APPENDIX A

DATA TABLES FOR SELECTED MODULES

The unit emissions data derived for each of the modules are given in the following tables. The source of original data and the assumptions made are given in footnotes to each table, so that the calculations can be repeated. The references cited are listed at the end of this Appendix.

Table 34. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

.

,

.

Module - Gas Well Unit - 10⁶ Btu

.

Environmental Parameters	Fuel Input, Natural Gas
Air	
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	0.23 ⁽¹⁾ 0 0 0.1(2) 0
<u>Water</u>	
Suspended solids, lb Dissolved solids, lb Total organic material, lb Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO ₄), lb	0 0 0 0 0
Solid	
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b	0 0 0 0 0
By-Products	12.6(3)
Occupational Health	
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	2.2 x $10^{-9}(4)$ 2.1 x $10^{-7}(5)$ 3.5 x $10^{-5}(6)$
Land Use, acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu	0.06(7)
Approx. Module Efficiency	96% ⁽⁸⁾

.

•

Footnotes for Table 34:

- (1) a. Natural gas consumed to maintain pumping power in gas well^(A-15) = 0.032 ft³/ft³ recovered.
 b. NO_x emission factor^(A-1) = 7.3 x 10⁻³ lb/ft³ consumed.
 c. Heating value of natural gas (assumed) = 1000 Btu/ft³.
- (2) a. Natural gas loss in gas well operation^(A-15) = 0.0022 ft³/ft³ recovered.
 b. Density of natural gas = 0.045 lb/ft³.
- (3) a. Hydrocarbon recovered (liquid phase)^(A-15) = 0.047 ft³ (equivalent gas volume)/ft³ recovered.
 b. The hydrocarbon is assumed as heptane (Molecular weight = 96).
- (4) a. Total number of fatal injuries in oil and gas production^(A-17, A-19) = 95.
 b. Total energy from oil and gas production^(A-17, A-18) = 43 x 10¹⁵ Btu.
- (5) a. Total number of nonfatal injury in oil and gas production in 1969(A-17, A-19) = 9023.
- (6) a. Total man-days lost in oil and gas production in $1969^{(A-17)}$, $(A-19) = 1.49 \times 10^6$ man-days.
- (7) a. Land requirement for gas well is assumed to be the same as that for oil well.

b. Land use for oil well (see Table A-5) = 0.06 acre-hour/ 10^6 Btu

(8) a. Efficient (assumed) = 96%.

TABLE 35. , ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

, '

Module - Removal of Sulfur from Natural Gas Unit - 10⁶ Btu (output)

Environmental Parameters	Fuel Input, Natural Gas	
Air		
<u>ALL</u>		
NO _x , 15 SO ₂ , 15 CO, 15	Nil 0.025(1) Nil	
Particulate, lb Total organic material, lb Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	Nil Nil Nil	
<u>Water</u>		
Suspended solids, 1b Dissolved solids, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO ₄), 1b	Nil Nil Nil Nil O	
<u>Solid</u>		
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b	Nil Nil Nil Nil Nil	
By-Products .	0.24 ⁽²⁾	
Occupational Health	Not determined	
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	Not determined Not determined Not determined	
Land Use, acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu	0.005 ⁽³⁾	
Approx. Module Efficiency	100% ⁽⁴⁾ .	

÷

Footnotes for Table 35:

- (1) a. Table K-2 (in Reference A-26) gives the following 1970 data from 6 states: SO₂ in Claus plants tail gas at 90% eff. = 441 T/D SO₂ purged from plants not recovering sulfur = 2,335 T/D Total gas production = 26.76 x 10^9 ft³/d. b. Assume 95% efficiency for Claus plants applied to all sour gas treatment plants, then: $\frac{(441/0.1 + 2335) \text{ ton } SO_2/\text{day x } .05 \text{ x } 2000 \text{ lb/ton}}{26.76 \text{ x } 10^9 \text{ ft}^3/\text{day x } 10^3 \text{ Btu/ft}^3} = 0.025 \frac{16}{10^6} \frac{SO_2}{10^6}$
- (2) a. at 95% efficiency for the Claus plants, the amount of SO₂ converted to sulfur is 19 times the amount of SO₂ emitted. Therefore, the amount of by-product sulfur produced is: .025 lb SO₂ emitted x 19 x $\frac{32 \text{ lb S}}{64 \text{ lb SO}_2}$ = 0.24 lb S
- (3) a. Land requirement for a 100 million ft^3/day plant (assumed) = 20 acres.
- (4) a. Energy requirements for desulfurization process were not determined.

Table 36. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

Module -- Gas Pipeline Unit -- 10⁶ Btu

.

#*************************************
0.20((1)
0.304 0
0
0
0
0
. 0
0
0
0
0
· ·
0
· 0 · ·
0
0
0
,
Not determined
Not determined
Not determined
1.0 ⁽²⁾
95.9%(3)

Footnotes for Table 36:

- (1) a. Natural gas consumed to maintain a compressor at 750 psia^(A-15) = 0.042 ft³/ft³ transmitted.
 b. NO_x emission factor for running gas engines^(A-1) = 7300 lb/10⁶ ft³ burned.
- (2) a. Land requirement for pipelines to run a 1000 MW Power Plant (A-12) = 213 acres.
- (3) a. Efficiency (assumed) = 95.9%.

TABLE 37. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF MODULE Module--6 Space Heating(1) Unit--106 Btu (Input)

Environmental Impacts	Nat. Gas
Air	•
NO ₂ , 1b	0.081
SO ₂ , 1b	0.001
CO, 1b	0.015
Particulate, lb	0.005
Total organic material, 1b	0.004

Water

Suspended solids,	1b	0
Dissolved solids,	1b	0
Total organic mate	erial, 1b	0

<u>Solid</u>

Ash, 1b		0
Sludge,	1ь	0

Approx. Module Efficiency

70%

.

.-

Footnotes for Table 37:

.

(1) a. Values taken from Table A-46 in reference (A-26) were corrected to input basis.

TABLE 38. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

•

Module -- Oil/Gas Well, Onshore Unit -- 10⁶ Btu (output)

Tendenskom -		Fuel Input,
Environmental Parameters		Gidde Off
Air		.(1)
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	1b	$8 \times 10^{-6} (2) \\ 6 \times 10^{-5} (2) \\ 3 \times 10^{-8} (3) \\ 3 \times 10^{-6} (4) \\ 4 \times 10^{-7} (5) \\ 0$
<u>Vater</u>		
Suspended solids, lb Dissolved solids, lb Total organic material, Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO4), lb	1b	0 6.2 ⁽⁶⁾ 0.008 ⁽⁷⁾ 0 0
<u>Solid</u>		
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b		0 0 0 0 0
By Products		
Occupational Health		c (8)
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost		$2.2 \times 10^{-9}(1)$ 2.1 × 10 ⁻⁷ (9) 3.5 × 10 ⁻⁵ (10)
Land Use, acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu		0.06 ⁽¹¹⁾
Approx. Module Efficiency		100%

1

109

Footnotes for Table 38:

(1)	a. prod b. c. d.	Amount of oil that becomes air pollutants per barrel of oil duced (assumed) = 2×10^{-5} barrels. Heating value of oil (assumed) = 6.3×10^{6} Btu/bbl. NO _x emission factor ^(A-1) = 60 lb/10^{3} gal. Oil is assumed to be the same as industrial residual oil.
(2)	a. b.	SO_2 emission factor ^(A-1) = 157S 1b/10 ³ gal. Sulfur content of oil, S (assumed) = 2.88%.
(3)	a.	CO emission factor $(A-1) = 0.2 \ 1b/10^3 \ gal.$
(4)	а.	Particulate emission factor $(A-1) = 23 \ 1b/10^3 \ gal.$
(5)	a.,	Hydrocarbon emission factor $(A-1) = 3 \ 1b/10^3$ gal.
(6)	a. b. c. d. e.	Dissolved solid emission comes from saltwater brine. Total brine production $(A-16) = 25$ million bbls/day. Total on shore oil production rate $(A-17) = 3.3 \times 10^9$ bbls/year. 4% of brine goes to streams (assumed). There are 100 1b of dissolved solids per barrel of oil (assumed).
(7)	a.	The brine is cleaned to remove all but 50 ppm oil (assumed).
(8)	a. 196 b. 10 ¹	Total number of fatal injury in oil and gas production in $9^{(A-17, A-19)} = 95$. Total energy from oil and gas production $(A-17, A-18) = 43 \times 5^{5}$ Btu.
(9)	a. 196	Total number of nonfatal injury in oil and gas production in $9^{(A-17,A-19)} = 9023$.
(10)	a.	Total man-days lost $(A-17, A-19) = 1.49 \times 10^6$ man-days.
(11)	a. per	Land requirement for an oil well producing 6200 barrels of oil year (assumed) = 1/4 acres.
(12)	a.	Efficiency of operation (assumed) = 100%.

TABLE 39. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

Module -- Oil Pipeline . Unit -- 10⁶ Btu (output)

	Fuel Input,	
Environmental Parameters	Crude Oil	
Air		
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.009^{(1)} \\ 0.016^{(2)} \\ 2 \ge 10^{-5(3)} \\ 0.002^{(4)} \\ 0.0003^{(5)} \\ 0.009^{(6)} \end{array}$	
Water		
Suspended solids, 1b Dissolved solids, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO4), 1b	0 0 0 0 0	
Solid		
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b	0 0 0 0 0	
By-Products	0	
Occupational Health	. (7)	
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	9×10^{-10} 8×10^{-8} 1.5×10^{-5} (9)	
Land Use, acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu	0.3 ⁽¹⁰⁾	
Approx. Module Efficiency	99.1 ⁽¹¹⁾	

Ę

111

Footnotes for Table 39:

- (1) a. Fraction of crude oil transported by pipeline (A-20) =77.4%. b. Total crude oil transported in $1970^{(A-20)} = 1.58 \times 10^9$ barrels. c. Fraction of crude oil transported by diesel powered pump (A-21) = 16.3% of crude oil transported by pipeline. d. Crude oil consumed to supply power for pumping (A-22) = 1.45 x 10^8 gal/year. e. NO_x emission factor^(A-1) = 80 lb/10³ gal burned. f. Heating value of crude oil (assumed) = 6.3×10^6 Btu/bbl. (2) a. SO₂ emission factor $(A-1) = 142 \text{ lb}/10^3 \text{ gal burned}$. (3) a. C0 emission factor $(A-1) = 0.2 \ 1b/10^3 \ gal \ burned.$ (4) a. Particulate emission factor $^{(A-1)} = 16 \ 1b/10^3$ gal burned. (5) a. Hydrocarbon emission factor $^{(A-1)} = 3 \ 1b/10^3$ gal burned. (6) a. Assumed efficiency of oil pipeline = 99.1%. (7) a. Death rate in oil transportation by pipeline (assumed) =
 0.08 deaths/10⁶ man-hours. b. Man-hours required to transport the amount of oil for running a 1000 MW Power Plant (assumed) = 7×10^5 man-hours. (8) a. Injury rate in oil transportation by pipeline (assumed) =
 7.22 injuries/10⁶ man-hours. (9) a. Man-days lost per death (assumed) = 6000 days/death. b. Man-days lost per injury (assumed) = 125 days/injury. (10) a. Land usage for pipeline (A-12) = 65 acres/year. b. Period of land use (assumed) = 35 years.
- (11) a. Efficiency of pipeline operation (assumed) = 99.1%.

TABLE 40. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

Module - Conventional Refinery, Domestic Crude Unit - 10⁶ Btu (output)

	Fuel Input, (1)
Environmental Parameters	Domestic Grude(0.76% S)(2)
Air	
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	0.023 ⁽²⁾ 0.12 ⁽³⁾ 0.003 ⁽⁴⁾ 0.002 ⁽⁵⁾ 0.025 ⁽⁶⁾ 0.10 ⁽⁷⁾
Water	
Suspended solids, 1b Dissolved solids, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO4), 1b	0.004 ⁽⁸⁾ 0.09 ⁽⁹⁾ 0.001 ⁽¹⁰⁾ Negligible after cooling tower 0.0004 ⁽¹¹⁾
<u>Solid</u>	·
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge (dry weight), 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b	0 0.007(12) 0 0
By-Products, 1b	0.24(13)
Occupational Health	·
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	$1.3 \times 10^{-9(14)}$ 9.6 x 10-8(15) 2.3 x 10-5(16)
Land Use, acre-hr/106 Btu	0.008(17)
Approx. Module Efficiency	90%(18)
	•

•

Footnotes for Table 40:

(1) a. Sulfur content of input crude taken as 0.76% (2) a. Average refinery energy consumption (A-24) = 70,400 Btu/bbl crude oil processed. b. Assume all energy supplied by combustion of crude or refinery products c. Heating value of crude oil (assumed) = 6.3×10^6 Btu/bbl. d. NO_x emission from combustion operations (A-26) = 130 lb/10³ bb1 crude oil processed. (3) a. Assume 0.75% S residual burned as refinery fuel. b. SO_2 emission (A-26) = 695 1b/10³ bb1 crude oil processed 95% removal, no Claus plant tail gas treatment. с. (4) a. CO emission from catalytic cracking catalyst regenerator (A-26) = $15 \ 1b/10^3$ bbl crude oil processed. Particulate emission from catalytic cracking (A-26) = $12 \text{ lb/l0}^3 \text{ bbl}$ (5) a. crude oil processed (after controlled by cyclones). Hydrocarbon emission (A-26) = $140 \ 1b/10^3$ bbl crude oil processed. (6) a. Refinery energy consumption (A-24) = 704,000 Btu/bbl of crude oil (7) a. processed. Heating value of crude oil (assumed) = 6.3×10^6 Btu/bbl. b. Suspended solids emission (assumed) = $20 \ 1b/10^3$ bbl processed. (8) a. Dissolved solids emission (assumed) = $500 \text{ lb}/10^3$ bbl processed. (9) a. Total organic material emission (assumed) = $8 \ 1b/10^3$ bbl processed. (10) a. Phenol emission (assumed) = $2 \ 1b/10^3$ bbl processed. (11) а. Average sludge production rate $(A-25) = 0.08 \text{ yd}^3/10^3$ bb1 processed. (12) a. Density of sludge (assumed) = $60 \ 1b/ft^3$. b. Solid content of sludge (assumed) = 30%. с. (13) a. Assume an average of 0.2% sulfur in the products. Density of crude oil (assumed) = 7.29 lb/gal. b. a. Deaths attributed to the operation of a refinery supplying fuel to a 1000 MW power plant (A-12) = 0.09 deaths. (14) a. (15) a. Injuries attributed to the operation of a refinery supplying fuel to a 1000 MW power plant (A-12) = 6.4 injuries. (16) a. Total work days lost attributed to the operation of a refinery supplying fuel to a 1000 MW power plant (A-12) = 1,530 man-days. a. Minimum land requirement for refinery processing units (assumed) = (17) 2 acres/1000 bb1/day. (18) a. Energy required to operate plant (A-24) = 704,000 Btu/bbl crude oil processed.

TABLE 41. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF MODULE Module-- Space Heating⁽¹⁾ Unit--10⁶ Btu (Input)

.

		•	<u> </u>
Environmental Impacts	. Dist. Oil		
Air			
NO _x , 1b	0.135		
s0 ₂ , 1b	0.263		
CO, 1b	0.030	_	
Particulate, 1b	0.017	•	
Total organic material, lb	0.004		
Water			
Suspended solids, 1b	0.		
Dissolved solids, 1b	0		
Total organic material, lb	0	1	
•			
Solid .			
Ash, 1b	0		
Sludge, 1b	0		
			-
· .	-		

Approx. Module Efficiency

70%

•

.

Footnotes for Table 41:

(1) a. Values taken from Table A-46 in reference (A-26) were corrected to input basis.

TABLE 42. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

•

• •

Module -	Crude Oil Gasification
Unit -	10 ⁶ Btu (output)

.

.

Environmental Parameters	Fuel Input, Crude Oil
<u>Air</u>	·
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	0.08 ⁽¹⁾ 0.03-0.05 ⁽²⁾ Negligible 0.002(3) 0.004 ⁽⁴⁾ 0.3(5)
Water	
Suspended solids, lb Dissolved solids, lb Total organic material, lb Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO4), lb	0.02(6) Negligible Negligible after cooling tower
Solid	
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b	0.06-0.12 ⁽⁷⁾ 0.06-0.12 ⁽⁸⁾
<u>By-Products</u>	1.3-2.5(9)
Occupational Health	· · ·
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	Not determined Not determined Not determined
Land Use, acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu	0.03-0.05 ⁽¹⁰⁾
Approx. Module Efficiency	77%(11)

ł

Footnotes for Table 42:

- (1) a. Plant efficiency of crude oil SNG plant (assumed) = 77%.
 b. 23% of input is consumed as liquid fuel for plant operation (assumed).
 c. NO_x emission factor^(A-1) = 40 lb/10³ gal.
 - d. Heating value of input crude = 6.3×10^6 Btu/barrel (assumed).
- (2) a. Sulfur content of crude oil (assumed) = 2 to 4%.
 b. Sulfur removal efficiency of Claus plant and tail gas treatment (assumed) = 99%.
 c. Density of crude oil 7.3 lb/gal.
- (3) a. Particulate emission factor for fluid catalytic cracking unit^(A-1) = 61 1b/10³ bbl fresh feed.
 b. Fraction of fresh feed to be cracked in this process (assumed) = 1/3.
 c. Particulate removal efficiency of cyclone (assumed) = 50%.
- (4) a. Losses of crude oil to atmosphere (assumed) = $20 \text{ lb}/10^3 \text{ bbl}$ input.
- (5) a. 23% of input fuel is consumed for plant operation (assumed).
- (6) a. Salt content of crude oil (assumed) = $100 \text{ lb/10}^3 \text{ bbl.}$
- (7) a. Solid waste from spent catalyst not worth reclaiming (assumed) = $300 \text{ to } 600 \text{ lb}/10^3 \text{ bbl.}$
- (8) a. Sludges from water treatment (assumed) = 300 to 600 $1b/10^3$ bbl.
- (9) a. By-product is sulfur. Quantity derived from assumed sulfur content of input crude (2 to 4%) and 99% recovery in Claus unit and tail-gas treatment.
- (10) a. Land required for a 100,000 bbl/day plant (assumed) = 600 to 1000 acres.
- (11) a. Efficiency of plant (assumed) = 77%.

TABLE 43. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

٠

Module - Strip-mined coal, West Unit - 10⁶ Btu (output)

Environmental Parameters	With Land Restoration and Treatment of Acid Drainage(1)
Air	· · · ·
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	0.00008 (Bulldozer operation) ⁽²⁾ Negligible Negligible 0.07(3) Negligible Negligible
Water	
Suspended solids, lb Dissolved solids, lb Total organic material, lb Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO4), lb	0.28(4) Not determined Negligible Negligible Nil
Solid	
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazerdous, 1b	0 0 0 0 0 0
By-Products	- None
Occupational Health	•
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	$6.5 \times 10^{-9}(5)$ $3.1 \times 10^{-7}(6)$ $9.6 \times 10^{-5}(7)$
Land Use, acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu	0.16 ⁽⁸⁾
Approx. Module Efficiency	99.8%

4 ·

Footnotes for Table 43:

(1) a. Impacts will be negligible after land restorations. Stated impacts will occur during the actual operation. (2) a. NO, comes from a disel powered bulldozer used for reclamation. b. Time requirement for reclamation (assumed = 4 hr/acre. c. Bulldozer engine power (assumed) = 150 hp. d. Fuel consumption rate(A-1) = 0.5 lb/hp - hr. e. NO_x emission factor (A-1) = 0.37 lb/gal fuel used. Average thickness of coal seam (assumed = 5 ft. f. g. Coal bulk density (assumed) = 82 lb/ft^3 . h. Heating value of western coal (assumed) = 9235 Btu/1b. (3) a. Emission factor (given for suspended particulate from primary rock crushing and for mining of copper ore) = 0.1 lb/ton of overburden. b. Average overburden per ton of coal = 13 tons. (4) a. Rate of silt run-off (assumed) = 5000 tons/mi^2 -year. b. Average thickness of coal seam (assumed) = 5 ft. c. Coal bulk density (assumed) = 82 lb/ft^3 . d. Reclamation period (private communication, EPA) = 3 years. (5) a. Death rate for strip coal mining $(A-12) = 0.12/10^6$ ton coal. b. Heating value of coal (assumed) = 18.47×10^6 Btu/ton of coal. Injury rate for strip coal mining (A-12) = 5.65 injuries $/10^6$ (6) a. ton coal. (7) a. Man-days lost per death (assumed) = 6000 days/death. Man-days lost per injury (assumed) = 182.6 days/injury. ь. Land required for 10^6 tons of $coal^{(A-12)} = 112$ acres. (8) a. Time requirement for reclamation (assumed) = 3 years. b. (9) a. Efficiency of strip mine operation (assumed) = 99.8%.

120

TABLE 44. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

Module - Railroad Transportation of Coal Unit - 10⁶ Btu (output) '

Environmental Parameters	Fuel Input, Coal
Air	
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b	0.02(1) 0.0014(2) 0.015(3) 0.0015(4) Negligible
Hear, 10° Bru	0.0039(3)
Suspended solids, 1b Dissolved solids, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO ₄), 1b	Negligible Negligible Negligible Negligible Negligible
Solid	•
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b	Negligible Negligible Negligible 0.083(6) Negligible
By-Products	Negligible [•]
Occupational Health	
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	$3.2 \times 10^{-8(7)}$ $3.2 \times 10^{-7(8)}$ $2.2 \times 10^{-4(9)}$
Land Use, acre-hr/106 Btu	0.29(10)
Approx. Module Efficiency	100%(11)

•

Footnotes for Table 44:

(1) a. Total quantity of coal transported (A-7) = 695 x 10^6 tons/year. b. Total shipment from rail and barge(A-8) = 8.13%. c. Total shipment from rail (assumed) = 7.13%. d. NO_x emission per 106 hp-hr(A-9) = 15.43 tons/106 hp-hr. e. Assume a 3,000 horsepower required for each 2,000 tons of gross load in a locomotive-train system. f. Average horsepower of the locomotive-train system(A-10) = 74.9%of the maximum horsepower. g. Ratio of average gross tonnate to average net tonnage(A-10) = 2.3481. (2) a. SO_2 emission per 10⁶ hp-hr^(A-9) = 1.1 tons/10⁶ hp-hr. (3) a. CO emission per 10^6 hp-hr(A-9) = 11.9 tons/ 10^6 hp-hr. a. Particulate emission (assumed) = 10% of CO. (4) (5) a. Hp-hr required to move the ton-mill of coal transported by rail per year = 7554.6×10^6 hp-hr/yr. b. Definition and value of the brake thermal efficiency (A-11) = Fuel flow/Brake fuel consumption = (100/(0.456))[Fuel flow] Fuel heating value = $\frac{(100, (0.430)}{(19, 156)(3.929 \times 10^{-4})} = 29.1\%$. c. Energy that the fuel carries into the locomotive = 2.59 x 10^{10} hp-hr/year. (6) a. The fraction of intransit storage-handling dust loss = 0.1% of the total coal transported. (7) a. Number of death occurred on the railroad system (A-10) = 2299b. Total ton-miles shipped by rail $(A-8) = 7.7 \times 10^{11}$ tons/year. c. Ton-miles shipped for coal by rail $(A-8) = 1.26 \times 10^{11}$ /year. death/year. (8) a. Number of injuries occurred on the railroad system (A-10) =23356 injuries/year. (9) a. Man days lost per death (assumed) = 6000 man days. b. Man days lost per injury (assumed) = 100 man days. (10) a. Current land rights of the railroad system (A-10) = 3760 sq miles. (11) a. Module efficiency (assumed) = 100%.

TABLE 45. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF MODULE (1) Unit--10⁶ Btu (Input)

Environmental Impacts	Coal (1%)	
Air		
NO _x , 1b	0.117	
SO ₂ , 1b	1.47 ⁽²⁾	
CO, 1b	3.49	
Particulate, 1b	0.775	
Total organic material, lb	0.775	
Water		
Suspended solids, 1b	0	
Dissolved solids, 1b	0	
Total organic material, lb	0	
· .	•	
Solid		
Ash, 1b	6.9 ⁽³⁾	-
Sludge, 1b	0	
		-
· ·		
· · ·		

Approx. Module Efficiency

50%

•

.

Footnotes for Table 45:

- (1) a. Values taken from Table A-46 in reference (A-26) were corrected to input basis.
- (2) a. Sulfur content of coal is assumed to be 1%.
- (3) a. Ash content of coal is assumed to be 10%.
 - b. Heating value of coal = 13,000 Btu/1b coal.
 - c. Ash emission as particulate = 0.78 lb/l0^6 Btu.

TABLE 46. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

Module - Hygas (Gasification of Coal-High Btu) Unit - 10⁶ Btu (output)

Environmental Parameters	Fuel Input, Coal, East
Air	
NO _x , 1b	0.25(1)
so ₂ , 1b	0.55(2)
Particulate. lb	0.12(3)
Total organic material, 1b	0.0014(4)
Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	0.34(5)
Water	
Suspended solids, 1b	0
Dissolved solids, 1b	0
Total organic material, 1b Heat, 106 Btu	Negligible Negligible after cooling tower
Phenols, 1b	4.6 x 10-5(6)
Solid	· .
Slag, 1b	0 6.7(7) ·
Sludge, 1b	25.8(8)
Tailings, 1b	· 0
Hazardous, 1b	U
Ey-Products	2.0 ⁽⁹⁾
Occupational Health	· .
Deaths	5 x 10-9(10)
Total Injuries	$1.7 \times 10^{-7}(10)^{-1}$
Man Days Lost	4.6 X 10 ⁻³ (11)
Land Use, acro-hr/106 Btu	0.02 ⁽¹²⁾
Approx. Module Efficiency	66% ⁽¹³⁾

:

.

.

.

Footnotes for Table 46:

a. NO, emission comes from a 110 MW power plant in the Hygas (1)plant. b. NO_x emission factor (assumed) = 0.72 lb/10⁶ Btu generated by the power plant. c. Hygas plant capacity $(A-6) = 80 \times 10^6 \text{ scfd}$. d. Heating value of gas produced (A-6) = 950 Btu/ft³. a. SO₂ emission comes from two limestone scrubbers. b. Sulfur from limestone scrubbers^(A-6) = 1300 lb/hr. (2) c. Sulfur content of coal used in this calculation (assumed)=3%. d. Adjustment factor for sulfur content (A-6) = 0.68. a. Ash content of coal used in this calculation (assumed) = 14.4%. (3) b. Adjustment factor for ash content (A-6) = 1.31. c. 65% of total ash goes to scrubber as particulate (assumed). d. Limestone scrubber efficiency for removal of particulate (assumed) = 99%. a. Hydrocarbon emission comes from a 110 MW power plant. (4) b. Hydrocarbon emission factor (assumed) = $0.04 \text{ lb}/10^6$ Btu. a. Efficiency of Hygas plant (A-6) = 66%. (5) a. Assumed to be same as for CO_2 acceptor (see CO_2 Acceptor for (6) the detail). (7) a. Ash comes from boiler (bottom ash). a. Sulfur from limestone scrubbers (A-6) = 7600 lb/hr. (8) b. Sulfur content of sludge = 12%. c. Adjustment factor for sulfur content in fuel(A-6) = 0.68. d. Sludge comes from limestone scrubbers (limestone slurry plus particulate collected). (9) a. Elemental sulfur from Claus plant is the sole by-product (assumed). b. Adjustment factor for sulfur content in coal = 0.68. a. Man-hours required for a 1×10^{10} Btu/hr capacity Hygas plant (10)(assumed) = 4000 man hours/day. b. Injury rate (assumed) = 10 injuries/ 10^6 man hours. c. 3% of injury assumed fatal. a. Man-days lost per death (assumed) = 6000 days/death. (11)Man-days lost per injury (assumed) = 95 days/injury. ь. (12) a. Personal communication with EPA. (13) a. Reported by Processes Research. (A-6)

TABLE 47. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

.

Module -- Conventional Boiler Unit -- 10⁶ Btu (input)

	Fuel Input,
Environmental Parameters	Natural Gas
Air	
NO 15	2 20(1)
S_{0} , 1b	0,0006(2)
C_{0}^{2} , 15	0,0004(3)
Particulate, 1b	0.015(4)
Total organic material, 1b	0.04(5)
Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	0.63(6)
Water	
Suspended solids lb	0.016(7)
Dissolved solids. 1b	0
Total organic material, 1b	õ
Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	Negligible after cooling tower
Acid (H ₂ SO ₄), 1b	0
Solid	
Slag, 1b	0
Ash, 1b	. 0 .
Sludge, 1b	0
Tailings, 1b	0
Hazardous, 1b	0
Ey-Products	0 .
Occupational Health	
Deaths	$1 - 10^{(8)}$
Total Injuries	$1.5 \times 10^{-9}(9)$
Nan Days Lost	$2.9 \times 10^{-6}(10)$
•	
Land Use, acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu	0.02(11)
Approx. Module Efficiency	37% ⁽¹²⁾

÷

Footnotes for Table 47:

- (1) a. NO_x emission factor^(A-1) = 39 lb/10⁶ ft³ of natural gas. b. Heating value of natural gas (assumed) = 1000 Btu/ft³.
- (2) a. SO_2 emission factor for burning natural gas = 0.6 1b/10⁶ ft³.
- (3) a. CO emission factor for burning natural gas = 0.4 lb/10⁶ ft³.
- (4) a. Particulate emission factor for burning natural gas = 15 lb/ 10⁶ ft³.
- (5) a. Hydrocarbon emission factor for burning natural gas = 40 lb/ 10^6 ft³.

(6) a. Efficiency of gas fired conventional boiler = 37%.

- (7) a. Suspended solid emission from a 1000 MW gas fired Power Plant
 (A-12) = 548 tons.
- (8) a. Deaths attributed to a 1000 MW gas fired Power Plant (A-12)
 = 0.01 death/year.
- (9) a. Injuries attributed to a 1000 MW gas fired Power Plant
 (A-12)
 = 0.6 injuries/year.
- (10) a. Man-days lost attributed to a 1000 MW gas fired Power Plant^(A-12) = 197 man-days/year.
- (11) a. Land requirement for a 1000 MW gas fired Power Plant
 (A-12)
 = 150 acres.
- (12) a. Efficiency of gas fired Power Plant (assumed) = 37%.

TABLE 48. . ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF MODULE Module-- Conventional Boiler Unit--10⁶ Btu (Input)

0.75 ⁽¹⁾ 0.336 ⁽²⁾ 0.0003 ⁽³⁾ 0.057 ⁽⁴⁾ 0.014 ⁽⁵⁾	-
0.75 ⁽¹⁾ 0.336 ⁽²⁾ 0.0003 ⁽³⁾ 0.057 ⁽⁴⁾ 0.014 ⁽⁵⁾	-
0.336 ⁽²⁾ 0.0003 ⁽³⁾ 0.057 ⁽⁴⁾ 0.014 ⁽⁵⁾	-
0.0003 (3) 0.057 (4) 0.014 (5)	
0.057 ⁽⁴⁾ 0.014 ⁽⁵⁾	
0.014 (5)	
•	
0	
0	
0	
. 1	
0	
0	
•	
	0 0 1 1 0 0

Approx. Module Efficiency

37% ⁽⁶⁾

.

•

.

Footnotes for Table 48:

- (1) a. Heating value of distillate fuel oil^(A-1) = 140,000 Btu/gal.
 b. NO_x emission factor^(A-1) = 105 lb/1000 gal.
- (2) a. Sulfur content of distillate fuel oil, S (assumed) = 0.3%.
 b. SO₂ emission factor ^(A-1) = 157 S lb/1000 gal.
- (3) a. CO emission factor $(A-1) = 0.04 \ 1b/1000 \ gal.$
- (4) a. Particulate emission factor (A-1) = 8 lb/1000 gal.
- (5) a. Hydrocarbon emission factor $(A-1) = 2 \ 1b/1000 \ gal.$
- (6) a. Plant efficiency was assumed to be 37%.

TABLE 49. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

Ļ

.

Module -- Oil Barge Unit -- 10⁶ Btu (Output)

.

Environmental Parameters	Fuel Input, Residual Oil
Air	
NO ₂ , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0013^{(1)} \\ 0.0014^{(2)} \\ 0.0011^{(3)} \\ 0.0018^{(4)} \\ 0.0008^{(5)} \\ 0.004^{(6)} \end{array}$
<u>Water</u>	
Suspended solids, 1b Dissolved solids, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO4), 1b	nil nil 0.015(7) nil nil
<u>Solid</u>	
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b	nil nil nil nil nil
<u>By-Products</u>	nil
Occupational Health	
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost Land Use, acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu	$9 \times 10^{-10}(8)$ $9 \times 10^{-8}(9)$ $1.5 \times 10^{-5}(10)$ $0^{(11)}$
	(12)
Approx. Module Efficiency	99.6% (12)

i.

Footnotes for Table 49:

- (1) a. Assume 20,000 tons per shipment.
 b. NO_x emission factor for motor ship (A-1) = 1.4 lb/mi.
 c. Trip distance per shipment (assumed) = 325 miles.
- (2) a. SO₂ emission factor for motor ship^(A-1) = 1.5 lb/mi for 0.5% sulfur content for fuel.

(3) a. CO emission factor for motor ship^(A-1) = 1.2 lb/mi.

- (4) a. Particulate emission factor for motor ship (A-1) = 21b/mi.
- (5) a. Hydrocarbon emission factor for motor ship (A-1) = 0.9 lb/mi.
- (6) a. Total heat required per 10⁶ Btu transported (assumed) = 3800 Btu.
- (7) a. Total oil discharge in oil transport and in tank cleaning operations^(A-12) = 0.27% of shipment.
- (8) a. Death rate in oil transportation by barge (A-12) (assume that barge operation is similar to tanker operation) = 0.08 deaths/ 10⁶ man-hours.
 b. Man-hour required to transport the amount of crude oil to operate a 1000 MW Power Plant (A-12) = 7 x 10⁵ man-hours.
- (9) a. Injury rate in oil transportation by barge (A-12) (assume that barge operation is similar to tanker operation) = 7.22 injuries/ 10⁶ man-hours.
- (10) a. Man-days lost per death (assumed) = 6000 days/death.
 b. Man-days lost per injury (assumed) = 125 days/injury.
- (11) a. Land requirement for port facilities not estimated.
- (12) a. Energy consumption rate per 10⁶ Btu of crude oil transported (assumed) = 3800 Btu.

Unit100 Btu (Input	:)
Environmental Impacts	1% S Resid
Air	
NO _x , 1b	.7
SO ₂ , 1b	1.04
CO, 1b	. 0
Particulate, lb	0.05
Total organic material, lb	0.01
Water	
Suspended solids, 1b	0
Dissolved solids, 1b	0
Total organic material, lb	0
	• : : :
Solid	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Ash, 1b	0
Sludge, 1b	0
· .	
	• • •
	·
Approx. Module Efficiency	37%

TABLE 50. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF MODULE Module--Conventional Boiler⁽¹⁾ Unit--10⁶ Btu (Input)

.

133

·

Footnotes for Table 50:

(1) a. Values were taken from Table A-43 in reference (A-26). SO emission was corrected to 1% sulfur resid.

TABLE 51. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

Module -- Oil Tanker Unit -- 10⁶ Btu (Output)

.

Environmental Parameters	Fuel Input, Crude Oil
Air	
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0015^{(1)} \\ 0.0016^{(2)} \\ 0.0013^{(3)} \\ 0.0021^{(4)} \\ 9 \ge 10^{-5}^{(5)} \\ 0.005^{(6)} \end{array}$
<u>Water</u>	
Suspended solids, 1b Dissolved solids, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO4), 1b	0 0 0.015 ⁽⁷⁾ 0 0
Solid	
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b	0 0 0 0 0
By-Products	- 0 ·
Occupational Health	
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost Land Use. acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu	$9 \times 10^{-10} {(8)}$ $8 \times 10^{-8} {(9)}$ $1.5 \times 10^{-5} {(10)}$ $0^{(11)}$
Approx. Module Efficiency	99.5 ⁽¹²⁾

· ·
Footnotes for Table 51:

- (1) a. NO_x emission by oil tanker to transport crude oil for a 1000 MW Power Plant^(A-12) = 51 tons/year.
- (2) a. SO₂ emission by oil tanker to transport crude oil for a 1000 MW Power Plant (A-12) = 55 tons/year.
- (3) a. CO emission by oil tanker to transport crude oil for a 1000 MW Power Plant (A-12) = 44 tons/year.
- (4) a. Particulate emission by oil tanker to transport crude oil for a 1000 MW Power Plant(A-12) = 72 tons/year.
- (5) a. Hydrocarbon emission by oil tanker to transport crude oil for a 1000 MW Power Plant^(A-12) = 3 tons/year.
- (6) a. Efficiency of oil tanker operation (assumed) = 99.5%.
- (7) a. Total oil discharge in oil transport and in tank cleaning operations^(A-12) = 0.027% of shipment.
- (8) a. Death rate in oil transportation by tanker (A-12) = 0.08 deaths/10⁶ man-hours.
- b. Man-hours required to transport the amount of crude oil to (9) operate a 1000 MW Power Plant $(A-12) = 7 \times 10^5$ man-hours.

a. Injury rate in oil transportation by tanker (A-12) = 7.22 injuries/10 man-hours.

- (10) a. Man-days lost per death (assumed) = 6000 days/death.
 b. Man-days lost per injury (assumed) =125 days/injury.
- (11) a. Land requirement for port facilities not estimated.
- (12) a. Efficiency of oil tanker (assumed) = 99.5%.

TABLE 52. . ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

.

.

Module - Conventional Boiler (Coal) Unit - 10⁶ Btu (Input)

Environmental Parameters	Fuel Input, Coal, West
Air	
NO ₂ , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	0.98(1) 1.65(2) 0.054(3) 0.07(4) 0.016(5) 0.63(6)
Water .	
Suspended solids, 1b Dissolved solids, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Etu Acid (H ₂ SO4), 1b	0.025 ⁽⁷⁾ 0 0.011 ⁽⁸⁾ Negligible after cooling tower 0
Solid	
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b	0 9.0(9) 0 0 0
By-Products	- 0
Occupational Health	
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	$3.3 \times 10^{-10(10)}$ $1.4 \times 10^{-8(10)}$ $5.1 \times 10^{-6(11)}$
Land Use, acre-hr/106 Btu	0.1(12)
Approx. Module Efficiency	37%(13)

137

: .

Footnotes for Table 52:

(1)	а. b.	NO_x emission factor (A-1) = 18 lb/ton coal burned. Heating value of western coal (assumed) 9200 Btu/1b.
(2)	a. b.	SO ₂ emission factor(A-1) = 38 S lb/ton coal burned. Sulfur content, S (assumed) = 0.8%.
(3)	a.	CO emission factor $(A-1) = 1$ lb/ton coal burned.
(4)	a. b. c.	Particulate emission factor(A-1) = 16A lb/ton coal burned. Ash content, A (assumed) = 8.4%. Electrostatic precipitator efficiency (assumed) = 99%.
(5)	a.	Hydrocarbons emission factor $(A-1) = 0.3$ lb/ton coal burned.
(6)	а.	Efficiency of conventional boiler (assumed) = 37%.
(7)	a. b.	Total solid to water(A-12) = $0.036 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{ Btu}$. Fraction of suspended solid (assumed) = 70%.
(8)	a.	Fraction of organic material in total solid (assumed) = 30%.
(9)	a.	Ash content of coal (assumed) = 8.4%.
(10)	a. = 2 b. c.	Man-hour required per 10 ⁶ Btu for conventional power plant (A-13) .4 x 10 ⁻³ man hour. Total injuries per 10 ⁶ man-hour (A-13) = 5.7. Death rate (A-12) = 2.4% of injuries.
(11)	a. b.	Days lost per death (assumed) = 6000 days/death. Days lost per injury (assumed) = 229 days/death.
(12)	a.	Land required for a 1000 MW power plant (assumed) = 800 acres.
(13)	a.	Efficiency of conventional boiler (assumed) = 37%.

TABLE 53. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

Module-- Physical Cleaning of Coal Unit--10⁶ Btu (output)

Environmental Parameters	With Environmental Control
<u>Air</u> NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Farticulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b	$0.006^{(1)} \\ 0.004^{(2)} \\ \\ 0.01^{(3)} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $
Water	
Suspended solids, 1b	Negligible
Dissolved solids, lb	Negligible
Total organic material, 1b	Negligible
Acid (H ₂ SO ₄), 1b	Negligible
<u>Solid</u>	
Slag, 1b	0
Ash, 1b	0
Sludge, 1b	0.3 ⁽⁴⁾
Tailings, lb	Negligible

Approx. Module Efficiency

_{88%}(5)

Footnotes for Table 53:

- a. NO from thermal dryer. Operating characteristics for evaporating water from wet coal(A-2) = 550 tons of coal produced per 50 tons of water evaporated.
 - b. Heat required for water evaporation = 1000 Btu/1b water.
 - c. Heating value of coal = 12,000 Btu/lb of coal.
 - d. NO_x emission factor^(A-1) = 18 lb/ton of coal burner.
 - e. No control equipment.
- (2) a. SO₂ emission factor (A-1) = 38 S lb/ton coal burned.
 - b. Sulfur content of coal, S (assumed) = 3%.
 - c. Lime scrubber control efficiency (assumed) = 90%.
- (3) a. Particulate emission factor for thermal dryer ^(A-1) = 25 lb/ton coal product.
 - b. Heating value of coal product = 13,180 Btu/1b.
 - c. Control efficiency of multiple cyclones with wet scrubber^(A-1) 99.0% removal.
- (4) a. Sludge comes from SO₂ and H₂SO₄ control (assumed).
 b. Sulfur content of sludge (assumed) = 12%.
- (5) a. The efficiency is assumed to be 88%.

TABLE 54. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE •

.

•

Module - CAFB Boiler (Residual Oil) + Combined Cycle Unit - 10⁶ Btu (input)

.

.

Environmental Parameters	Fuel Input, Residual Oil (Imported)
<u> </u>	
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.16^{(1)} \\ 0.45^{(2)} \\ 0 \\ 0.01^{(3)} \\ 0.04^{(4)} \\ 0.62^{(5)} \end{array}$
Water	
Suspended solids, 1b Dissolved solids, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Etu Acid (H ₂ SO ₄), 1b	0 0 0 Negligible after cooling tower 0
Solid	· .
Slag, lb Ash, lb Sludge, lb Tailings, lb Hazerdous, lb	0 3.0(6) 0 0 0
Ey-Products	1.4(7)
Occupational Health	
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	$2 \times 10^{-9}(8)$ 7 x 10^{-8}(8) 1.7 x 10^{-5}(9)
Land Use, acre-hr/106 Btu	0.06(10)

Footnotes for Table 54:

- (1) a. Experimental data obtained by Westinghouse. (A-23)
- (2) a. SO_2 from boiler (A-23) = 0.35 1b/10⁶ Btu. b. SO_2 from Claus unit (A-23) = 0.1 1b/10⁶ Btu.
- (3) a. Electrostatic precipitator is employed to control particulate emission (assumed).
 b. Particulate emission factor^(A-23) = 0.01 lb/10⁶ Btu.
- (4) a. Hydrocarbon emission factor for burning CAFB gas (assumed) = $40 \text{ }1b/10^6 \text{ ft}^3$.
- (5) a. Efficiency of the module (assumed) = 38%.
- (6) a. Sulfur content of oil (assumed) = 3%.
 b. Limestone requirement per pound of sulfur = 1.75 lb.
 c. Heating value of oil (assumed) = 6.3 x 10⁶ Btu/bbl.
- (7) a. Sulfur content of oil (assumed) = 3%.
 b. Sulfur emission = 0.225.
- (8) a. Injury rate per man hour (assumed) = 10 injuries/10⁶ man hours.
 b. Death rate of injury = 3%.
 c. 70 men operate a 1000 MW plant (assumed).
- (9) a. Man days lost per death (assumed) = 6000 days/death.
 b. Man days lost per injury (assumed) = 95 days/injury.
- (10) a. Land requirement for a 1000 MW oil-fired power plant (assumed)
 = 300 acres.
 b. Additional land requirement for CAFB gas unit (assumed) = 150 acres.
- (11) a. Assumed efficiency = 38%.

TABLE 55. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF MODULE

-

Module-- Conv. Boiler with limestone scrubber⁽¹⁾ Unit--10⁶ Btu (Input)

Environmental Impacts	Resid (3.5% S)	
Air		
NO, 1b	0.7	
so ₂ , 1b	0.366 ⁽²⁾	
CO , 1b	0	
Particulate, lb	0.0005 ⁽³⁾	
Total organic material, lb	0.01	
Water		
Suspended solids, 1b	0.	
Dissolved solids, 1b	0	
Total organic material, lb	0	

<u>Solid</u>

Ash, 1b		0
Sludge,	1.b	13.8 ⁽⁴⁾

Approx. Module Efficiency

37%

.

Footnotes for Table 55:

- a. Values were taken from Table A-42 in reference (A-26) except as modified below.
- a. Sulfur content of resid (assumed) = 3.5%.
 b. SO₂ emission was considered twice that given in Table A-42 in reference (A-26).
 - c. SO_2 removal efficiency of lime scrubber (assumed) = 90%.
- (3) a. Particulate emission factor (A-1) = 8 lbs/1000 gal.
 - b. Particulate removal efficiency (assumed) = 99%.
- (4) a. SO₂ in sludge [from Footnote (2)] = 3.29 lb/10⁶ Btu.
 b. Generally sulfur in lime scrubber sludge is assumed as 12% by weight.

TABLE 56.	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF MODULE (1)
	Module Conventional Boiler - No Control
	Unit10 ⁰ Btu (Input)

.

.

Environmental Impacts	Resid (3.5% S)	
Air		
NO _x , 1b	0.7	
S0 ₂ , 1b	3.66	
со, 1ь	0	
Particulate, lb	0.05	
Total organic material, lb	0.01	
Water		
Suspended solids, 1b	٥.	
Dissolved solids, lb	0	
Total organic material, lb	0	
· ·		
Solid	•	
Ash, lb	0	
Sludge, 1b	0	

Approx. Module Efficiency

37%

•

.

•

Footnotes from Table 56:

- (1) a. Emission values were taken from Table A-42 in reference (A-26) except as described below.
- a. In this module sulfur content of resid was assumed as 3.5%.
 b. Thus SO₂ emission was considered to be twice that given in Table A-42 in reference (A-26).

TABLE 57. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

Module - Fluid-Bed Combustion Plus Combined Cycle Unit - 10⁶ Btu (input to combustion cycle)

Environmental Parameters	Fuel Input, Coal, East
Air	
	0.1/(1)
NO., 15	0.14^{-1}
$SO_2, 1b$. 0.727
CO, 1b	0 02(3)
Farticulate, ip	0
Total organic material, in	$0.62^{(4)}$
Heat, 10° blu	
tiotom	
Water	
Supported colids lb	0
Discolved solids 1b	0
Total organic material 1h	0
Heat 106 Btu .	Negligible after cooling tower
Acid (H_0SO_4) , 1b	0
1020 (M/20047 5	
Solid	
· · · · ·	_
Slag, 1b	$\frac{0}{1-2}$ (5)
Ash, 1b	17.3
Sludge, 1b	0
Tailings, 1b	0
Hazardous, 1b	U .
	1.9(6)
<u>Ey-Produces</u>	207
Occurational Hosith	
Occupational nearth	
Deaths	$1.5 \times 10^{-9(7)}$
Total Injuries	$3.6 \times 10^{-8(8)}$
Man Days Lost	$1.4 \times 10^{-5(9)}$
Land Use, acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu	0.12(10)
<u></u>	(11)
Approx. Module Efficiency	38%**/
	· ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ _ ~ ~ _ ~ ~ _ ~ ~ _ ~ ~ _ ~ ~ _ ~ ~ _ ~ ~ _ ~ ~ _ ~ ~ _ ~ ~ ~ _ ~ ~ ~ _ ~

147

ŧ,

.

•

Footnotes for Table 57:

- (1) a. Average value of 0.07 and 0.22 lb/10⁶ Btu reported in Westinghouse Report.(A-23)
- (2) a. SO₂ emission factor reported $(A-23) = 1 \ 1b/10^6$ Btu. b. Adjustment factor for sulfur content (A-23) = 0.7 (i.e., $\frac{3.0}{4.3}$).
- (3) a. Particulate emission factor reported $(A-23) = 0.02 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{ Btu}$.
- (4) a. Efficiency of the module (assumed) = 38%.
- (5) a. Ash content of eastern coal (assumed) = 14.4%.
 b. Heating value of coal (assumed) = 24 x 10⁶ Btu/ton.
 c. Limestone requirement per pound of sulfur = 1.75 lb.
- (6) a. The sole by-product is elemental sulfur.
 b. Sulfur content of coal (assumed) = 3%.
 c. 90% of sulfur is collected by limestone (assumed).
 d. Sulfur loss from Claus unit^(A-23) = 0.35 lb/10⁶ Btu.

(7) a. Injuries calculated from fluid-bed combustion plant and gas-fired power plant operations.
b. 40 men operate a 500 ton coal/hr capacity combustion plant (assumed).
c. Using chemical industry data for gasification plant, injuries per man hour(A-5) = 8.1 injuries/10⁶ man hours.
d. Death rate (assumed) = 5% of injuries.
e. Death attributed to a 100 MW gas-fired power plant^(A-12) = 0.01 deaths/year.

- (8) a. Injuries attributed to a 1000 MW gas fired power plant^(A-12) = 0.6 injuries/year.
- (9) a. Using chemical industry data for gasification plant, man-days lost per man hour(A-5) = 528 days/10⁶ man hours.
 b. Man days lost per death (assumed) = 6000 days/death.
 c. Man days lost attributed to a 1000 MW gas fired power plant(A-12) = 197 man-days/year.
- (10) a. Land requirement for a 1000 MW coal fired power plant (assumed) = 800 acres.
 b. Additional land requirement for fluid-bed combustion unit (assumed) = 150 acres.
- (11) a. Efficiency (A-23) = 38%.

TABLE 58. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

. -

Module - Lurgi Gasifier and Conventional Boiler Unit - 10⁶ Btu (input to conventional boiler)

Environmental Parameters	Fuel Input, Coal, East
Air	· · · ·
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	0.40 ⁽¹⁾ 0.93 ⁽²⁾ 0 0.015 ⁽³⁾ 0.11 ⁽⁴⁾ 0.92 ⁽⁵⁾
Water	·
Suspended solids, 1b Dissolved solids, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Phanols, 1b	0.016(6) 0 0.002(7) Negligible after cooling tower 0.0029(8)
Solid	
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b	0 9.82(9) 0 0 0
By-Froducts	1.9(10)
Occupational Health	
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	1.5 x 10-9(11) 3.6 x 10-8(12) 9.4 x 10-6(13)
Land Use, acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu	0.12(14)
Approx. Module Efficiency	25.9% ⁽¹⁵⁾

149

÷.

Footnotes for Table 58:

- (1) a. NO_x comes from gas-fired boiler in gasifier plant and gas-fired power plant. b. NO_x emission factor(A-1) = 0.39 lb/10⁶ Btu for natural gas. c. The emission factor is value for Lurgi gas combustion on the basis of heating value (assumed).
- (2) a. Basis: 1000 MW nominal cogas power plant. (A-6)
 b. Coal input rate^(A-6) = 341 tons/hr.

 - c. SO2 emission comes from gas-fired boiler in gasifier plant and gas-fired power plant. (A-6)
 - d. 17 of sulfur lost to atmosphere from pasifier plant by leaking (assumption). e. Content of H₂S in Lurgi gas produced (A-6) = 0.105% by volume. f. Lurgi gas production rate from the plant = 112600 lb-moles/hr.
- (3) a. Particulate emission comes from gas-fired power plant (assumed). b. Emission factor for natural $gas(A-1) = 0.015 \ lg/10^6 \ Btu$. c. Assumed that the emission factor for natural gas combustion is valid to Lurgi gas combustion on the basis of heating value.
- (4) a. 1% of total organic matter (COS and CH4) is lost from gasifier by leaking (assumed).
- (5) a. 63% of the total input energy to gas-fired power plant is lost to atmosphere (based on the assumed efficiency of the power plant). b. Efficiency of Lurgi gasifier plant (assumed) - 70%. c. Efficiency loss due to material loss in Lurgi gasifier plant (assumed) = 107.
- (6) a. Suspended solid emission comes from gas-fired power plant (assumed). b. Emission from a 1000 MW $plant^{(A-12)} = 548$ tons.
- (7) a. Total organic material comes from gas-fired power plant (assumed). b. Emission factor (A-12) = 73 tons/year for a 1000 MW plant.
- (8) a. From data supplied by T. K. Janes, EPA.
- (9) a. Ash content of coal (assumed) = 14.4%.
- (10) a. The by-product of Lurgi gasifier plant is sulfur from Claus unit.
- (11) a. Injuries are combined for Lurgi gasifier plant and gas-fired power plant operations.
 - b. 40 men operate a 500-ton coal/hr capacity Lurgi gasifier plant (assumed). c. Using chemical industry data, injuries per man-hour(A-5) = 8.1 injuries/10⁶ man-hours.
 - d. Death rate (assumed) = 5% of total injuries.
 - e. Death attributed to a 1000 MW gas-fired power plant(A-12) = 0.01 death/year.
- (12) a. Injuries attributed to a 1000 NW gas-fired power plant (A-12) = 0.6 injuries/year.
- (13) a. Using chemical industry data, days lost per man-hour (A-5) = 528 days/10⁶ man-hours. b. Man-days lost per death (assumed) = 6000 days/death c. Man-days lost attributed to a 1000 MW gas-fired power plant^(A-13) = 197 man days/year.
- (14) a. Land requirement for a 1000 MW coal-fired power plant (assumed) = 800 acres.
 b. Additional land requirement for Lurgi gasifier plant (assumed) = 150 acres.
- (15) a. Efficiency of Lurgi gasifier plant (assumed) = 70%.
- b. Efficiency of gas-fired power plant (assumed) = 37%.

TABLE 59. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF MODULE (1) Module-- Conv. Boiler, Phys. Cleaned Coal Unit--10⁶ Btu (Input)

nvironmenter impacts	Phys. Cleaned Coal
ir	
NO _x , 1b	0.68
^{SO} 2, ^{1b}	1.44
CO, 1b	0.038
Particulate, lb	0.044
Total organic material, lb	0.011
ter	
Suspended solids, 1b	0.025
Dissolved solids, lb	0
Total organic material, 1b	0.011
<u>olid</u>	
Ash, 1b	5.41
Sludge, 1b	0

Approx. Module Efficiency

37%

Footnotes for Table 59:

(1) a. Data were taken from Table A-10 in reference (A-26) except that SO₂ emission were corrected to 1% sulfur in cleaned coal.

TABLE 60. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

. ·

Module - Coal Liquefaction (solvent refining) Unit - 106 Btu (output)

Environmental Deservoire	Fuel Input, Eastern $Coal^{(1)}$
LINTIONACHCEL TALAACLETS	
<u>Air</u>	
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	0.21(2) 0.003(3) 0.012(4) 0.27(5) 0.0036(6) 0.067(7)
Water	
Suspended solids, 1b Dissolved solids, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO4), 1b	0 0 Trace Negligible after cooling tower 0
Solid	
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b By-Products	0; 16.0(8) 0 0 0 2.95 ⁽⁹⁾
Occupational Health	
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	1.4 x $10^{-9}(10)$ 2.7 x $10^{-8}(10)$ 6.5 x $10^{-6}(11)$
Land Use, acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu	0.08(12)
Approx. Module Efficiency	75%(13)

(1) Impacts were estimated based on the coal containing 14.4% ash, 3.0% S and a heating value of 12,000 Btu/lb. In addition, the coal liquefaction plant was assumed to have a capacity of 222x10⁹Btu/day. Footnotes for Table 60: (Continued)

- a. Solvent refined coal (SRC) has a heating value of 16,000 Btu/lb, (2) 0.05% ash, and 0.6% sulfur (A-6). b. Plant efficiency (A-6) = 75%. c. Emission factor for $NO_x = 18$ lb/ton of coal burned. 'd. Average heating value of consumed coal = 14,000 Btu/1b. e. Coal consumption rate = 110 tons/hr. (3) a. Total sulfur content in the input coal = 30,833 lb/hr. Total sulfur content in the SRC = 3.469 lb/hr. ь. c. Sulfur emitted as $SO_2 = 0.1\%$ total sulfur off gas-liquid separator. (4) a. CO emission factor (A-1) = 1 lb/ton of coal burned. b. No control equipment. (5) a. Particulate emission factor (A-1) = 16A lb/ton of coal burned. b. Emission control efficiency (assumed) 98%. c. Average ash content of consumed coal, A = 7.23%. (6) a. Total organic material emission factor = $0.3 \, \text{lg/ton}$ of coal burned. b. No control equipment. (7) a. Total heat released = 0.308×10^{10} Btu/hr. (8) a. Total ash input rate = 148,000 lb/hr. b. Total ash output rate in SRC = 289 lb/hr. (9) Elemental sulfur product = 99.9% of total sulfur-off gas, liquid separator. (10) a. Assumption: 80 men operate a 1,000 tou/hr capacity solvent refining plant. b. Use chemical industry data, injuries per man hour (A-5) = 8.1injuries/106 man hours. c. Use chemical industry data, days lost per man hour (A-5) = 528days lost/106 man hours. d. Death rate = 5% of total injuries (assumed). (11) Man days lost per death (assumed) = 6,000 days/death. (12) Land required for a 222 x 10^9 Btu/day plant (assumed) = 750 acres.
 - (13) Plant efficiency (A-6) = 75%.

TABLE 61. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

Module - Conventional Boiler . Unit - 106 Etu (input)

Environmental Parameters	Fuel Input, Solvent Refined Coal (Eastern)
Air	
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Farticulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	0.56(1) 0.71(2) 0.037(3) 0.0003(4) 0.01(5) 0.63(6)
Water	
Suspended solids, 1b Dissolved solids, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO ₄), 1b	0.025(7) O 0.011(8) Negligible after cooling tower 0
Solid	· ·
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazerdous, 1b	0 0.031(9) 0 0 0
By-Products	· ·
Occupational Health	
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	$3.3 \times 10^{-10}(10)$ $1.4 \times 10^{-8}(10)$ $5.1 \times 10^{-6}(11)$
Land Use, acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu	• 0.09 ⁽¹²⁾
Approx. Module Efficiency	37%(13)
•	•

155

•

Footnotes for Table 61:

(1)	a.	NO, cmission	s fa	ictor ^{(A-1}) = 18	lb/ton	coal l	ourned.	
•	b .	Heating valu	e of	solvent	refin	ed coal	(SRC)	(assumed)	=
	1600	00 Btu/1b.							

- (2) a. Sulfur content of solvent refined coal, S (assumed) = 0.6%.
 b. SO₂ emission factor^(A-1) = 38 S lb/ton coal burned.
- (3) a. CO emission factor (A-1) = 1 lb/ton coal burned.
- (4) a. Ash content of SRC, A (assumed) = 0.05%.
 b. Particulate emission factor^(A-1) = 16 A lb/ton coal burned.
 c. Electrostatic precipitator efficiency (assumed) = 99%.
- (5) a. Hydrocarbon emission factor (A-1) = 0.3 lb/ton coal burned.
- (6) a. Efficiency of conventional boiler (assumed) = 37%.
- (7) a. Total solid to water^(A-12) = 0.036 lb/10⁶ Btu.
 b. Fraction of suspended solids (assumed) = 70%.
- (8) a. Fraction of organic material in total solid (assumed) = 30%.
- (9) a. Ash content of coal (assumed) = 0.05%.
- (10) a. Man-hour required per 10⁶ Btu for conventional power plant(A-13) = 2.4 x 10⁻³ man hour/10⁶ Btu.
 b. Total injuries per 10⁶ man hour(A-13) = 5.7.
 c. Death rate(A-12) = 2.4% of injuries.
- (11) a. Days lost per death (assumed) = 6000 days/death.
 b. Days lost per injuries (assumed) = 229 days/injury.
- (12) a. Land requirement for a 1000 MW power plant (assumed) = 700 acres.
- (13) a. Efficiency of conventional boiler (assumed) = 37%.

TABLE 62. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

Module - Conventional Boiler and Limestone Scrubbing Unit - 10⁶ Btu (input)

,

Environmental Parameters	Fuel Input, Coal, East
Air	•
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	$\begin{array}{c} 0.60(1) \\ 0.50(2) \\ 0.042(3) \\ 0.1(4) \\ 0.013(5) \\ 0.65(6) \end{array}$
Water	
Suspended solids, 1b Dissolved solids, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO ₄), 1b	0.025(7) 0 0.011(8) Negligible after cooling tower 0
<u>Solid</u>	•
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b	0 2.4(9) 27.3(10) 0 0
By-Products	0
Occupational Health	·
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	$3.3 \times 10^{-10(11)}$ 1.4 x 10-8(11) 5.1 x 10-6(12)
Land Use, acre-hr/106 Btu	0.1(13)
Approx. Module Efficiency	35% ⁽¹⁴⁾

157

:

Footnotes for Table 62:

(1)	a. b. c.	NO_x emission factor $(A-1) = 18$ lb/ton coal burned. Heating value of eastern coal (assumed) = 12000 Btu/lb. NO_x removal efficiency by limestone scrubber (assumed) = 20%.
(2)	a. b. c.	Sulfur content of eastern coal, S (assumed) = 3% . SO ₂ emission factor(A-1) = 38 S lb/ton coal burned. Limestone scrubber efficiency (assumed) = 90% .
(3)	a.	CO emission factor $(A-1) = 1$ lb/ton coal burned.
(4)	a. b. c.	Ash content of eastern coal, A (assumed) = 14.4% . Particulate emission factor(A-1) = $16 \text{ A lb/ton coal burned}$. Scrubber efficiency for particulate removal = 99% .
(5)	a.	Hydrocarbon emission factor $(A-1) = 0.3$ lb/ton coal burned.
(6)	a. (as	Efficiency of conventional boiler with limestone scrubbing sumed) = 35%.
(7)	a. b.	Total solid to water $(A-12) = 0.036 \ 1b/10^6 Btu$. Fraction of suspended solids (assumed) = 70%.
(8)	a.	Fraction of organic material in total solid (assumed) = 30%.
(9)	a.	Ash content of eastern coal (assumed) = 14.4% . 20% to bottom ash.
(10)	a.	Sulfur content of sludge (assumed) = 12%. Add fly ash collected.
(11)	a. = 2 b. c.	Man-hour required per 10^6 Btu for conventional power plant (A-13) 2.4 x 10-3 man hour/ 10^6 Btu. Total injuries per 106 Man hour (A-13) = 5.7. Death rate(A-12) = 2.4% of injuries.
(12)	a. b.	Days lost per death (assumed) = 6000 days/death. Days lost per injury (assumed) = 229 days/injury.
(13)	a.	Land requirement for a 1000 MV power plant (assumed) = 800 acres.
(14)	а. (а	Efficiency of conventional boiler with limestone scrubbing ssumed) = 35%.

TABLE 63. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

.

Module - Conventional Boiler & MgO-Scrubbing Unit - 10⁶ Btu (Input)

Environmental Parameters	Input: Eastern Coal
<u> Air</u>	•
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	0.60 ⁽¹⁾ 0.50 ⁽²⁾ 0.042 ⁽³⁾ 0.1 ⁽⁴⁾ 0.013 ⁽⁵⁾ 0.65 ⁽⁶⁾
Water	
Suspended solids, 1b Dissolved solids, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO4), 1b	0.025(7) 0 0.011(8) Negligible after cooling tower 0
Solid	
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b	0 2.4(9) 0 10.4(10) 0
Ly-Products	6.13(11)
Occupational Health	
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	$3.3 \times 10^{-10(12)}$ 1.4 x 10 ⁻⁸⁽¹²⁾ 5.1 x 10 ⁻⁶⁽¹³⁾
Land Use, acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu	0.1(14)
Approx. Module Efficiency	35%(15)
	•

•

Footnotes for Table 63:

(1)	a. b. c.	NO_x emission factor (A-1) = 18 lb/ton coal burned. Heating value of eastern coal (assumed) = 12,000 Btu/lb. NO_x removal efficiency by MgO-scrubber (assumed) = 20%.
(2)	a. b. c.	Sulfur content of eastern coal, S (assumed) = 3%. SO ₂ emission factor(A-1) = 38 S lb/ton coal burned. MgO-scrubber efficiency (assumed) = 90%.
(3)	a.	CO emission factor $(A-1) = 1$ lb/ton coal burned.
(4)	a. b. c.	Ash content of eastern coal, A (assumed) 14.4%. Particulate emission factor(A-1) = 16 A lb/ton coal burned. Scrubber efficiency for particulate removal = 99%.
(5)	а.	Hydrocarbon emission factor $(A-1) = 0.3$ lb/ton coal burned.
(6)	a. = 3	Efficiency of conventional boiler with MgO-scrubbing (assumed) 5%.
(7)	a. b.	Total solid to water(A-12) = 0.036 lb/10 ⁶ Btu. Fraction of suspended solids (assumed) = 70%.
(8)	a.	Fraction of organic material in total solid (assumed) = 30%.
(9)	a.	Ash content of eastern coal (assumed) = 14.4% . 20% to bottom ash.
(10)	a. MgS b. c.	MgO reacts with SO ₂ to product 80% of MgSO ₃ .6H ₂ O and 20% of O4.7H ₂ O (assumption). 1% blowdown of MgSO ₃ .6H ₂ O and MgSO ₄ .7H ₂ O (assumed). Loss in regeneration (assumed) = 5%. Add fly ash collected.
(11)	a. b.	Sulfur reacted with MgO is regenerated in the form of H_2SO_4 . Regeneration efficiency (assumed) = 100%.
(12)	a. = 2 b. c.	Man-hour required per 10^6 Btu for conventional power plant(A-13) 2.4 x 10^{-3} man-hour/ 10^6 Btu. Total injuries per 10^6 man hour(A-13) = 5.7. Death rate(A-12) = 2.4% of injuries.
(13)	a. b.	Days lost per death (assumed = 6000 days/death. Days lost per injury (assumed) = 229 days/injury.
(14)	a.	Land requirement for a 1000 MW power plant (assumed) = 800 acres.
(15)	a. (a:	Efficiency of conventional boiler with MgO-scrubbing ssumed) = 35%.

TABLE 64. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

•

Module - Conventional Boiler Unit - 10⁶ Btu (Input)

Environmental Parameters	Fuel Input, Eastern Coal
Air	
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	0.75(1) 4.75(2) 0.042(3) 0.1(4) 0.013(5) 0.63(6)
Water	
Suspended solids, lb Dissolved solids, lb Total organic material, lb Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO4), lb	0.025 ⁽⁷⁾ 0 0.011 ⁽⁸⁾ Negligible after cooling tower 0
<u>Solid</u>	
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b	0 12.0(9) 0 0 0
<u>By-Products</u>	0
Occupational Health	
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	$3.3 \times 10^{-10}(10)$ $1.4 \times 10^{-8}(10)$ $5.1 \times 10^{-6}(11)$
Land Use, acre-hr/106 Btu	0.1 ⁽¹²⁾
Approx. Module Efficiency	37%(13)

÷,

.

Footnotes for Table 64:

- (1) a. NO_x emission factor (A-1) = 18 lb/ton of coal burned.
- (2) a. SO₂ emission factor^(A-1) = 38 S 1b/ton of coal burned.
 b. Sulfur content, S (assumed) = 3%.
- (3) a. CO emission factor $(\Lambda-1) = 1$ lb/ton coal burned.
- (4) a. Particulate emission factor^(A-1) = 16A lb/ton coal burned.
 b. Ash content, A (assumed) = 14.4%.
 c. Electrostatic precipitator efficiency (assumed) = 99%.
- (5) a. Hydrocarbons emission factor (A-1) = 0.3 lb/ton coal burned.
- (6) a. Efficiency of conventional boiler (assumed) = 37%.
- (7) a. Total solid to water $(A-12) = 0.036 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{ Btu.}$ b. Fraction of suspended solid (assumed) = 70%.
- (8) a. Fraction of organic material in total solid (assumed) = 30%.
- (9) a. Ash content of coal (assumed) = 14.4%
- (10) a. Man-hours required per 10⁶ Btu for conventional power plant(A-13) = 2.4 x 10⁻³ man-hour/10⁶ Btu.
 b. Total injuries per 10⁶ man hour(A-13) = 5.7.
 c. Death rate^(A-12) = 2.4% of injuries.
- (11) a. Days lost per death (assumed) = 6000 days/death.
 b. Days lost per injury (assumed) 229 days/injury.
- (12) a. Land required for a 1000 MW power plant (assumed) = 800 acres.
- (13) a. Efficiency of conventional boiler (assumed) = 37%.

TABLE 65. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA FOR MODULE

<u>, 1</u>

Module - Strip Mined Coal, East Unit - 10⁶ Btu (output)

	With Land Restoration and
Environmental Parameters	Treatment of Acid Drainage ⁽¹⁾
Air	
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu	0.0002(2) Negligible Negligible 0.14(3) Negligible Negligible
Water	
Suspended solids, 1b Dissolved solids, 1b Total organic material, 1b Heat, 10 ⁶ Btu Acid (H ₂ SO ₄), 1b	0.55 ⁽⁴⁾ 0.18 Negligible Negligible Nil
Solid	· · ·
Slag, 1b Ash, 1b Sludge, 1b Tailings, 1b Hazardous, 1b	0 0.24(5) Negligible 0
Ey-Products	None
Occupational Health	
Deaths Total Injuries Man Days Lost	$5 \times 10^{-9(6)}$ 2.5 x 10 ⁻⁷⁽⁷⁾ 7.4 x 10 ⁻⁵⁽⁸⁾
Land Use, acre-hr/10 ⁶ Btu	0.3 ⁽⁹⁾
Approx. Module Efficiency	99.6%(10)

163

÷

Footnotes for Table 65:

- (1) Impacts will be negligible after land restoration. Stated impacts will occur during the actual operation.
- (2) a. NO_x released to atmosphere from reclamation operation was derived based on the assumption that a diesel powered bulldozer is used for reclamation.
 - b. Time requirement for reclamation (assumed) = 4 hr/acre.

 - c. Bulldozer engine power (assumed) = 150 hp. d. Fuel consumption rate (A-1) = 0.5 1b/hp-hr.
 - e. Emission factor (A-1) = 0.37 lb NO_x/gal of fuel used.
 - f. Average thickness of coal seam (assumed) = 2 ft.
 - g. Coal density (assumed) = 82 lb/ft^3 .
 - Heating value of coal (assumed) = 12,000 Btu/lb. h.
- (3) a. Emission factor (same as primary rock crushing and copper mining) = 0.1 lb/ton of overburden. b. Average overburden per ton of coal (private communication, EPA) = 33 tons.
- (4) a. Rate of silt run-off (assumed = 5000 tons/Mi^2 -year. b. Average thickness of coal seam (assumed) = 2 ft. c. Coal bulk density (assumed) = 82 lb/ft^3 . d. Reclamation period (assumed) = 3 years
- (5) a. Dissolved solids (CaSO₄) and sludge (FeOH₂) come from acid treatment (assumed). Drainage water discharge rate for a strip coal mine with a ь. capacity of 10^6 ton coal/year (assumed) = 10^6 gal/day. Acidity of drainage water (assumed) = 1000 ppm. с.
- Death rate for strip coal mining $(A-12) = 0.12/10^6$ ton coal. (6) a. Heating value of coal (assumed) = 24×10^6 Btu/ton coal. b.
- (7) a. Injury rate for strip coal mining (A-12) = 5.65 injuries $/10^6$ ton coal.
- Man-days lost per death (assumed) = 6000 days/death. (8) a. b. Man-days lost per injury (assumed) = 180 days/injury.
- (9) a. Land required for 10^6 tons of $coal^{(A-12)} = 280$ acres. Time required for reclamation (assumed) = 3 years. Ъ.
- (10) a. Efficiency of strip mine operation (assumed) = 99.6%. b. Depletive waste not included.

TABLE 66. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF MODULE Module-- Coke Oven⁽¹⁾ Unit--10⁶ Btu (Input)

<u>Air</u> NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b	$0.0017^{(2)} \\ 0.8^{(3)} \\ 0.053^{(2)} \\ 0.146^{(2)}$
NO _x , 1b SO ₂ , 1b CO, 1b Particulate, 1b	$0.0017^{(2)}$ $0.8^{(3)}$ $0.053^{(2)}$ $0.146^{(2)}$
SO ₂ , lb CO, lb Particulate, lb	$0.8^{(3)}$ $0.053^{(2)}$ $0.146^{(2)}$
CO, 1b Particulate, 1b	$0.053^{(2)}$
Particulate, lb	0 1/6(2)
	0.140
Total organic material, 1b	0.175 ⁽²⁾
Water	
Suspended solids, 1b	
Dissolved solids, 1b	
Total organic material, lb	
Sclid	
Ash, 1b	0
Sludge, 1b	0

Approx. Module Efficiency

.

70%

--

Footnotes for Table 66:

 a. Low sulfur coal (0.95% S) was assumed in the coke oven operation.

b. Heating value of coal (assumed) = 12,000 Btu/1b coal.

- (2) a. Emission factors were taken from reference (A-1).
- (3) a. Based on assumption that 50% of sulfur in coal remains in the coke and 50% ultimately is emitted as SO_2 .

TABLE 67. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF MODULE Module--Space Heating⁽¹⁾ Unit--10⁶ Btu (Input)

.

Environmental Impacts	Resid (3.5% S)		
Air			
NO _x , 1b	0.135		
so ₂ , 1b	3.068 ⁽²⁾		
CO, 1b	0.030		
Particulate, 1b	0.017		
Total organic material, 1b	0.004		
Water			
Suspended solids, lb	. 0		
Dissolved solids, lb	0		
Total organic material, lb	0		
Solid			
Ash, lb	0		
Sludge, 1b	0		

Approx. Module Efficiency

70%

.

.

•

Footnotes for Table 67:

- (1) a. Values were taken from Table A-46 in reference (A-26) except as modified below.
- (2) a. SO_2 emission was modified based on sulfur content of fuel oils.

TABLE 68. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF MODULE Module--6Space Heating⁽¹⁾ Unit--10 Btu (Input)

Environmental Impacts	Coal (3% S)		
Air	,		
NO _x , 1b	0.177		
50 ₂ , 1b	4.410 ⁽²⁾		
C 0, 1b	3.490		
Particulate, lb	0.775		
Total organic material, lb	0.775		
Water		·	
Suspended solids, 1b	0.		
Dissolved solids, 1b	0		
Total organic material, lb	0		
Solid			
Ash, 1b	6.9		
Sludge, lb	0		

Approx. Module Efficiency

50%

.

.

_

Footnotes for Table 68:

- (1) a. Values were identical to those in Table A-12 except as modified below.
- (2) a. SO_2 emission was modified based on sulfur content of coal.

References

- A-1. "Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors", U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Programs, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, February, 1972.
- A-2. Anon, Coal Age, 77(10), 122-138, 1972.
- A-3. Leonard, J. W., and D. R. Mitchell, editors, "Coal Preparation", 3rd edition, AINE, New York, 1968.
- A-4. Barthauer, G. L., AIME Environmental Quality Conference, Washington, D.C., June 7-9.
- A-5. U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Handbook</u> of Labor <u>Statistics 1971</u>, Bulletin 1705.
- A-6. Process Research Inc., "Evaluation of Fuel Treatment and Convarsion Processes", report prepared for the EPA, Contract No. 68-02-0242, and CPA-70-1, July 7, 1972.
- A-7. Battelle Memorial Institute, "Task Report on EPA Energy Quality Model Exercise for 1975, Series B, Supplement V", report prepared for EPA, Office of Air Programs, 1972.
- A-8. "Goal-Bituminous and Lignite", Bureau of Mines Minerals Yearbooks, U.S. Department of Interior, 1970.
- A-9. Ephraim, M., "Status Report on Locomotives as Sources of Air Pollution", International Conference on Transportation and Environment, Washington, D.C., May, 1972.
- A-10, Battelle Memorial Institute, "A Study of the Environmental Impact of Projected Increases in Intercity Freight Traffic", a report prepared for Association of American Railroads, August, 1971.
- A-11. Hare, C. T., and Sprinler, "Exhaust Emissions from Uncontrolled Vehicles and Related Equipment Using Internal Combustion Engines", Southwest Research Report to EPA, October, 1972.
- A-12. Environmental Quality. Third Annual Report of the Council on Environmental Quality. August, 1972.
- A-13. "Handbook of Labor Statistics", U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Statistics, 1971.
- A-14. Department of the Interior, "Environmental Effects of Underground Mining and Mineral Processing", an unpublished report.
- A-15. Private communication, R. B. Foster, Manager, Industrial Planning Institute of Gas Technology, Chicago, Illinois.
- A-16. The Interstate Oil Compact Commission (IOCC) Study.
- A-17. "Statistical Abstract of the United States", U.S. Department of Commerce (1971).
- A-18. "U.S. Energy Outlook. An Initial Appraisal 1971-1985", an interim report of the National Petroleum Council, Vol. 1, July (1971).
- A-19. "Handbook of Labor Statistics", U.S. Department of Labor (1971).
- A-20. "Crude Petroleum and Petroleum Products", Bureau of Mines Minerals Yearbook, U.S. Department of the Interior (1970).
- A-21. "Crude Oil Pipelines", Pipe Line News, Oildam Publishing Co., 1971-1972 edition.
- A-22. Marks, L. S., editor, "Mechanical Engineers' Handbook".
- A-23. "Evaluation of the Fluidized Bed Combustion Boiler", Final Report prepared by Westinghouse, Contract No. CPA 70-9.
- A-24. American Petroleum Institute, "Petroleum Facts and Figures", 1971 edition.
- A-25. Private communication with industry.

APPENDIX B

CALCULATION OF PREDICTED AMBIENT AIR QUALITY FOR THE INDIANAPOLIS AQCR

The calculations required for the determination of ambient air quality to be expected from fuel combustion in the Indianapolis AQCR according to projections based on Scenario 1 and Scenario 3 are presented in this appendix. The Indianapolis AQCR inventory was modified as indicated in the discussion in the body of the report. The resulting base-case data are given in Table 69. These data refer to 1971 fuel quantities and the emissions and AAQ are based on the use of all clean fuel.

The approach will be illustrated by describing the calculations required for 1975. The base-case data (Table 69) were first increased by a growth factor, 1.101, determined by dividing the Dupree and West projected coal use as fuel in 1975 (13,675 x 10^{12} Btu) by the actual 1971 value (12,420 x 10^{12} Btu). The results of the growth factor multiplication are given in the first three lines of Table 70. These data represent the coal use for the Indianapolis AQCR for 1975 and the SO₂ emissions and AAQ which would result if all the coal were low sulfur coal.

The total coal use was broken down into high- or low-sulfur coal use and into various energy technology applications in direct proportion to the fuel utilization projections developed in the body of the report. For convenience, the coal allocations for 1975 were summarized from Tables 6, 7, and 8 for Scenario 1 and from Tables 19, 20, and 21 for Scenario 3. This summary is given in Table 71. For certain of these allocations the percentage of the total is also given in Table 71. For example, in Scenario 1 the high-sulfur coal use in the electrical sector was projected to be 5,775 x 10^{12} Btu, or 42.23 percent of the total. These percentages were then applied to the total coal use projected for the Indianapolis AOCR in 1975. Thus, in Scenario 1, 42.23 percent of the projected total coal, or 1,807,146 tons per year, are allocated as highsulfur coal to the electrical sector. The results of these calculations The quantities of low-sulfur are given in the coal-use column of Table 70. coal were adjusted to balance the subtotals for each sector.

173

Each coal-use quantity was multiplied by the emission factor appropriate to the coal type or applied energy technology to obtain the equivalent SO₂ emissions in tons per day as given in Table Table 70.

The SO₂ emissions were summed for each sector and the resulting AAQ contribution calculated for each sector in proportion to the corresponding base-case values. The necessary calculations are shown in Table B-2.

Finally, the sector contributions to AAQ were summed to obtain the total predicted AAQ from coal combustion according to Scenario 1, 43.15 $\mu g/m^3$, and according to Scenario 3, 105.16 $\mu g/m^3$.

These calculations were repeated for the remaining years and the resulting data are given in Tables 72 and 73 for 1980, in Tables 74 and 75 for 1985, and in Tables 76 and 77 for 2000.

It was pointed out in the body of the report that the total emissions calculated for Scenario 3 were larger than for Scenario 1 in 1980, 1985, and 2000 as a result of removing some stack gas cleaning capacity to balance the coal subtotal in the electrical sector. The same result is, of course, observed in Tables 72, 74, and 76. However, it should be noted that it is not the increase in emissions per se which is responsible for the large increase in AAQ observed for Scenario 3, but rather, it is the occurrence of increased emissions in the nonelectrical sectors which is responsible for the increased AAQ. For example, consider the year 2000, Table 76; assume that the same quantity of high sulfur coal (1,131,813 tons/year) projected for Scenario 3 is included in the electrical sector for Scenario 1, and that the low sulfur coal projection for Scenario 1 is reduced by the same amount to balance the subtotal. Also assume that the stack gas cleaning capacity projected for Scenario 1 is retained in Scenario 3 and the low-sulfur coal in Scenario 3 is reduced to balance the subtotal. Now the only difference between the two scenarios is the interchange of high- and low-sulfur coal between the electrical and the nonelectrical sectors. When the AAQ calculations are repeated with these modified coal-use quantities, the results are as follows:

174

	SO ₂ Emissions, Tons/Day	AAQ-R33 µg/m ³
Scenario 1		
Electrical Sector Other Sectors	313.8 91.2	14.7 54.6
Totals	405.0	60.3
Scenario 3		
Electrical Sector Other Sectors	192.2 218.3	9.0 130.6
Totals	410.5	139.6

In this case the total emissions are nearly equal, yet the AAQ for Scenario 3 is still more than twice that for Scenario 1.

2

TABLE 69. INDIANAPOLIS BASE CASE-1971^(a,b)

	Coal use, Tons/Year	SO2 Emissions, Tons/Day	AAQ-Receptor 33, ug/m ³
Electrical Sector	3,001,038	156.9	7.35
Other Sectors	885 , 697	40.7	24.39
Totals, All Sectors	3,886,735	197.6	31.74

(a) Assumed all clean fuels.

(b) Processing plants have been excluded from this table. Seven plants emitted 3.29 T/D SO₂ and contributed 14.78 μ g/m³ to Receptor 33.

Sector/Combustion Mode	Coal Use, Tons/Year	SO ₂ Emissions, Tons/Day	AAQ - Receptor 33 μg/m ³
ndianapolis Base Case Growth Factor, 1.101, applied to	1971 Base Case)		
Electrical Sector Other Sectors	3,304,143 975,152	172.8 44.8	8.09 26.85
Totals, all sectors	4,279,295	217.6	34.95
cenario 1			
Electrical Sector Stack gas cleaning Eigh sulfur coal, w/o cont. Low sulfur coal	213,099 (5.12%) 1,807,146 (42.23%) 1,277,898 (Bel.)	3.60 282.20 62.31	
Subtetals	3,304,143	348.11	16.30 (348.11/172.8 x 8.09)
Other Sectors (Unchanged)	975,152	44.8	26.85
Totals, all sectors	4,279,295	392.93	43 . 15
Scenario 3	-		,
Electrical Sector Stack gas cleaning High sulfur coal, w/o cont. Low sulfur coal	219,099 (5.12%) 946,580 (22.12%) 2,138,099 (Bal.)	3.60 147.88 104.25	
Subtotals	3,304,143	255.73	11.98 (255.73/172.8 x 8.09)
Other Sectors			
High sulfur coal, w/o cont. Low sulfur coal Subtotals	860,201 (20.11%) 114,951 (Bal.) 975,152	149.95 5.60 155.58	93.18 (155.55/44.82 x 26.85)
Totals, all sectors	4,279,295	411.28	105.16

TABLE 70. PREDICTED AMBIENT AIR QUALITY - 1975

.

.

.

TABLE 71. YEAR 1975 COAL ALLOCATIONS

	Scenario l		Sconar	Sconario 3	
(a s h a s	10 ¹²	Percent	3cenar	Percent	
Sector	<u>10 Btu</u>	ot Total	<u>10 Btu</u>	of Total	
Residential/Commercial					
Low sulfur coal	325		80		
High sulfur coal	0		245		
Industrial					
Low sulfur coal	4,450		1,945		
High sulfur coal	0		2,505		
Totals, R/C plus Industrial					
Low sulfur coal	4775		2025		
High sulfur coal	0		2,750	20.11	
Electrical					
Low sulfur coal	2,425		5,175		
Stack gas cleaning	700	5.12	700	5.12	
High sulfur coal	5,775	42.23	3,025	22.12	
Total, all sectors	13,675		13,675		

	TABLE	72.	PREDICTED	AMBIENT	AIR	QUALITY	-	1980
--	-------	-----	-----------	---------	-----	---------	---	------

Sector/Combustion Mode	Coal Use, Tons/Year	SO ₂ Emissions, Tons/Day	AAQ - Receptor 33 µg/m ³
Indianapolis Base Case (Growth Factor, 1.273, applied to	<u>1971 Base Case)</u>		
Electrical Sector Other Sectors	3,820,321 1,127,492	199.7 51.8	9.36 31.05
Totals	4,947,813	251.5	40.41
Scenario 1			
Electrical Sector Stack gas cleaning High sulfur coal, w/o cont. Low sulfur coal	<pre>?,121,622 (42.88%) 178,616 (3.61%) 1,520,083 (Bal.)</pre>	34.88 27.89 74.11	
Subtotals	3,820,321	136.88	6.42 (136.88/197.7 x 9.36)
Other Sectors (Unchanged)	1,127,492	51.8	31.05
Totals, all sectors	4,947,813	188.68	37.47
Scenario 3			
Electrical Sector Stack gas cleaning	1,412,600 (28.55%)	23.22	
Low sulfur coal	2,407,721 (Bal.)	117.39	
Subtotals .	3,820,321	140.61	6.59 (140.6/199.7 x 9.36)
Other Sectors High sulfur coal, w/o cont. Low sulfur coal	887,638 (17.94%) 239,855 (Bal.)	138.62 11.69	н. -
Subtotals	1,127,492	150.31	90.1 (150.3/51.8 x 31.05)
Totals, all sectors	4,947,813	290.92	96.69

	Sectoria 1				
	Scenal	Percent	Scenario 3		
Sector	10^{12} Btu	of Total	10 ¹² Btu	of Total	
Residential/Commercial					
Low sulfur coal	300		75		
High sulfur coal	0		225		
Industrial					
Low sulfur coal	4,550		1,993		
High sulfur coal	0		2,557		
Totals, R/C plus Industrial					
Los sulfur coal	4,850		2,068		
High sulfur coal	0		2,282	17.94	
Electrical					
Los sulfur coal	3,450		6,232		
Stack gas cleaning	6,650	42.88	4,428	28,55	
High sulfur coal w/o control	560	3.61	0		
Total, all sectors	15,510		15,510		

TABLE 73. YEAR 1980 COAL ALLOCATIONS

ector/Combustion Mode	Coal Use, Tons/Year	SO ₂ Emissions, Tons/Day	AAQ - Receptor 33 µg/m ³
dienapolis Base Case Growth Factor, 1.654, applied to	1971 Base Case)		
Electrical Sector Other Sectors	4,963,717 1,464,943	259.5 67.4	12.16 40.34
Totals	6,428,660	326.9	52.50
enario l			
Electrical Sector Fluidized-bad Low Btu Liquefaction Stack gas cleaning Low sulfur coal Eigh sulfur coal, w/o cont.	134,359 (2.09%) 161,359 (2.51%) 100,300 (1.57%) 2,337,461 (36.36% 2,230,238 (Bal.) 0	3.1 4.9 2.3 38.4 108.7	
Subtotals	4,963,717	157.4	7.4 (157.4/259.5 x 12.16)
Other Sectors (Unchanged)	1,464,943	67.4	40.3
Totals	6,428,660	224.8	47.7
cenerio 3			
Electrical Sector Fluidized-bed Low Btu Liquefaction Stack gas cleaning Low sulfur coal High sulfur coal, w/o cont.	134,359 (2.09%) 161,354 (2.09%) 100,300 (1.57%) 1,083,579 (21.83%) 3,484,120 (Bel.) 0) 3.1) 4.9) 2.3 %) 17.8 169.9	· · · · -
Subtotals	4,963,717	198.0	9.3 (198.0/259.5 x 12.16)
Other Sectors	•		
High sul fur coal, w/o cont. Low sulfur coal Subtotals	921,227 (14.33) 543,716 (Bal.) 1,464,943	%) 143.9 26.5 170.4	102.0 (170.4/67.4 x 40.34)
Totals, all sectors	6,428,660	368.4	111.3

TABLE 74. FREDICTED AMBIENT AIR QUALITY - 1985

TABLE	75.	YEAR	1985	COAL	ALLOCATIONS
		TTTT	1,00	00111	110000111010

	Scena	rio l	Scenar	rio 3
	12	Percent	12	Percent
Sector	10 ¹² Btu	of Total	10 ¹¹ Btu	of Total
Residential/Commercial				
Low sulfur coal	100		25	
High sulfur coal w/o control	0		75	
Industrial				
Low sulfur coal	4,820		2,113	
High sulfur coal	0		2,707	
Totals, R/C plus Industrial				
Low sulfur coal	4,920		2,138	
High sulfur coal	0		2,782	14.33
Electrical				
Fluidized-bed combustion	400	2.09	400	2.09
Gasification, low Btu	480	2.51	4 8 0	2.51
Liquefaction	300	1.57	300	1. 57
Stack gas cleaning	6,960	36.36	4,178	21.83
Low sulfur coal	6,080		8,862	
High sulfur coal, w/o control	0		0	
Totals, all sectors	19 , 140		1 9, 140	

.

ector/Gcabustion Mode	Coal Use, Tons/Year	SO ₂ Emissions, Tons/Day	AAQ - Receptor 33 µg/m ³
ndianapolis Base Case Growth Factor, 2.24, applied to 1	.971 Base Case)		
Electrical Sector Other Sectors	6,722,325 1,983,961	351.5 91.2	16.46 54.64
Totals	8,706,286	422.7	71.10
cenario l			
Electrical Sector Fluidized-bed combustion Low Etu gasification Liquefaction Stack gas cleaning Low sulfur coal High sulfur coal, w/o cont.	1,140,523 (13.1%) 1,453,950 (16.7%) 957,691 (11.0%) 1,715,138 (19.7%) 1,455,023 (Bal.) 0	26.2 44.5 22.4 28.2 70.9	
Subtotals	6,722,325	192.2	9.0 (192.2/351.5 x 16.46)
Other Sectors (Unchanged)	1,983,961	91.2	.54.6
Totals, all sectors	8,706,286	283.4	·53.6
cenario 3			
Electrical Sector Fluidized-bed combustion Low Etc gasification Liquefaction Stack gas cleaning Low sulfur coal Eigh sulfur coal, w/o cont.	1,140,523 (13.1%) 1,453,950 (16.7%) 957,691 (11.0%) 583,321 (6.7%) 2,586,840 (Bal.) 0	26.2 44.5 22.4 9.6 126.1	
Subtotals	6,722,325	228.8	10.7 (228.8/351.5 x 16.46)
Other Sectors High sulfur coal, w/o cont. Low sulfur coal	1,131,817 (13.0%) 852,144 (Bal.)	176.7 41.5	
Subtotals	1,983,961	218.3	130.6 (218.3/91.2 x 54.64)
Totals, all sectors	8,706,286	447.1	141:3

TABLE 76. FREDICTED AMBIENT AIR QUALITY - 2000

	Scena	rio l	Scenario 3		
Contar	1012	Percent	1012	Percent	
Sector	10 BCu	OI TOTAL	10 Btu	or lotal	
Residential/Commercial					
Low sulfur coal	0		0		
High sulfur coal	0		0		
Industrial					
Low sulfur coal	5,300		3,323		
High sulfur coal, w/o control	0		2,977		
Totals, R/C plus Industrial					
Low sulfur coal	5,300		2,323		
High sulfur coal	0		2,977	13.0	
Electrical					
Fluidized-bed combustion	3,000	13.1	3,000	13.1	
Low Btu	3,820	16.7	3,820	16.7	
Liquefaction	2,500	11.0	2,500	11.0	
Stack gas cleaning	4,500	19.7	1,523	6.7	
Low sulfur coal	3,700		6,677		
High sulfur coal, w/o control	0		0		
Totals, all sectors	22,820		22,820		

TABLE 77. YEAR 2000 COAL ALLOCATIONS, EXCLUDING COAL FOR HIGH Btu GASIFICATION

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA	1. Report No.	2.	3. Recipient's Accession No.
SHEET	EPA 600/2-74-001		5. Report Date
Assessment of the Potential of Clean Fuels and Energy Technology		February 1974	
		6.	
. Aurhor(s) E. H. Hall, P	. S. K. Choi, and E. L. B	TODD	8. Performing Organization Repr No.
9. Perferming Organization Name and Address			10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.
Battelle Columbus Laboratories			11. Contract/Grant No.
Columbus, Ohio 43201		68-01-2114	
2. Sponsoring Organizatio	n Name and Address		13. Type of Report & Period
EPA, Office o	f Research and Developmen	t	Einel Desert
Room 619, Wat	erside Mall, West Tower		JA.
Washington, D	.C. 20460		
15. Supplementary Notes			
A study version, and naturally occ sufficiently between new a	was conducted to assess t emission control technolo urring clean fuels, to re to maintain ambient air o	he potential of gies, in conjunc duce air emissio uality in the fa	fuel cleaning, fuel con- tion with the use of ons from fuel/energy process ace of increasing fuel use
burning syste scenarios ref The impact of	nd the year 2000. Total ms to the year 2000 were lecting different technol these emissions on ambie	emissions and ei calculated accor ogy availability ont air quality w	fluents produced by fuel- ding to three different and fuel allocation. as analysed. An overall
burning syste scenarios ref The impact of index was dev technologies recommended.	nd the year 2000. Total ms to the year 2000 were lecting different technol these emissions on ambie eloped for comparison of under consideration. Res	emissions and ef calculated accor ogy availability ant air quality w the potential us earch and develo	fluents produced by fuel- ding to three different and fuel allocation. as analysed. An overall sefulness of the energy opment priorities were
burning syste scenarios ref The impact of index was dev technologies recommended. 17. Xev Words and Docume Air pollution Fuel cleaning	nd the year 2000. Total ms to the year 2000 were lecting different technol these emissions on ambie eloped for comparison of under consideration. Res	emissions and en calculated accom- ogy availability ont air quality w the potential us earch and develo Fuel combustion, logy assessment.	fluents produced by fuel- ding to three different and fuel allocation. vas analysed. An overall sefulness of the energy opment priorities were
burning syste scenarios ref The impact of index was dev technologies recommended. 17. Xev Words and Docume Air pollution Fuel cleaning	nd the year 2000. Total ms to the year 2000 were lecting different technol these emissions on ambie eloped for comparison of under consideration. Res mr Analysis. 17c. Descriptors , Air pollution control, , Fuel conversion, Techno NATIO INFORA US De Spr	emissions and ef calculated accor .ogy availability ont air quality w the potential us earch and develo Fuel combustion, ology assessment. by NAL TECHNICAL AATION SERVICE patment of Commorce ngfield, VA. 22151	ffluents produced by fuel- ding to three different r and fuel allocation. ras analysed. An overall sefulness of the energy opment priorities were Ambient Air Quality,
 burning syste scenarios ref The impact of index was dev technologies recommended. 7. Key Words and Docume Air pollution Fuel cleaning 174. Identifiers/Open-End 	nd the year 2000. Total ms to the year 2000 were lecting different technol these emissions on ambie eloped for comparison of under consideration. Res enr Analysis. 17a. Descriptors , Air pollution control, , Fuel conversion, Techno NATIO INFORA US De Spri ed Terms	emissions and ef calculated accor .ogy availability ont air quality w the potential us earch and develo Fuel combustion, ology assessment.	ffluents produced by fuel- ding to three different r and fuel allocation. ras analysed. An overall sefulness of the energy opment priorities were Ambient Air Quality,
 burning syste scenarics ref The impact of index was dev technologies recommended. Words and Docume Air pollution Fuel cleaning 172. Identifiers/Open-End 	nd the year 2000. Total ms to the year 2000 were lecting different technol these emissions on ambie eloped for comparison of under consideration. Res mr Aralysis. 17c. Descriptors , Air pollution control, , Fuel conversion, Techno NATION INFORA US Do Spr ed Terms	emissions and ei calculated accor .ogy availability ent air quality w the potential us earch and develo Fuel combustion, logy assessment.	Efluents produced by fuel- iding to three different y and fuel allocation. was analysed. An overall befulness of the energy opment priorities were Ambient Air Quality,
 burning syste scenarios ref The impact of index was dev technologies recommended. 17. Key Words and Docume Air pollution Fuel cleaning 175. (d. stifiers/Open-End 176. COSATI Field/Group 	nd the year 2000. Total ms to the year 2000 were lecting different technol these emissions on ambie eloped for comparison of under consideration. Res mr Aralysis. 17c. Descriptors , Air pollution control, , Fuel conversion, Techno NATION INFORA US Do Spr ed Terms	emissions and ef calculated accor .ogy availability int air quality w the potential us earch and develo Fuel combustion, logy assessment. by NAL TECHNICAL AATION SERVICE pathent of Commorce ngfield, VA. 22151	Efluents produced by fuel- iding to three different and fuel allocation. as analysed. An overall efulness of the energy opment priorities were Ambient Air Quality,
 burning syste scenarios ref The impact of index was dev technologies recommended. 17. Key Words and Docume Air pollution Fuel cleaning 175. (dentifiers/Open-End 176. COSATI Field/Group 18. Availability Statement 	nd the year 2000. Total ms to the year 2000 were lecting different technol these emissions on ambie eloped for comparison of under consideration. Res enr Analysis. 17a. Descriptors , Air pollution control, , Fuel conversion, Techno NATIO INFORA US De Spri ed Terms	emissions and ei calculated accor .ogy availability int air quality w the potential us earch and develo Fuel combustion, logy assessment. by NAL TECHNICAL AATION SERVICE patment of Commorce nafield, VA. 22151 [19. Sec Ref	ES SUBJECT TO CHANGE Exercise China 10 Changes bottom control to the second control to t
 burning syste scenarios ref The impact of index was dev technologies recommended. 17. Xev Words and Docume Air pollution Fuel cleaning 17b. Identifiers/Open-End 17c. COSATI Field/Group 18. Availability Statement 	nd the year 2000. Total ms to the year 2000 were lecting different technol these emissions on ambie eloped for comparison of under consideration. Res mr Analysis. 17c. Descriptors , Air pollution control, , Fuel conversion, Techno NATIO INFORA US De Spri ed Terms	emissions and ei calculated accor .ogy availability int air quality v the potential us earch and develo Fuel combustion, logy assessment. by NAL TECHNICAL AATION SERVICE partment of Commorce ngfield, VA. 22151 II. Sec Re 20. Sec	ES SUBJECT TO CHANGE LINCLASSIFIED LINCLASSIFIED LINCLASSIFIED Curity Class (This Carling to three different Ambient allocation. Ambient of the energy popment priorities were Ambient Air Quality, Carling Class (This LINCLASSIFIED Curity Class (This Control Class (This

.

FORM N TIE-32 (REV. 3-72)

USCOMM-DC 14952-P72

SATISFACTION GUARANTEED

NTIS strives to provide quality products, reliable service, and fast delivery. Please contact us for a replacement within 30 days if the item you receive if we have made an error in filling your order. s defective or

E-mail: info@ntis.gov Phone: 1-888-584-8332 or (703)605-6050

Reproduced by NTis

National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161

This report was printed specifically for your order from nearly 3 million titles available in our collection.

For economy and efficiency, NTIS does not maintain stock of its vast collection of technical reports. Rather, most documents are custom reproduced for each order. Documents that are not in electronic format are reproduced from master archival copies and are the best possible reproductions available.

Occasionally, older master materials may reproduce portions of documents that are not fully legible. If you have questions concerning this document or any order you have placed with NTIS, please call our Customer Service Department at (703) 605-6050.

About NTIS

NTIS collects scientific, technical, engineering, and related business information – then organizes, maintains, and disseminates that information in a variety of formats – including electronic download, online access, CD-ROM, magnetic tape, diskette, multimedia, microfiche and paper.

The NTIS collection of nearly 3 million titles includes reports describing research conducted or sponsored by federal agencies and their contractors; statistical and business information; U.S. military publications; multimedia training products; computer software and electronic databases developed by federal agencies; and technical reports prepared by research organizations worldwide.

For more information about NTIS, visit our Web site at <u>http://www.ntis.gov</u>.



Ensuring Permanent, Easy Access to U.S. Government Information Assets



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Technology Administration National Technical Information Service Springfield, VA 22161 (703) 605-6000