

APPENDIX B

CALCULATIONS OF THEORETICAL CRITERIA FOR DIFFUSION EFFECTS

Theoretical Criterion for Concentration Gradient inside
Catalyst Particle

For a porous solid, a mean pore radius, r_e , can be defined as [189]:

$$r_e \equiv \frac{2V_g}{A_p} = \frac{2\theta}{A_p \rho_p} \quad (B.1)$$

where V_g is the pore volume per unit mass of catalyst, in cm^3/gm , A_p is the total surface area per unit mass of porous catalyst, in cm^2/gm , ρ_p is the pellet density, in gm/cm^3 , and θ is the porosity of catalyst.

Assume that the geometry of the catalyst can be approximated by its major constituent support, i.e., ALCOA F-1 activated alumina (100 mesh), from vender info $V_g = 0.40 \text{ cm}^3/\text{gm}$, $A_p = 250 \text{ m}^2/\text{gm}$, $\rho_p = 1.42 \text{ gm}/\text{cm}^3$ and $\theta = 0.563$. Plugging all these values into Eqn. (B.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} r_e &= \frac{2(0.40 \text{ cm}^3/\text{gm})}{(250 \text{ m}^2/\text{gm})(10^4 \text{ cm}^2/\text{m}^2)} \\ &= 3.20 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm.} \end{aligned}$$

For Knudsen diffusion in gases in a straight cylindrical pore, the Knudsen diffusivity, D_K , can be calculated [189],

$$D_K = 9700 r_e \sqrt{\frac{T}{M}} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where T is the temperature, in degrees Kelvin and M is the molecular weight.

Refer to the typical material balance in Appendix H,

$M = M_{\text{gas product}} = 21.68 \text{ gm/mol}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} D_K &= 9700 (3.20 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}) \sqrt{\frac{494.26 \text{ K}}{21.68 \text{ gm/mol}}} \\ &= 0.0148 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}. \end{aligned}$$

For a porous solid, the Knudsen diffusivity becomes

$$D_{K,\text{eff}} = \frac{D_K \theta}{\tau_{r_e}} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where τ_{r_e} is the tortuosity, an empirical factor obtained assuming completely Knudsen diffusion and the mean pore radius defined by Eqn. (B.1), and is usually taken a value of 4.

$$D_{K,eff} = \frac{(0.0148 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s})(0.563)}{4}$$

$$= 0.0021 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}.$$

For multicomponent gas mixture, an alternate effective binary diffusivity with the flux, N_j , of bulk diffusion of component j relative to the fixed solid can be defined

$$N_j \equiv -C_s D_{jm} \nabla y_j \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where C_s is total molar concentration of active sites, in mol/gm cat., D_{jm} is effective molecular diffusivity of component j in a multicomponent mixture, y_j is mole fraction of species j and ∇ is the mathematical operator of vector differentiation.

Assume $N_j = 0$ (for dilute j), then Eqn. (B.4) can lead to

$$D_{jm} = \left(\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \frac{y_i}{D_{ji}} \right)^{-1} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Let $j = 3$ representing ethylene, and $i = 1, 2, 4, 5$ and 6 designating hydrogen, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide,

methane and ethane respectively.

An empirical correlation suggested by Fuller, Schettler and Giddings is used to calculate the pseudo binary diffusivities [180]:

$$D_{ji} = \frac{10^{-3} T^{1.75} \left(\frac{1}{M_j} + \frac{1}{M_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{P \left\{ (\Sigma v)_j^{\frac{1}{3}} + (\Sigma v)_i^{\frac{1}{3}} \right\}^2} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where T is in Kelvins and P in atmospheres. Σv is the sum of the atomic diffusion volumes. Following the estimation method of Fuller et al.,

$$(\Sigma v)_1 = 7.07 \quad (\text{B.7})$$

$$(\Sigma v)_2 = 18.9 \quad (\text{B.8})$$

$$(\Sigma v)_3 = 2(16.5) + 4(1.98) = 40.92 \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$(\Sigma v)_4 = 26.9 \quad (\text{B.10})$$

$$(\Sigma v)_5 = 16.5 + 4(1.98) = 26.9 \quad (\text{B.11})$$

$$(\Sigma v)_6 = 2(16.5) + 6(1.98) = 44.88 \quad (\text{B.12})$$

With $M_1 = 2.016$, $M_2 = 28.01$, $M_3 = 28.05$, $M_4 = 44.01$,

$M_5 = 16.04$ and $M_6 = 30.07$, and $T = 494.26$ °K and

$P = \frac{154.70}{14.70} = 10.53$ atms, D_{ji} 's can be determined by

Eqn. (B.6) as follows

$$D_{31} = 0.1247 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

$$D_{32} = 0.0352 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

$$D_{34} = 0.0287 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

$$D_{35} = 0.0382 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} \quad (\text{B.16})$$

$$D_{36} = 0.0264 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} \quad (\text{B.17})$$

Material balance estimates the mole fraction for the six species in the gas product: $y_1 = 0.2719$, $y_2 = 0.2663$, $y_3 = 0.1108$, $y_4 = 0.1726$, $y_5 = 0.1709$ and $y_6 = 0.0075$.

Substituting all known values into Eqn. (B.5) gives

$$D_{3m} = \frac{1}{\frac{0.2719}{0.1247} + \frac{0.2663}{0.0352} + \frac{0.1726}{0.0287} + \frac{0.1709}{0.0382} + \frac{0.0075}{0.0264}},$$

$$D_{3m} = 0.0487 \frac{\text{cm}^2}{\text{s}} \quad (\text{B.18})$$

For bulk diffusion in porous solid,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{3m,\text{eff}} &= \frac{D_{3m} \theta}{\tau} \quad (\text{B.19}) \\ &= \frac{(0.0487 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s})(0.563)}{4} \\ &= 0.0069 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that the diffusion process in this high-area porous catalyst is in the transition regime and that the change in number of moles in the reaction under differential conditions is small, then net effective diffusivity, D_{eff} , can be calculated by [189],

$$\frac{1}{D_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{1}{D_{3m,\text{eff}}} + \frac{1}{D_{K,\text{eff}}} \quad (\text{B.20})$$

$$= \frac{1}{0.0069} + \frac{1}{0.0021},$$

$$D_{\text{eff}} = 0.0016 \frac{\text{cm}^2}{\text{s}}. \quad (\text{B.21})$$

The concentration at outside particle surface, C_p , can be approximated by the concentration in the bulk gas phase, C_b , if external gradients (concentration and temperature) are negligible,

$$C_p = C_b$$

$$= \left(\frac{1 \text{ mol}}{22400 \text{ cm}^3} \right) \left(\frac{273.16 \text{ }^\circ\text{K}}{494.26 \text{ }^\circ\text{K}} \right) \left(\frac{10.53 \text{ atms}}{1 \text{ atm}} \right) \left(\frac{0.9237 \text{ mol}}{0.9241 \text{ mol}} \right)$$

$$= 0.0003 \frac{\text{gm-mol}}{\text{cm}^3} \quad (\text{B.22})$$

From experiment (Run # 080631029), the observed reaction rate, r , is found

$$r = 0.0638 \frac{\text{gm-mol}}{\text{gm.cat.-hr}}$$

For 1.00 gm of catalyst bed, the static bed height = $2 \frac{21}{64}$ inches = 5.91 cm, the corresponding bed volume is estimated to be 0.97 cm^3 , thus,

$$r = (0.0638 \frac{\text{gm-mol}}{\text{gm.cat.-hr}}) \left(\frac{1.00 \text{ gm.cat.}}{0.97 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ bed}} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ hr}}{3600 \text{ s}} \right),$$

$$k = 1.83 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\text{gm-mol}}{\text{cm}^3 \text{ bed-s}} \quad (\text{B.23})$$

The criterion for the absence of significant diffusion effect inside the catalyst particle is a form of the Thiele modulus and is known as Damkohler number ϕ_p [15].

$$\phi_p \equiv \frac{k r_p^2}{D_{\text{eff}} C_p} < \frac{1}{|n|} \quad (\text{B.24})$$

where r_p is the radius of particle, in cm, and n is the reaction order, and they are 0.015 cm and 0.95. Substituting the values of k , D_{eff} , C_p from Eqns. (B.23), (B.21) and (B.22), and r_p and n into Eqn. (B.24) results in,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_p &= \frac{(1.83 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\text{gm-mol}}{\text{cm}^3 \text{ bed-s}})(0.015 \text{ cm})^2}{(0.0016 \frac{\text{cm}^2}{\text{s}})(0.0003 \frac{\text{gm-mol}}{\text{cm}^3})} \quad (\text{B.25}) \\ &= 0.0099 < 1.0570 \end{aligned}$$

The criterion for insignificant diffusion inside the catalyst pellet at isothermal condition is satisfied.

Theoretical Criterion for Temperature Gradient inside Catalyst Particle

Two dimensionless groups are utilized, β and γ , in the evaluation the isothermality in the catalyst pellet, and they are defined [191],

$$\beta \text{ (heat generation function)} \equiv \frac{C_p (-\Delta H) D_{\text{eff}}}{\kappa T_p} \quad (\text{B.26})$$

where ΔH is the enthalpy change of reaction, κ is the thermal conductivity of the porous catalyst and T_p is the temperature at outside surface of particle, and

$$\gamma \text{ (Arrhenius group)} \equiv \frac{E}{RT_p} \quad (\text{B.27})$$

where E is the intrinsic activation energy and R is the gas constant.

For ALCOA activated alumina, $\kappa \approx 0.22 \frac{\text{W}}{\text{m-K}}$. From thermodynamic simulation (VI), $\Delta H = -6996.3 \text{ cal}$ for 0.8081 moles in equilibrium, hence

$$\Delta H = (-6996.3 \text{ cal}) \left(\frac{1}{0.2389 \text{ cal/J}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{0.8081 \text{ mol}} \right),$$

$$\Delta H = - 36240.1 \frac{\text{J}}{\text{mol}} \quad (\text{B.28})$$

From kinetic model simulation (Model 6B),

$$E = 40090.6 \frac{\text{J}}{\text{mol}} \quad (\text{B.29})$$

Substituting values of C_p , ΔH , D_{eff} , and E from

Eqns. (B.22), (B.28), (B.21), and (B.29), and using

$\kappa \approx 0.22 \text{ W/mK}$, $T_p \approx 494.3 \text{ }^\circ\text{K}$, and $R = 8.314 \text{ J/mol-K}$ obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \frac{(0.0003 \frac{\text{gm-mol}}{\text{cm}^3})(- 36240.1 \frac{\text{J}}{\text{gm-mol}})(0.0016 \frac{\text{cm}^2}{\text{s}})}{(0.22 \frac{\text{J}}{\text{m-s-K}})(\frac{1 \text{ m}}{100 \text{ cm}})(494.3 \text{ K})} \\ &= -0.016 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B. 30})$$

and

$$\gamma = \frac{40090.6 \frac{\text{J}}{\text{gm-mol}}}{(8.314 \frac{\text{J}}{\text{gm-mol K}})(494.3 \text{ K})},$$

$$\gamma = 9.76 \quad (B.31)$$

The criterion for the isothermality inside the catalyst pellet requires [191]:

$$\phi_p |\beta| < \frac{1}{\gamma} \quad (B.32)$$

Plugging values of ϕ_p , β and γ from Eqns. (B.25), (B.30) and (B.31) gives

$$(0.0099)|-0.016| = 0.0002 < 0.103 = \frac{1}{9.76}$$

The criterion is also satisfied, therefore isothermality should exist inside the catalyst particle.