center), "Development of Ceramic Membranes for Partial Oxygenation of Hydrocarbon Fuels to High-Value-Added Products", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. I, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, p. 137.
- [68] Y. H. Ma, W. R. Moser, A. G. Dixon, A. M. Ramachandra, A. Boye and Y. Lu (Worcester Polytechnic Institute), "Oxidative Couplings of Methane Using Inorganic Membrane Reactor", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. I, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, p. 161.
- [69] Y. H. Ma, W. R. Moser, A. G. Dixon, A. M. Ramachandra, Y. Lu, C. Binkerd and C. Y. Tsai (Worcester Polytechnic Institute), "Oxidative Coupling of Methane Using Inorganic Membrane Reactors", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 7-8, 1994, pp. 687-707.

- [70] A. S. Hirschon, R. Malhotra, R. B. Wilson and H-J Wu (SRI International), "Fullerene-Based Catalysts for Methane Activation", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. I, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, p. 265.
- [71] H. J. Wu, A. S. Hirschon, R. Malhotra and R. B. Wilson (SRI International), "Investigation of Fullerene-Based Catalysts for Methane Activation", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 7-8, 1994, pp. 709-720.
- [72] John L. Falconer and Richard D. Noble (University of Colorado at Boulder), "Direct Conversion of Methane to Methanol in a Non-Isothermal Catalytic Membrane Reactor", Proceedings of the Fuels Technology Contractors Review Meeting, November 1993, DOE/METC-94/1002, p. 103.
- [73] James E. Lyons (Sun Company), "Catalytic Conversion of Light Alkanes - Research and Proof-of-Concept Stages", Proceedings of the Fuels Technology Contractors Review Meeting, November 1993, DOE/METC-94/1002, p. 108.
- [74] Kamil Klier and Richard G. Herman, "Selective Methane Deoxidation Over Promoted Oxide Catalysts", Proceedings of the Fuels Technology Contractors Review Meeting, November 1993, DOE/METC-94/1002, p. 121.
- [75] B. M. Naasz, C. G. Knutson, R. J. Jarvis and S. P. Ferguson (Dow Corning Corp.), "Development of a Process Demonstration Unit for the Oxyhydrochlorination of Methane", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 7-8, 1994, pp. 735-746.
- [76] Ereik J. Ereikson and Frank Q. Miao (Institute of Gas Technology), "Gasoline from Natural Gas by Sulfur Processing", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 7-8, 1994, pp. 747-759.
- [77] Heinz Heinemann, Enrique Iglesia and Dale L. Perry (Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory), "Steady-State and Transient Catalytic Oxidation and Coupling of Methane", Proceedings of the Fuels Technology Contractors Review Meeting, November 1993, DOE/METC-94/1002, p. 138.
- [78] Mark L. Kesler and Barbara Ex (Arthur D. Little), "The Role of Natural Gas in the Chemical Process Industry: Implications For the Future - Volume I", prepared for Gas Research Institute, December 1990, NTIS Number PB91-182527, GRI-90/0261.1, p. 174.
- [79] N. D. Parkyns, C. I. Warburton and J. D. Wilson (British Gas plc, R&T Division), "Natural Gas Conversion to Liquid Fuels and Chemicals: Where does it stand?", *Catalysis Today*, 18 (1993), pp. 385-442.

- [80] Telephone conversation between J. Lyons (Sun) and R. W. Koch (K&M), July 7, 1994.
- [81] Abdel H. El Sawy (MITRE Corp.), "Evaluation of Mixed Alcohol Production Processes and Catalysts", prepared by Sandia National Laboratories for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC04-76DP00789, SAND89-7151, April 1990.
- [82] Ph. Courty, J. P. Arlie, A. Convers, P. Mikitenko and A. Sugier (Institute Francais du Petrole), "C₁-C₆ Alcohols from Syngas", *Hydrocarbon Processing*, November 1984.
- [83] George W. Roberts, Prasad V. Shertukde, M. Shawn McCutchen, Christopher M. Peeler and M. Henry Lamb (North Carolina State University), "Progress on Developing Technology for Producing Higher Alcohols from Synthesis Gas", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. II, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, p. 765.
- [84] Steve T. Bakas, Joseph H. Gregor and Paul R. Cottrell (UOP), "Integration of Technologies for the Conversion of Butanes into MTBE", presented at the 1991 NPRA Annual Meeting, March 17-19, 1991, San Antonio, Texas, AM91-49.
- [85] T. A. Ring, K. E. Bowers and L. J. McGovern (Bechtel), "MTBE Could Compete With Alkylate For Isobutylene", *Oil & Gas Journal*, April 30, 1984, p. 47.
- [86] ABB Lummus Crest, "MTBE from Field Butanes". Cover letter from Stanley Gussow of ABB Lummus Crest to John Hackworth, June 30, 1994.
- [87] Richard Chavez (Lyondell), Robert Olsen (Rohm and Haas) and Michael Ladisch (Purdue), "Increasing MTBE Productivity Without Increasing Capital Costs", 1994 World Conference on Refinery Processing and Reformulated Gasolines.
- [88] Giancarlo Pecci and Telemaco Floris (Snamprogetti), "Ether Ups Antiknock of Gasoline", *Hydrocarbon Processing*, December 1977, p. 98.
- [89] Richard M. Gialella (IFP Enterprises), Larry Mank, Jean-Pierre Burzynski, Didier Duee and Christine Travers, "ISO-4 and ISO-5: Skeletal Isomerization for Increased MTBE and TAME", presented at the 1993 NPRA Annual Meeting, March 21-23, 1993, San Antonio, Texas, AM93-15.
- [90] J. L. Monfils, S. Barendregt, S. K. Kapur and H. M. Woerde (Kinetics Technology International), "Upgrade Isobutane to Isobutylene", *Hydrocarbon Processing*, February, 1992, p. 47.
- [91] O. C. Abraham and G. F. Prescott (Texaco R&D), "Make Isobutylene from TBA", *Hydrocarbon Processing*, February 1992, p. 51.
- [92] P. R. Sarathy and G. S. Suffridge (John Brown), "Etherify Field Butanes - Part 1", *Hydrocarbon Processing*, January 1993, p. 89.

- [93] P. R. Sarathy and G. S. Suffridge (John Brown), "Etherify Field Butanes - Part 2", *Hydrocarbon Processing*, February 1993, p. 43.
- [94] Kamil Klier, Richard G. Herman, Owen C. Feeley, Marie A. Johansson and Jamie Menszak (Lehigh University), "High Octane Ethers from Synthesis Gas-derived Alcohols", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors Review Conference - Volume II, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, p. 739, Contract No. DE-A622-90PC90044.
- [95] Kamil Klier, Richard G. Herman, Qun Sun, Luca Lietti, Marie A. Johansson and Owen C. Feeley (Lehigh University), "Oxygenates via Synthesis Gas", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 7-8, 1994, pp. 323-337.
- [96] Dennis M. Brown (Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.), "Development of Alternative Fuels and Chemicals from Synthesis Gas", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, pp. 789-813.
- [97] Edward C. Heydorn, Elizabeth S. Schaub, Van Eric E. Stein, Richard P. Underwood and Francis J. Walter (Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.), "Recent Progress on Syngas Conversion to Isobutand", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 7-8, 1994, pp. 747-759.
- [98] "Alcohols and Ethers - A Technical Assessment of Their Application as Fuels and Fuel Components", API Publication 4261, Second Edition, July 1988.
- [99] J. D. Chase and B. B. Galvez (Gulf Canada Ltd.), "Maximize Blend Ethers with MTBE and TAME", *Hydrocarbon Processing*, March 1981, pp. 89-94.
- [100] David J. Miller and Wilham J. Piel (ARCO Chemical Company), "Ether Options: MTBE/TAME & ETBE" presented at the 1989 NPRA Annual Meeting, March 19-21, 1989, San Francisco, CA, AM-89-58.
- [101] Theo Fleisch, Chris McCarthy, Arun Basu & Carl Udovich (Amoco Corp.), Pat Charbonneau & Warren Slodowske (Navistar International Transportation Corp.), Svend-Erik Mikkelsen (Haldor Topsoe A/S) and Jim McCandless (AVL Powertrain Engineering, Inc.), "A New Clean Diesel Technology: Demonstration of ULEV Emissions on a Navistar Diesel Engine Fueled with Dimethyl Ether", Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE), Paper Number 950061 (Warrendale, PA 1995).
- [102] Paul Kapus and Herwig Ofner (AVL LIST GmbH - Austria), "Development of Fuel Injection Equipment and Combustion System for DI Diesels Operated on Dimethyl Ether", Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE), Paper Number 950062, (Warrendale, PA, 1995).

- [103] S. C. Sorenson (Technical University of Denmark) and Svend-Erik Mikkelsen (Haldor Topsoe A/S), "Performance and Emissions of a 0.273 Liter Direct Injection or Diesel Engine Fuelled with Neat Dimethyl Ether", Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE), Paper Number 950064 (Warrendale, PA, 1995).
- [104] J. B. Hanser, B. Voss, F. Joensen, I. D. Sigurdardottir (Haldor Topsoe A/S), "Large Scale Manufacture of Dimethyl Ether - A New Alternative Diesel Fuel from Natural Gas", Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE), Paper Number 950063 (Warrendale, PA 1995).
- [105] E. J. Chang and S. M. Leiby (SRI International), "Ethers Help Gasoline Quality", *Hydrocarbon Processing*, February 1992, p. 41.
- [106] MTBE/TAME/ETBE Processes in Petrochemical Handbook '93, *Hydrocarbon Processing*, March 1993, p. 193.
- [107] 1994 United States Fuel Reformulation Map published by HPI HART/IRI Fuels Information Services in association with ARCO Chemical.
- [108] "Petroleum Supply Annual 1993 - Volume 1", June 1994, Energy Information Administration, U.S. Department of Energy, DOE/EIA-0340(93)/1, p. 128.
- [109] "First Interim Report of the Interagency Commission on Alternative Motor Fuels", September 30, 1990. This commission was established by the Alternative Motor Fuels Act of 1988 (P.L. 100-494).
- [110] "Public Transportation Alternative Fuels, a Perspective for Small Transportation Operations for Use by Operators of Motor Bus and Demand Responsive Fleets", June 30, 1992, work done by Booz-Allen & Hamilton Inc. for California Department of Transportation, Division of Mass Transportation.
- [111] Charlotte J. Pera and Carl B. Moyer (Acurex Environmental Corp.), "Topical Report - LNG as a Fuel for Railroads: Assessment of Technology Status and Economics", January 1993, GRI - 93/0132.
- [112] Gas Process Handbook - LNG, *Hydrocarbon Processing*, April 1992, Pages 115 and 116.
- [113] "Assessment of Costs and Benefits of Flexible and Alternative Fuel Use in the U.S. Transportation Sector -- Technical Report Six: Costs of Producing Methanol from Unutilized Natural Gas", July 1991, DOE/PE-0098P.
- [114] T.A. Czuppon and L.J. Buividas (Pullman Kellogg), "Which Feedstock for Ammonia?", *Hydrocarbon Processing*, September 1979, pp. 197-200.

[115] D.A. Waitzman and D.E. Nichols (Tennessee Valley Authority) and G.W. Alves and J.M. Hyland (Brown and Root Development, Inc.), "Ammonia From Coal", *Coal Technology*, 1979, Astrohall, Houston, Texas, Vol. 4, Table 2, Case 3, November 6-8, 1979.

[116] "Ammonia Process Boasts Higher Efficiency" *Oil & Gas Journal*, May 4, 1981, pp. 270-280.

[117] J.R. LeBlanc (M. W. Kellogg Co.), "Make Ammonia with Less Energy", *Hydrocarbon Processing*, July 1984, pp. 69-72.

[118] *Petrochemical Handbook 1993 - Ammonia*, *Hydrocarbon Processing*, March 1993, pp. 162 and 164.

[119] "A Comparison of Steam-Injected Gas Turbine and Combined-Cycle Power Plants: Technology Assessments", June 1989, EPRI GS-6415, prepared by Jersey Central Power & Light Company and Sargent & Lundy.

[120] Telephone Conversation between Peter Roberts of Solar Turbines and Robert W. Koch of K&M Engineering and Consulting of October 25, 1994.

[121] "Virginia Power's Study of a Shell-Based GCC Power Plant", September 1989, EPRI GS-6493, prepared by Virginia Electric and Power Company.

[122] James J.L. Ma (SRI International), "Comparison of the Mehra Process for Nitrogen Rejection to a Cryogenic Process for Nitrogen Rejection from Subquality Natural Gas - Topical Report", March 1991, GRI 90/0290.

[123] Linda W. Echterhoff and Vijay K. Pathak (M.W. Kellogg Company), "Evaluation of Process Costs for Small Scale Nitrogen Removal from Natural Gas", August 1991, GRI - 91/0092, NTIS No. PB91-243121.

[124] Jorge Gamez (GRI), "Gas Processing Bulletin - Carbon Molecular Sieves for Nitrogen Removal from Natural Gas", September 1991, Gas Research Institute.

[125] J.C.W. Kuo and A.B. Ketkar, U.S. Department of Energy Report, DOE/PC/90009-3, August 1987.

[126] J.L. Matherne and G. Culp, AIChE Annual Meeting, Chicago (1990), Paper 59f and J.L. Matherne and G. Culp (Union Carbide Chemicals and Plastics Co. Inc.), "Direct Conversion of Methane to C₂'s and Liquid Fuels -- Process Economics", presented at the Indirect Liquefaction Contractors' Review Meeting, Pittsburgh, PA., November 13-15, 1989, Pages 253-280 of the Proceedings, Work Done under Contract No. DE-AC22-87DE79817.

- [127] A.K.K. Lee and A.M. Aitani, (King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals), "Methane Conversion Technology and Economics", *Fuel Science and Technology, International*, 9(2), 1991, pp. 137-158.
- [128] J.W. M.H. Geerts, J.M.N. van Kasteren and K. van der Wiele, Commission of the European Communities Report, No. EUR 13061 (1990).
- [129] V.A. Menshchikov, P.S. Chekry and O.B. Shamrai, *Catalysis Today*, 13 (1992), p. 571.
- [130] G. Renesme, J. Saint-Just and Y. Muller, *Catalysis Today*, 13 (1992), p. 371.
- [131] Mark L. Kesler and Barbara Ex (Arthur D. Little), "The Role of Natural Gas in the Chemical Process Industry: Implications for the Future -- Final Report for January 1989 - June 1990, Volume I", prepared for Gas Research Institute, December 1990, GRI - 90/0261.1, NTIS No. PB 91-182527, pp. 206-212.
- [132] "Oxidative Coupling Methane", *Chemical Engineering Progress*, November 1994, p. 19.
- [133] Taylor, C. E., and Noceti, R. P., "Conversion of Methane to Gasoline-Range Hydrocarbons", *American Chemical Society Division of Fuel Chemistry Preprints*, 32 (3), pp. 307-314 (1987).
- [134] B. M. Naasz, J. S. Smith, G. G. Knutson, R. F. Jarvis and S. P. Ferguson (DOW Corning Corporation), "Methyl Chloride via Oxyhydrochlorination of Methane", *Proceedings of Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. I, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, Pittsburgh, PA*, pp. 193-214.
- [135] B. M. Naasz, C. G. Knutson, R. F. Jarvis and S. P. Ferguson (DOW Corning Corporation), "Development of a Process Demonstration Unit for the Oxyhydrochlorination of Methane", *Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 7-8, 1994, Pittsburgh, PA*, pp. 735-746.
- [136] U. Balachandran, S. L. Morissette, J. T. Dusek, R. L. Mievilte and R. B. Poeppel (Argonne National Laboratory) and M. S. Kleefisch, S. Pei, T. P. Kobylinski and C. A. Udovich (Amoco Research Center), "Development of Ceramic Membranes for Partial Oxygenation of Hydrocarbon Fuels to High-Value-Added Products", *Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. I, September 27-29, 1993, Pittsburgh, PA*, pp. 138-160.
- [137] U. Balachandran, J. T. Dusek, R. L. Mievilte and P. S. Matya (Argonne National Laboratory) and M. S. Kleefisch, S. Pei, T. P. Kobylinski and C. A. Udovich (Amoco Research Center), *Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, S U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 7-8, 1994, Pittsburgh, PA*, pp. 677-686.
- [138] Y. H. Ma, W. R. Moser, A. G. Dixon, A. M. Ramachandra, A. Boye and Y. Lu (Worcester Polytechnic Institute), "Oxidative Coupling of Methane Using Inorganic Membrane Reactor",

Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. I, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 27-29, 1993, Pittsburgh, PA, pp. 161-191.

[139] Y. H. Ma, W. R. Moser, A. G. Dixon, A. M. Ramachandra, Y. Lu, C. Binkerd and C. Y. Tsai (Worcester Polytechnic Institute), "Oxidative Coupling of Methane Using Inorganic Membrane Reactors", Proceedings of the Coal Liquefaction and Gas Conversion Contractors' Review Conference, Vol. I, U.S. Department of Energy, PETC, September 7-8, 1994, Pittsburgh, PA, pp. 687-707.

[140] American Petroleum Institute, "Basic Petroleum Data Book - Petroleum Industry Statistics", Vol. XIV, Number 2, May 1994.

[141] Edward W. Merrow, Kenneth E. Phillips and Christopher W. Myers (The Rand Corporation), "Understanding Cost Growth and Performance Shortfalls in Pioneer Process Plants", prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, September 1981, R-2569-DOE, Contract No. DE-ACO1-79PE70078.

[142] R. W. Hess (The Rand Corporation), "Potential Production Cost Benefit of Constructing and Operating First-of-a-Kind Synthetic Fuel Plants", prepared for the U.S. Synthetic Fuels Corporation, March 1985, N-2274-SFC.

[143] R. W. Hess (The Rand Corporation), "Review of Cost Improvement Literature with Emphasis on Synthetic Fuel Facilities and the Petroleum and Chemical Process Industries", prepared for the U.S. Synthetic Fuels Corporation, March 1985, N-2273-SFC.

[144] Technology Evaluation Section (Electric Power Research Institute), "TAG Technical Assessment Guide, Vol. 1: Electricity Supply, 1986", December 1986, p. 4463-SR, Vol. 1.