COAL LIQUEFACTION

- A RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT NEEDS ASSESSMENT

by the

DOE COAL LIQUEFACTION RESEARCH NEEDS (COLIRN) ASSESSMENT PANEL

Final Report

Volume I

March 1989

Prepared For:

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Research Office of Program Analysis

Under Contract No. DE-AC01-87ER30110

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Coal Liquefaction

- A Research & Development Needs Assessment

Dr. Harvey D. Schindler*, Dr. Francis P. Burke^a, Dr. K. C. Chao^b, Dr. Burtron H. Davis^c, Dr. Martin L. Gorbaty^d, Dr. Kamil Klier^e, Dr. Carl W. Kruse^f, Dr. John W. Larsen^g, Dr. Robert E. Lumpkin^h, Dr. Michael E. McIlwainⁱ, Dr. Irving Wender^j, Mr. Norman Stewart^k.

- * Energy Technology and Engineering, Science Applications International Corporation, 1710 Goodridge Drive, McLean, VA 22102.
- a. Consolidation Coal Company R&D, 4000 Brownsville Road, Library, PA 15129.
- b. School of Chemical Engineering, Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering Building, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907.
- c. Kentucky Energy Cabinet Laboratory, P.O. Box 13015, Lexington, KY 40512-3015.
- d. Exxon R&D, Clinton Township, Route 22 East, Annandale, NJ 08801.
- e. Department of Chemistry, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA 18015.
- f. Illinois State Geological Survey, 615 East Peabody Drive, Champaign, IL 61820.
- g. Department of Chemistry, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA 18015.
- h. AMOCO Corporation, 200 East Randolph Drive, Chicago, IL 60601.
- i. Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, 2151 North Blvd., Idaho Falls, ID 83415.
- j. Department of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15261.
- k. Electric Power Research Institute, 3412 Hillview Avenue, Palo Alto, CA 94303.

^{*}This publication was prepared by the U.S. DOE panel group on research needs assessment for coal liquefaction (COLIRN). The contract sponsor was the DOE Office of Energy Research, Office of Program Analysis. The Director of the Office of Program Analysis was Dr. George Jordy, and the Director of the Research & Technical Assessment Division was Mr. Robert Rader. The DOE technical project officer was Dr. Gilbert Jackson; the DOE Contract Number was DE-ACO1-87ER30110. Dr. Harvey D. Schindler of SAIC served as principal investigator and chairman of COLIRN, and other listed authors served as members of COLIRN. In addition, SAIC staff members, Dr. Edward I. Wan, Dr. Malcolm D. Fraser, and Mr. William R. King, participated in developing prioritization methodology and contributed to the preparation of this publication.

The following people, who were not members of the COLIRN panel group, contributed to this publication and are appropriately identified as authors or coauthors of specific chapters or sections.

- 1. Dr. Christine Curtis, Auburn University
- 2. Dr. David Gray, MITRE Corporation
- 3. Mr. Harry Frumkin, Chevron Research Company
- 4. Dr. Ripudaman Malhotra, SRI International
- 5. Dr. George Marcelin, University of Pittsburgh
- 6. Dr. Donald F. McMillen, SRI International
- 7. Dr. Richard F. Sullivan, Chevron Research Company
- 8. Mr. Glen Tomlinson, MITRE Corporation
- 9. Dr. Bary Wilson, Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory
- 10. Mr. Richard A. Winschel, Consolidation Coal Company

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME I

		Page No
ABST	RACT	xix
ACKN	OWLEDGEMENTS	xx
EXEC	UTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
CHAP'	TER 1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	BACKGROUND	1-1
1.2	ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVES	1-3
1.3	DEFINITION OF COAL LIQUEFACTION TECHNOLOGIES	1-5
1.4	REPORT ORGANIZATION	1-6
REFE	RENCE FOR CHAPTER 1	1-7
CHAP'	TER 2 ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY	2-1
2.1	TECHNICAL APPROACH	2-1
2.2	SELECTION AND ROLE OF THE COLIRN PANEL	2-3
	2.2.1 Selection	
	2.2.2 Role	2-4
2.3	SITE VISITS AND OTHER EXPERT INPUTS	2-5
2.4	COLIRN PANEL MEETINGS	2-7
	2.4.1 First Panel Meeting	
	2.4.2 Second Panel Meeting	2-8
CII A DI	TIDD 2 GOLD LIGHTH STON DED DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTIONS	3-1
	TER 3 COAL LIQUEFACTION R&D RECOMMENDATIONS	
3.1	SUMMARY	
3.2	RESEARCH NEEDS OF DIRECT LIQUEFACTION	
	3.2.1 Current Research Activities and Status	. 3-9
	Liquefaction	3-11
3.3	RESEARCH NEEDS OF INDIRECT LIQUEFACTION	3-21
	3.3.1 Current Research Activities and Status	3-21
	3.3.2 High-Priority Recommendations in Indirect Liquefaction	3-24
3.4	RESEARCH NEEDS IN PYROLYSIS	3-30
J. T		3-30
	3.4.1 Current Research Activities and Status 3.4.2 High-Priority Recommendations in Pyrolysis	2 21

		Page No
3.5	RESEARCH NEEDS IN COPROCESSING	3-38
	3.5.1 Current Research Activities and Status	
3.6	RESEARCH NEEDS IN BIOCONVERSION	3-43
	3.6.1 Current Research Activities and Status	
3.7	DIRECT CONVERSION OF METHANE	3-45
3.8	DOE COAL LIQUEFACTION PROGRAM	3-46
	3.8.1 Overview	3-46
	Program	3-47 3-52
3.9	OTHER CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	3-55
	3.9.1 Opinions and Comments	
3.10	PEER REVIEWERS' COMMENTS	3-59
	3.10.1 General (Opposing) Comments	
	3.10.3 Comments re: Indirect Liquefaction	3-62
	3.10.4 Comments re: Pyrolysis	3-62

VOLUME II

		<u>Pa</u>	ge No.
INTR	ODUCTION	N TO VOLUME II	xv
CHAP:	rer 4 i	REVIEW OF DIRECT LIQUEFACTION	4-1
	Donald Burtron P. Bur Science Sulliva Gray a	tin L. Gorbaty, Exxon Research and Engineering Company F. McMillen and Ripudaman Malhotra, SRI International H. Davis, Kentucky Energy Cabinet Laboratory; Francicke, Consolidation Coal Company; Harvey D. Schindler Applications International Corporation; Richard Fan and Harry Frumkin, Chevron Research Company; Davind Glen Tomlinson, MITRE Corporation; and Bary Wilson Le Pacific Northwest Laboratory.	s , ,
4.1	INTROD	UCTION AND SUMMARY	4-1
	4.1.1 4.1.2	Introduction	4-1 4-4
	4.1.2	Summary	4-4
4.2	FUNDAM	ENTAL AND APPLIED RESEARCH	4-8
	4.2.1 4.2.2	Coal Structure Related to Liquefaction	4-8
	4.2.3 4.2.4	Reactions	4-27 4-50
		Coal Liquefaction	4-67
4.3	DIRECT	LIQUEFACTION PROCESS DEVELOPMENT	4-76
	4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5	Overview of Technology Development Status Evolution of Direct Liquefaction Technology Refining Coal Liquids	4-76 4-77 4-116 4-139 4-156
REFE	RENCES	FOR CHAPTER 4	4-163
CHAP	By Irv	REVIEW OF INDIRECT LIQUEFACTION	5-1 :,
5.1	INTROD	UCTION AND SUMMARY	5-1
	5.1.1 5.1.2	Introduction	5-1 5-2
5.2	CONVER	SION OF SYNTHESIS GAS TO LIQUID HYDROCARBON FUELS	5-6
	5.2.1	Fischer-Tropsch Reactions, Chemistry, and Mechanisms	5-6
		Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis Catalysts	5-7
		Operation	5-9

		Page No.
	5.2.4 Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis in the Slurry Phase 5.2.5 Conversion of Methanol to Gasoline with Zeolite-	5-11
	Containing Catalysts	5-11
5.3	OXYGENATE SYNTHESIS AND PROCESSES	5-19
	5.3.1 Methanol Synthesis	5-61 5-112
	Amyl Methyl Ether (TAME) Technology	
REFE	RENCES FOR CHAPTER 5	. 5-123
CHAP	FER 6 REVIEW OF PYROLYSIS	
6.1	INTRODUCTION	. 6-1
	6.1.1 Introduction	
	6.1.2 Summary	. 6-2
6.2	FUNDAMENTAL AND APPLIED RESEARCH	. 6-5
	6.2.1 Coal Structure and Physicochemical Properties as Related to Pyrolysis	. 6-13
	Products	
	Utilization	. 6-34
6.3	PROCESS DEVELOPMENT	. 6-44
	6.3.1 Descriptions of Advanced Low-Temperature Pyrolysis Processes	. 6-44 . 6-57 . 6-71
REFE	RENCES FOR CHAPTER 6	. 6-72
СНАР	TER 7 REVIEW OF COAL/OIL COPROCESSING	. 7-1
	By Christine W. Curtis, Auburn University, and Richard A. Consolidation Coal Company.	Jinschel,
7.1	INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY	. 7-1
	7.1.1 Introduction	. 7-1 . 7-2

		Page No.
7.2	BACKGROUND OF COPROCESSING	. 7-4
	7.2.1 Early Work	
7.3	FUNDAMENTAL AND APPLIED RESEARCH	. 7-8
	7.3.1 Chemistry and Mechanisms of Coprocessing Reactions 7.3.2 Catalytic Coprocessing	
7.4	PROCESS DEVELOPMENT	. 7-15
	7.4.1 Recent Development Work	
	Their Upgrading	
REFE	RENCES FOR CHAPTER 7	. 7-34
CHAP'	TER 8 REVIEW OF BIOCONVERSION OF COAL	. 8-1
8.1	INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY	•
	8.1.1 Introduction	
8.2	BACKGROUND	. 8-4
	8.2.1 Description of the Bioconversion Concept 8.2.2 Advantages and Disadvantages of Bioprocessing	
8.3	DIRECT LIQUEFACTION (BIOSOLUBILIZATION)	. 8-11
	8.3.1 Historical Background	. 8-20
8.4	INDIRECT LIQUEFACTION	. 8-25
	8.4.1 Historical Background	. 8-25 . 8-26 . 8-29
REFE	RENCES FOR CHAPTER 8	. 8-32
CHAP'	TER 9 LIQUEFACTION DEVELOPMENTS OUTSIDE THE U.S	
	By Harvey D. Schindler, Science Applications Internati Corporation.	onal
9.1	INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY	. 9-1

			Page No.
	9.1.1 9.1.2	Introduction	. 9-1 . 9-2
9.2	FEDERAL 9.2.1 9.2.2 9.2.3 9.2.4	L REPUBLIC OF GERMANY German Technology Pyrosol Process High-pressure Hydrogenation Coprocessing	. 9-4 . 9-4 . 9-9
9.3	JAPAN		. 9-11
	9.3.1 9.3.2	Brown Coal Liquefaction	. 9-11 . 9-11
9.4	CANADA		. 9-14
	9.4.1 9.4.2 9.4.3	Alberta Research Council (ARC)	
9.5	GREAT 1	BRITAIN	. 9-17
	9.5.1 9.5.2 9.5.3	Direct Liquefaction	. 9-17
9.6	ITALY		. 9-18
9.7	PEOPLE	S REPUBLIC OF CHINA (PRC)	. 9-19
	9.7.1 9.7.2	Direct Liquefaction	
REFE	RENCES	FOR CHAPTER 9	. 9-20
APPE	NDIX A	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE FERWG-II REPORT	. A-1
APPE	NDIX B	OXIDATIVE COUPLING OF METHANE REVIEW	. B-1
APPE	NDIX C	MEMBERS OF THE EXPERT PANEL	. C-1
APPE	NDIX D	PANEL MEETING REPORTS	. D-1
APPE	NDIX E	DEVELOPMENT OF RESEARCH RECOMMENDATION DATABASE	. E-1
APPE	NDIX F	PEER REVIEWERS' COMMENTS	. F-1
GLOS	SARY	·	. GL-1

LIST OF FIGURES

VOLUME I

		Page No.
CHAPTER 2		
Figure 2-1.	Overall Technical Approach for Conducting R&D Needs Assessment in Coal Liquefaction	2-2
CHAPTER 3		
Figure 3-1.	U.S. Department of Energy Coal Liquefaction Program	3-48
Figure 3-2.	Objectives of the Current DOE Program in Direct Coal Liquefaction	3-49
Figure 3-3.	Objectives of the Current DOE Program in Indirect Liquefaction	3-50
Figure 3-4.	Objectives of the Current DOE Program in Support Studies/Engineering Evaluations	3-51
Figure 3-5.	Research Areas of DOE's Advanced Research and Technology Development Program in Coal Liquefaction	3-53
Figure 3-6.	Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center In-House R&D Program in Coal Liquefaction	3-54

VOLUME II

		Page No.
CHAPTER 4		
Figure 4-1.	Molecular Structure for a Bituminous Coal	4-16
Figure 4-2.	Distribution of Oxygen Functionality in Coals	4-19
Figure 4-3.	Plot of Fraction of Aromatic Carbon (f_a) Versus Percent Carbon for 63 Coals and Coal Macerals	4-20
Figure 4-4.	Elemental Composition of the Three Main Maceral Groups	4-23
Figure 4-5.	Coal Conversion to Liquids Begins with a Thermolysis	4-25
Figure 4-6.	Proposed Chemistry of Hydrogen Donation	4-25
Figure 4-7.	Some Possible Reactions During Hydrolique-faction	4-28
Figure 4-8.	Liquefaction Mechanisms	4-32
Figure 4-9.	Scission of Strong Bonds Via H-Transfer	4-34
Figure 4-10.	Rationale for Using Additives Rich in Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PCAH)	4-36
Figure 4-11.	Computed Rates for Cleavage by Different Modes .	4-37
Figure 4-12.	Replacing Part of Tetrahydrofluoranthene (H-Donor) by Fluoranthene (Non-Donor) Increases Efficiency of H-Utilization and Selectivity to Oils	4-39
Figure 4-13.	Importance of Hydrogen Shuttling in Catalytic Liquefaction	4-40
Figure 4-14.	Intermediates in Liquefaction Reactions	4-42
Figure 4-15.	Ring Closure and Cleavage Rates of 1,1' -Binaphthyl and 1,2'-Dinaphthylmethane	4-45
Figure 4-16.	Electron Loss and Proton Transfer	4-46
Figure 4-17.	Candidate Structures for Study of Retrogressive Reactions	4-49
Figure 4-18.	Asphaltene and Oil Production as a Function of Catalyst Type	4-55
Figure 4-19.	Pore Diffusion Effects in Catalysts	4-56
Figure 4-20.	Catalyst Activity Versus Catalyst Age	4-58
Figure 4-21.	Effective Diffusivities for Spent Catalyst Samples	4-61
Figure 4-22.	H-Coal Ebullated-Bed Reactor	4-79
Figure 4-23.	Block Flow Diagram of NTSL Operation	4-87
Figure 4-24.	Process Flow Diagram of Integrated Two-Stage Liquefaction	4-90

		Page No
Figure 4-25.	Block Flow Diagram of Integrated Two-Stage Liquefaction (ITSL)	4-100
Figure 4-26.	Block Flow Diagram of RITSL Operation	4-103
Figure 4-27.	Block Flow Diagram of CC-ITSL Operation at Wilsonville	4-105
Figure 4-28.	HRI Catalytic Two-Stage Unit	4-108
Figure 4-29.	Block Flow Diagram of CTSL Operation with Solids Recycle at Wilsonville	4-112
Figure 4-30.	Distillations of Arabian Crudes and Coal-Derived Oils	4-119
Figure 4-31.	Refining of Coal Syncrude to Transportation Fuels	4-121
Figure 4-32.	Refining of Coal Syncrude to Gasoline	4-122
Figure 4-33.	Effect of Feed End Point on Catalyst Temperature for Hydrodenitrogenation of ITSL Oils with Fresh ICR 106 Catalyst	4-126
Figure 4-34.	Close-Coupled Ash Recycle Configuration	4-141
Figure 4-35.	Yields from Illinois No. 6 Coal	4-144
Figure 4-36.	Yields from Wyoming Coal	4-145
Figure 4-37.	Liquefaction Yields Per Ton of Coal	4-146
Figure 4-38.	Product Quality From Illinois Coal	. 4-147
CHAPTER 5		
Figure 5-1.	Types of Zeolite Selectivity	. 5-14
Figure 5-2.	Framework Structure of ZSM-5	. 5-15
Figure 5-3.	Gibbs Free Energy of Carbon Monoxide Hydrogenation	. 5-21
Figure 5-4.	ICI Low-Pressure Methanol Synthesis Process	. 5-25
Figure 5-5.	ICI Methanol Convertor	. 5-26
Figure 5-6.	Stability of Industrial ${\rm Cu/Zn0_3/Al_20_3}$ Catalyst .	. 5-28
Figure 5-7.	Flow Diagram of Lurgi Methanol Synthesis	. 5-29
Figure 5-8.	Lurgi Boiling-Water Low-Pressure Methanol Reactor	. 5-30
Figure 5-9.	Simplified Process Flow Sheet for LaPorte PDU .	. 5-33
Figure 5-10.	LaPorte PDU 40-Day Run Performance, May/June 1985	. 5-35
Figure 5-11.	Yield of Methanol as Function of Water Injection	5-47

		<u>Pa</u>	<u>ge No</u> .
Figure	5-12.	Yield of Methanol as Function of Cs Loading	5-48
Figure	5-13.	MNDO Energy Diagram for Reaction of Carbon Monoxide with Hydroxide to Form Formate	5-53
Figure	5-14.	Infrared Spectra	5-54
Figure	5-15.	Correlation of Specific Methanol Activity as a Function of Normalized Cesium Surface Concentration	5-57
Figure	5-16.	Flow Diagram of MAS Process	5-68
Figure		Influence of Composition on the Selectivity of IFP-1 Catalysts	5-71
Figure	5-18.	Block Diagram of the Integrated Natural Gas to Alcohols Complex	5-72
Figure	5-19.	Reactor Section of IFP/Idemitsu Kosan Process	5-74
Figure	5-20.	Breakdown of Production Cost of C_1 - C_6 Alcohols	5-78
Figure	5-21a.	Effect of Cs Content of the MoS ₂ Catalysts on the Product Yield	5-80
Figure	5-21b.	Effect of Cs Content of the MoS ₂ Catalysts on the Total Product Yield as a Function of Temperature	5-81
Figure	5-22.	Flowsheet for the OCTAMIX Synthesis	5-83
Figure	5-23.	Schematic of the Catalytic Testing System	5-87
Figure	5-24.	Chain Growth Reactions	5-92
Figure	5-25.	Integral, Isothermal, Plug-Flow, Fixed-Bed Kinetic Model	5-94
Figure	5-26.	Measured and Predicted Product Yields	5-95
Figure	5-27.	Comparison of Measured and Predicted Yields	5-96
Figure	5-28.	The Roles of Additives for Effective Synthesis of EtOH and AcOH	5-107
Figure	5-29.	Average Forward WGS Rate Constants	5-114
Figure	5-30.	A Schematic Diagram of the Methyl Tertiarybutyl Ether (MTBE) Process	5-118
Figure	5-31.	Variation of Product Yield with Alcohol Mixture Partial Pressure	5-121
CHAPTE	R 6		
Figure	6-1.	Summary of Coal Structure Information in a Hypothetical Coal Molecule	6-9

	<u>Pa</u>	ige No
Figure 6-2.	Cracking of Hypothetical Coal Molecule During Thermal Decomposition	6-9
Figure 6-3.	Effect of $ZnCl_2$ on Total Carbon Conversion of MRS and UB Coals at 13.8 MPA H_2 Pressure	6-26
Figure 6-4.	Effect of Acid Washing on MRS Coal with ZnCL ₂ at 467° to 482° Reactor Temperature, 13.8 MPa H ₂ Pressure	6-26
Figure 6-5.	Effect of Temperature and Pressure on Catalytic and Noncatalytic Hydropyrolysis	6-28
Figure 6-6.	Elemental Compositions of Char and Tar Normalized to the Compositions in the Parent Coal	6-32
Figure 6-7.	COED Coal Pyrolysis	6-46
Figure 6-8.	Stirred Carbonizer Unit	6-50
Figure 6-9.	Effect of Sweep Gas on Yields with Preoxidized	0 30
rigare 0-7.	Coal	6-51
Figure 6-10.	Lurgi Ruhrgas Flash Carbonization Process	6-54
Figure 6-11.	Occidental Research Pyrolysis Process Flow Scheme	6-56
Figure 6-12.	Demonstration-Scale Pyrolysis Plant	6-60
Figure 6-13.	Commercial-Scale Pyrolysis Plant	6-61
Figure 6-14.	Breakdown of Capital Costs for Lurgi Plants	6-67
Figure 6-15.	Breakdown of Capital Costs for Toscoal Plants	6-67
CHAPTER 7		
Figure 7-1.	Simplified Flow Plan of HRI's Two-Stage Process	7-17
Figure 7-2.	Typical Coprocessing Liquid Product Yield Data	7-18
Figure 7-3.	Block Flow Diagrams of LCI Coprocessing Concepts .	7-22
Figure 7-4.	Signal/UOP's Proposed Resid/Coal Processing Scheme	7-23
Figure 7-5.	Chemical Composition of Coprocessing Napthas	7-27
Figure 7-6.	Coprocessing Product Cost Components	7-33
CHAPTER 8		
Figure 8-1.	Schematic of a Generic Bioprocess	8-5
Figure 8-2a.	Mechanism of Lignin Degradation	8-12
Figure 8-2b.	Hypothetical Scheme of Cleavage of Ether Bonds by the Enzyme System of Poria subacida	8-12
Figure 8-3a	Arvlglycerol-R-arvl etherase	8-13

4.1			<u>Page No</u> .
Figure	8-3b.	1- β and 4- β Ligninase Reactions	. 8-13
Figure	8-4.	Integrated Gasification, Bioconversion Combined Cycle Processes	. 8-28
Figure	8-5.	Biosolubilized Coal to Methane Processing Plant .	. 8-30
CHAPTE	2 9		
Figure	9-1.	German Technology	. 9-7
Figure	9-2.	Pyrosol Process	. 9-8
Figure	9-3.	High Pressure Hydrogenation	. 9-10
Figure	9-4.	Schematic Flow Diagram of NEDOL Process PDU	. 9-12
Figure	9-5.	CANMET Continuous-Flow Coprocessing Unit	. 9-16

LIST OF TABLES

VOLUME I

				<u>P</u>	<u>age No</u> .
EXECUTIVE SU	MMARY				
Table ES-1.	Summary of High-Priority R&D Recommendations in Coal Liquefaction		•		ES-10
CHAPTER 3					
Table 3-1.	Summary of High-Priority R&D Recommendations .	· ·			3-3
Table 3-2.	Emerging Indirect Liquefaction R&D Activities .				3-23

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

VOLUME II

		·	Pag	<u>te No</u> .
CHAPTE	R 4			
Table 4	4-1.	Properties of Coals of Different Rank		4-11
Table 4	4-2.	Important Process Development and Exploratory Work .		4-52
Table 4	4-3.	Wilsonville Facility - NTSL (Illinois No. 6 Coal) .		4-88
Table 4	4-4.	Integrated Two-Stage Liquefaction Process Yields (Lummus SCT Only)		4-92
Table 4	4-5.	Lummus ITSL Product Yields		4-95
Table 4	4-6.	Lummus ITSL Distillate Product Quality (Illinois No. 6)		4-97
Table	4-7.	Wilsonville Facility ITSL (Illinois No. 6 Coal)		4-101
Table	4-8.	Wilsonville Facility ITSL (Wyodak Subbituminous Coal)		4-106
Table	4-9.	CTSL Demonstration Run Comparison with H-Coal (Illinois No. 6 Coal)		4-110
Table	4-10.	Wilsonville Facility - CTSL		4-113
Table	4-11.	History of Process Development and Performance for Bituminous Coal Liquefaction	•	4-114
Table	4-12.	Coal - Derived Pilot Plant Feeds: Comparison of Properties		4-118
Table	4-13.	Hydrotreating Pilot Plant Tests for Coal-Derived Oils 750°F Catalyst Temperature; Fresh ICR 106 Catalyst		4-124
Table	4-14.	Summary of Yields and Refining Costs for Coal- Derived Oils	•	4-131
Table	4-15.	Refinery Costs Based on UOP Summary		4-135
Table	4-16.	Differential Refining Costs, Dollars per Barrel of Desired Product		4-136
Table	4-17.	Conclusions from BRSC/SAIC Economics Study		4-137
Table	4-18.	Plant Summaries, Illinois No. 6 Coal		4-148
Table	4-19.	Annual Operating Cost Illinois No. 6 Coal		4-150
Table	4-20.	Baseline Economic Assumptions		4-152
Table	4-21.	Required Selling Price of Products		4-153
Table	4-22.	Required Selling Prices from Published Study Designs		4-155
Table	4-23.	Summary of Ecological Impacts for A 1-quad Coal Liquefaction Industry and Research Recommendations		4-157

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

			<u>Page No</u> .
CHAPTER	5		
Table 5	-1.	Typical Process Conditions and Product Yields for MTG Processes	5-17
Table 5	-2.	Activities and Selectivities to Oxygenates (Methanol and C_2+ Alcohols) of Catalysts Based on Copper	5-37
Table 5	-3.	Reactivity of Copper Hydride Systems (Soluble Copper Catalyst)	5-43
Table 5	-4.	EPA Waivers Granted For Methanol/Cosolvent Alcohol Blends	. 5-62
Table 5	5-5.	Acceptable Methanol/Cosolvent Alcohol Blend Under the DuPont Waiver	. 5-63
Table 5	5-6.	Comparative Operating Characteristics for Various Alcohol Processes	. 5-66
Table 5	5-7.	Typical Composition and Properties of MAS	. 5-69
Table 5	5-8.	Performance and Composition of IFP Catalysts	. 5-75
Table 5	i-9.	Composition of Fractionated Alcohols from Demonstration Unit	. 5-76
Table 5	5-10.	Motor-Fuel Properties of Fractionated Alcohols from Demonstration Unit	. 5-77
Table 5	i-11.	OCTAMIX Compositions	. 5-84
Table 5	5-12.	Blending Densities of OCTAMIX	. 5-85
Table 5	5-13.	Operating Characteristics for Cs-doped Copper-Based Catalytic Processes Obtained at Lehigh University .	. 5-89
Table 5	5-14.	Comparison of Product Compositions	. 5-90
Table 5	5-15.	Effect of Cesium Loading of Catalyst on Selectivity	. 5-91
Table 5	5-16.	Activities and Selectivities to Oxygenates (C_1 - C_5 Alcohols, Acetic Acid, Esters) of Catalysts Based on Group VIII Metals	. 5-105
Table 5	5-17.	Activities and Selectivities to Oxygenates (Methanol and C_2+ Alcohols) of Catalysts Based on Alkalized MoS_2	. 5-109
CHAPTER	l 6		
Table 6	5-1.	Effect of Additives on Conversion of MRS Coal at 467^{O} to $482^{\text{O}}\text{C},\ 13.8\ \text{MPa}$	6-27
Table 6	5-2.	Characteristics of Liquids From Coal Pyrolysis Processes	6-30
Table 6	5-3.	Properties of Feed Coals and Product Chars	6-33
Table 6	5-4.	Key Properties of Hydrotreated Pyrolysis Liquids	6-39

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

•			<u>Pa</u>	<u>ge No</u> .
Table	6-5.	Status of Advanced Pyrolysis Developments		6-45
Table	6-6.	COED Process Product Distribution	,	6-48
Table	6-7.	Effect of Vapor Residence Time on Tar Yield at $925^{o}F$		6-53
Table	6-8.	Major Product Yields from the Demonstration-Scale Plants		6-63
Table	6-9.	Major Product Yields from the Commercial-Scale Plants		6-64
Table	6-10.	Capital Cost Summary		6-66
Table	6-11.	Product Unit Prices		6-68
Table	6-12.	Annual Operating Cost Summary		6-69
CHAPT	ER 7			
Table	7-1.	Product Characterization Overview of Coprocessing Products		7-26
Table	7-2.	Product Characterization		7-28
Table	7-3.	HRI Economic Screening Studies Summary of Cases		7-31
Table	7-4.	HRI Economic Screening Studies Summary of Results	•	7-32
CHAPT	ER 8			
Table	8-1.	Fungal Types Examined for Solubilization Activity .	•	8-15
CHAPT	ER 9			
Table	9-1.	Direct Liquefaction Processes Under Development Outside the U.S		9-3
Table	9-2.	German Coal Liquefaction Projects	•	9-5
Table	9-3.	German Technology (Ruhrkohle AG) Typical Operating Conditions		9-6