Appendix F

Case 6

Shell Gasifier and Steam Reforming of Natural Gas

CASE 6

All capital cost data in this report, except where otherwise specified, has been estimated from similar installations described in the <u>Houston Area Medium-BTU Coal Gasification Project Final Report</u>, published in June 1982 by Union Carbide [1] (All references to material in this report will be referred to as <u>Houston</u>, and all scaling exponents from the Houston report are 0.65). The plant consumes 0.59 million metric tons of coal, 0.48 million metric tons of oxygen, 0.35 billion standard cubic meters of natural gas, and produces 0.50 million metric tons of mixed alcohols per year.

SYNGAS PRODUCTION FROM NATURAL GAS

Compressed natural gas (stream 14) and steam (stream 15) are reacted in the Steam Reformation Block. The cooled output gas (stream 17) goes to the Rectisol Block. The cost for this unit was estimated from data found for a hydrogen production facility, with a scaling exponent of 0.8 [2]. The fuel gas usage for this block is estimated to be 30% of the natural gas feed.

COAL PREPARATION

Coal (stream 10) and carbon dioxide (stream 12) are sent to the Coal Preparation Block. The coal is crushed, mixed with the carbon dioxide, and sent to the gasifier (stream 13). The Coal Preparation Block is composed of three plants from the <u>Houston</u> report. Plant 61 is the Reclaiming, Transfer, and Crushing Plant. The cost of this plant was scaled exponentially. Plant 22 is the Barge Terminal. This plant was scaled exponentially. Plant 60 is Coal Receiving and Storage and again the cost for this plant was scaled exponentially.

CRYOGENIC OXYGEN PLANT

Compressed air (stream 1) is cooled and sent to the Cryogenic Oxygen Plant Block, and is separated into high purity oxygen (stream 2), nitrogen (stream 3), argon (stream 6), and a water and carbon dioxide waste mixture (stream 28). A small quantity of nitrogen (stream 19) is sent to the Rectisol Block. The Cryogenic Oxygen Plant Block does not include the inlet air compressors or the outlet oxygen compressors. In the cryogenic system, there are provisions for gaseous and liquid oxygen backups sufficient to maintain downstream plant operation in the event of a shutdown in the cryogenic facility. We also assume that some scale down is possible for this system, so the capital investment has been calculated linearly for the reduction in trains, and exponentially for throughput reduction per train. Each train can produce up to 2,000 tons of oxygen per day. The Houston plants that comprise the Cryoplant Block are 02 and 08.

RECTISOL

The cooled raw gas streams (streams 17 and 18), nitrogen gas (stream 19) for methanol regeneration, and methanol make-up (stream 20) for vapor loss all enter the Rectisol Block. H₂S levels are reduced to the ppb range and CO₂ levels to the ppm range. The clean syngas (stream 22) is sent to the alcohol synthesis loop. A CO₂-N₂ mixture (stream 24) and a CO₂ rich stream (stream 23) are produced as byproducts. Condensed water is also removed (stream 17A). This block is the same as <u>Houston</u> Plant 05. The cost for this plant was estimated by using exponential scaling.

SHELL GASIFIER

The coal is conveyed by CO₂ to the gasifier, where it mixes with compressed oxygen (stream 9) and is burned at approximately 1,300°C and 2,800 kPa in the Shell Gasifier Block. The hot raw gas (stream 8) is sent to the Syngas Heat Recovery Block, and the slag (stream 33) is sent to the Slag Handling Block. Each train can handle up to 2,541 tons of coal per day, with a scaling exponent of 0.65.

SLAG HANDLING

Molten slag from the Shell Gasifier Block (stream 33) is direct quenched with water and sent to slag disposal (stream 37). A small amount of water (stream 36) is purged from the closed loop and is replaced by water make-up (stream 34). This block is the same as <u>Houston</u> Plant 63. The cost for this plant was estimated by exponential scaling.

COS HYDROLYSIS

The sulfide rich stream from the Rectisol Block (stream 25) and steam are sent to the COS Hydrolysis Block where COS is converted to H₂S. The product gas (stream 41) is sent to the Claus Sulfur Recovery Block. The COS Hydrolysis Block cost is assumed to be negligible.

SYNGAS HEAT RECOVERY

The raw gas stream from the Shell Gasifier Block (stream 8) at 1,300°C and 2,800 kPa enters the Syngas Heat Recovery Block and is cooled against process boiler feed water at 25°C (stream 71). The raw gas stream exits at 300°C (stream 18), and the boiler feed exits as steam at 10,000 kPa and 535°C (stream 68). It is assumed that the raw gas stream is cooled further prior to entering the Rectisol Block. This block is part of Houston Plant 04.

CLAUS PLANT

Hydrogen sulfide rich gas (stream 41) is mixed with air (stream 42) and converted in a two-step reaction to elemental sulfur (stream 46). The unreacted hydrogen sulfide (stream 45) is then sent to the Beavon Plant for further treatment. This block is the same as <u>Houston</u> Plant 06. The cost for this plant was estimated by exponential scaling.

BEAVON PLANT

The Claus tail gas (stream 45) and air (stream 47) go to the Beavon Block. Additional sulfur is made (stream 51), and the gas leaving (stream 50) is sufficiently free from sulfides that it can be vented to the atmosphere. A sour water stream (stream 54) is sent from the plant for treatment. The cost of this block was estimated from data collected from various sources, with a scaling exponent of 0.65 [3].

MoS₂ ALCOHOL SYNTHESIS LOOP

Clean syngas (stream 26) at 140 atmospheres enters the catalytic reactor along with the syngas recycle (stream 56B). The products (stream 26A) are taken to the separations block where the unreacted syngas is removed (stream 59). Part of this stream (stream 27) is sent to power generation while the rest (stream 56) is sent to CO₂ removal. The cost of this block was estimated from the cost of a methanol synthesis loop, with a scaling exponent of 0.565 [4].

CO, REMOVAL

This block is very similar to the Rectisol Block. Recycled gas from the alcohol separation block (stream 56) is the only feed. CO₂ free syngas (stream 56A) is then recompressed and sent back to the reactor. CO₂ is taken off as a product (stream 57). The cost of this block is calculated the same way as in the Rectisol block. Its power requirement is included in the Rectisol block.

COMBUSTION GAS TURBINE

The light hydrocarbons extracted from the reactor recycle (stream 27) in the Alcohol Synthesis Loop are sent to a combustion gas turbine with hot gas heat recovery. The power from the combustion gas turbines is assumed to be 35% of the HHV of the fuel in stream 27. This is consistent with recent studies on IGCC plants using medium BTU synthesis gas [9]. The cost for this block was estimated from data taken from an EPRI report, where each train can produce up to 200 MW with a scaling exponent of 0.67 [10].

EXHAUST GAS HEAT RECOVERY

The hot exhaust gas stream from the Gas Turbine Block (stream 70) at 590°C and 101 kPa enters the Exhaust Gas Heat Recovery Block and is cooled against process boiler feed water at 25°C (stream 73). The exhaust gas stream exits at 200°C (stream 75), and the boiler feed exits as steam at 10,000 kPa and 535°C (stream 74). The cost for this block was estimated from data taken from an EPRI report, where each train can generate up to 425 tons of steam per hour with a scaling exponent of 0.67 [10]. This block also supplies the reheat between the high pressure and intermediate pressure steam turbines.

POWER GENERATION

The steam from the Syngas Heat Recovery Block and the Exhaust Gas Heat Recovery Block is let down in the steam turbines for power production. The cost for this block was estimated from data taken from an EPRI report, where each train can produce up to 500 MW with a scaling exponent of 0.67 [10]. This is a 3-stage steam turbine system. The high pressure stage inlet is 535°C, 10,000 kPa steam. The exhaust at 3,000 kPa is reheated to 535°C before entering the intermediate pressure stage. The final stage exhausts to a surface condenser at 7.4 kPa. Each turbine has an assumed efficiency of 75%.

IMPORTANT POINTS OF INFORMATION

Several decisions were made for the creation of this case that should be outlined. Also, there are alternatives that have not been fully considered which will be considered in more detail later. They are listed below along with the reasons behind them.

- Catalytic steam/methane reformation used to adjust the H₂:CO ratio upwards. The ratio from coal gasification is less than 1. Since the optimal ratio for higher alcohol synthesis is approximately 1.1 1.2, an additional source of hydrogen was required. The reformer was assumed to operate at equilibrium, as suggested in the literature [8]. Other alternatives to this block are available and will be considered.
- The traditional method for purifying high quantities of pure oxygen is by cryogenics, which is used for this case. However, recent reports suggest that membrane and catalytic processes are becoming economically competitive with cryogenics. Therefore, we will examine these alternatives.
- The Rectisol system was chosen for H₂S and CO₂ removal. The major alternative to Rectisol is Selexol. The literature indicates that Rectisol has a higher installed capital cost, but a lower fixed operating cost than Selexol. Both of these systems are capable of removing H₂S to the ppm level and beyond. However, there is some evidence that quantities of H₂S are beneficial if the reaction involves the MoS₂ catalyst. If this is so, then a system such as the Benfield acid gas removal process might be more suitable. The Benfield system does not remove as much H₂S and has lower capital and operating costs.

TOTAL ESTIMATED CAPITAL INVESTMENT (MM\$)

Synthesis Gas via Natural Gas			27.8
Coal Preparation			19.5
Texaco Gasifier			92.5
Slag Handling			2.2
Gas Turbines			44.9
Steam Turbines			17.9
Exhaust Gas Heat Recovery			11.0
Synthesis Gas Heat Recovery			3.3
Cryogenic Oxygen Production			49.4
Rectisol (Acid Gas Separation)			14.5
Claus (Sulfur Recovery)	e tra		7.5
Beavon			1.6
Alcohol Synthesis Loop			47.2
CO2 Removal			26.9
Other Compressors			50.6
TOTAL			416.6

(sum of individual block costs does not exactly equal the total due to round-off)

OVERALL ECONOMIC EVALUATION

The following table gives the totals and breakdowns for the yearly operating costs as well as the total installed cost for the plant.

TOTAL ESTIMATED INSTALLED CAPITAL COST (MM\$)		416.6
TOTAL ESTIMATED OPERATING COSTS (MM\$/YR)		130.5
Coal (\$33/metric ton delivered)	19.5	
Natural Gas (\$106/1000 cubic meters)	37.6	
Other Expenses	73.4	
TOTAL ESTIMATED CREDITS (EXCLUDING ALCOHOLS) (MM\$/YR	}	38.1
Power (\$0.05/kWh)	33.6	
Slag (\$5.5/metric ton) [6]	0.3	
Sulfur (\$300/metric ton) [7]	4.2	

Credits for nitrogen, argon, and other rare gases have not been included because prices were not available and potential markets have not yet been identified.

STAND ALONE COMPRESSORS AND POWER SUMMARY

There are 5 compressors that are not included in any of the blocks. Their inlet, outlet, pressure change, power rating, and installed capital cost are listed below. Following that is a summary of the total plant power output/input [5]. An efficiency of 70% is assumed for all compressors, with a maximum pressure ratio of 5 for a single stage of compression. Multiple compression stages with intercooling are used for services with pressure ratios greater than 5.

FUNCTION	INLET	Р	OUTLET	P	POWER	COST
	STREAM	(kPa)	STREAM	(kPa)	(MW)	(MM\$)
Air Prep	1A	101	1	500	-18.2	16.6
O2 Prep	2	500	9	2834	-3.6	3.7
Reform Comp	17C	1400	17	2804	-4.9	4.7
Rxtr Prep	22	2804	26	14000	-23.9	21.9
Recy Comp	56A	12666	56B	14000	-3.6	3.6
Total compressor	needs				-54.2	
Other in plant need	st				-4.9	
Total produced in :	steam and gas	turbines	•		143.1	
Net power output					84.0	
Total installed com	pressor costs ((1992 dollar	s)			50.6

REFERENCES

- 1. Final Report on the Houston Area Medium-BTU Coal Gasification Project, Volumes 2 and 3. Prepared by the Linde Division of Union Carbide Corporation, June 1982.
- 2. Baasel, William D., Preliminary Chemical Engineering Plant Design, 2nd edition, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1990, pp. 268-269.
- 3. "Beavon Sulfur Removal Process," Hydrocarbon Processing, April 1984, p.78.
- 4. Frank, Marshall E. "Methanol: Emerging Uses, New Syntheses," *Chemtech*, June 1982, pp. 358-362.

....

- 5. Baasel, pp. 529-530.
- 6. T. Torries, personal communication
- 7. Chemical Marketing Reporter, August 31, 1992.
- 8. Rase, Howard F., Chemical Reactor Design for Process Plants, Volume 2, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1977, pp. 133-138.
- 9. Report TR-101789, Houston Lighting and Power Company's Evaluation of Coal Gasification Coproduction Energy Facilities, EPRI Project 3226-04, 1992.
- EPRI Report TR-100319, Evaluation of a 510-MWe Destec GCC Power Plant Fueled With Illinois No. 6 Coal, Prepared by Fluor Daniel, Inc., EPRI Project 2733-12, 1992.

■ argon 50 atmosphere exhaust gaser co2 purge mixed alcohols slag product S. sulfur co2 + n2 Figure F.1: Block Flow Diagram for Case 6 6 co2 rich stream (2) **©** Dw | Wa (E) separation heat recovery Beavon plant exhaust gas • Icohol turbines 9 (3) (3) P (3) removal • c02 (3) • synthesis Claus • Icohol (3) 6 gas turbine 3 **®**-**3** 6 8 eyn. gae heat recov 3 water (3) Plw wid COS Rectisoi (3) handling Geeiffer Shell preparation water (2) cryogenic O2 plant Syn Gas from N.G. Water make-up COM 3 (13) co2 steam methanol make up nat gas **(E)** ₽¥

Table F.1 Case 6 Flow Table

	Γ	Γ		Τ	Γ		T		312.7						Γ			Γ					Γ	Γ	,	0	c	
012									31.																312.7	13758.0	25.0	
010		4070.3										1663.3	46.9		36.0		320.6	54.7	76.2						6267.9	73803.2	25.0	
600																	1875.6								1875.6	60018.6	141.0	
800								4148.7	228.3	5.0	.:2	1202.6	454.1	49.7	:35.4	1.2						6.0			6126.0	139806.5	1300.0	
900	80.4																								80.4	3215.3	25.0	
004															6948.2										6948.2	194548.6	25.0	
003															6975.4										6975.4	195310.7	25.0	
200																	1875.6								1875.6	60018.6	25.0	
001A	80.4								2.7				215.2		6975.4		1875.6								9149.3	262536.9	25.0	
001	80.4								2.7				215.2		6975.4		1875.6								9149.3	262536.9	25.0	
	Ar	ບ	снзон	с2н5он	сзн7он	с4н9он	с5H110H	00	c02	cos	CaCO3	H2	H20	H2S	N2	NH3	02	S	A1203	с3н602	с4н802	CH4	с2н6	HCN	kmol/hr	kg/hr	Temp.(C)	

Table F.1 Case 6 Flow Table (cont'd)

020			-	•																					0.1	3.3	10	101.3
019															27.2										27.2	762.0	25.0	500.0
018								4148.7	228.3			1202.6	454.1	49.7	35.4	1.2						0.9			6126.0	139806.5	300.0	2803.9
017C								1233.4	288.6		.;	4854.6			٠.							1.2			6377.7	56961.3	٠	1400.0
017B													1789.3												1789.3	32206.7	25.0	1400.0
017A													454.1												454.1	8174.7	25.0	2803.9
017								1233.4	288.6			4854.6										1.2			6377.7	56961.3	25.0	2803.9
015													3599.8												3599.8	64797.0	300.0	1480.0
014																						1523.2			1523.2	24371.0	25.0	1480.0
013		4070.3							312.7			1663.3	46.9		36.0		320.6	54.7	76.2						6580.6	87561.2	25.0	2833.7
	Ar	ບ	СНЗОН	С2Н5ОН	сзн7он	С4Н9ОН	С5H110H	CO	C02	cos	CaCO3	Н2	H20	H2S	N2	NH3	02	S	A1203	с3н602	C4H8O2	CH4	С2Н6	HCN	kmo1/hr	kg/hr	Temp.(C)	Press. (KPA)

Table F.1 Case 6 Flow Table (cont'd)

SA 027 028			.3	7	0.	36.5	15.2	.9 714.2	7		.;-	803.8								18.0	6.	.7 443.3	L		.4 2131.1 217.9	35926.1	25.0	13556
026 026A			1 628.3		145.0	36	15	5382.1 7001				6057.1 7880.0	╀			2				18	11.9	2.1 4345.7	\vdash		3 11441.4 22543.4	162847.5	275.0	1 0000
024 025			0.1						180.9 25.8	5.0				49.7	62.6	1.2									243.5 81.9	9713.2 3151.5	25.0 25.0	2803 9 2080
023																										10	25.0	2803.9
022								5382.1				6057.1										2.1			11441.4	162847.5	25.0	2803.9
	Ar	ບ	снзон	сзн5он	сзн7он	с4н9он	с5н11он	8	c02	cos	CaCO3	Н2	Н20	H2S	N2	NH3	02	S	A1203	с3н6о2	С4Н8О2	CH4	с2н6	HCN	kmol/hr	kg/hr	Temp. (C)	Press. (KPA

Table F.1 Case 6 Flow Table (cont'd)

	036	037	041	042	045	046	047	020	051	054
Ar										
ບ										
снзон			0.1		0.1			0.1		
с2н5он										
сзн7он										
с4н9он										
с5н11он										
8										
c02			30.9		30.9			30.9		
cos										
CaCO3							÷			
H2										
H20	4708.7				52.0					54.7
H2S			54.7		2.7					
N2				97.7	97.7		11.0	108.8		
NH3			1.2		1.2					1.2
02				26.0			2.9	1.6		
S						52.0			2.7	
A1203		76.2								
с3н602										
C4H802										
CH4										
с2н6										
HCN										
kmol/hr	4708.7	76.2	86.9	123.7	184.6	52.0	14.0	141.3	2.7	55.9
kg/hr	84757.1	7773.3	3241.7	3567.9	5146.9	1662.7	403.2	4457.0	87.5	1005.5
Temp. (C)	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	200.0	125.0	25.0	100.0	125.0	50.0
Press. (KPA	101.3	101.3	101.3	101.3	101.3	101.3	101.3	101.3	101.3	101.3

Table F.1 Case 6 Flow Table (cont'd)

	056	056A	0568	057	029	690	064	065	067
Ar									
ပ									
снзон							628.3		
С2Н5ОН							655.4		
сзн7он							145.0		
С4Н9ОН							36.5		
с5н110н							15.2		
00	6287.7	6287.7	6287.7		7001.9				
C02	1341.4			1341.4	1493.7				
cos									
CaCO3						**			
H2	7076.3	7076.3	7076.3		7880.0				
H20						140.1		964.2	
H2S									
N2						<u> </u>			20360.4
NH3									
02									5412.3
S									
A1203									
с3н602						18.0			
C4H8O2						11.9			
CH4	3902.4	3902.4	3902.4		4345.7				
с2н6	154.1	154.1	154.1		171.6				
HCN									
kmol/hr	18761.9	17420.5	17420.5	1341.4	20892.9	170.1	1480.4	964.2	25772.6
kg/hr	316290.2	2572	257269.8	59020.4	352216.3	4905.1	62996.0	17356.3	743282.7
Temp. (C)	25.0	25.0	45.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0
Press. (KPA) 12666.0	12666.0	12666.0	14000.0	12666.0	12666.0	12666.0	12666.0	10000.0	101.3

Table F.1 Case 6 Flow Table (cont'd)

	068	070	071	073	074	075	076	077	078
Ar									
снзон									
с2н5он									
сзн7он									
с4н9он									
с5н11он									
CO						· ·			
co2		1344.8				1344.8			
cos									
CaCO3						÷			
H2									
H20	6115.7	2707.0	6115.7	4200.7	4200.7	2707.0	10316.4	10316.4	10316.4
H2S									
N2		20360.4				20360.4			
NH3						,			
		3705.5				3705.5			
A1203									
с3н602									
C4H8O2									
CH4									
с2н6									
HCN									
kmol/hr	6115.7	28117.7	6.2119	4200.7	4200.7	28117.7	10316.4	10316.4	10316.4
kg/hr	110083.5	796565.1	110083.5	75612.0	75612.0	796565.1	185695.5	185695.5	185695.5
Temp.(C)	535.0	590.0	25.0	25.0	535.0	200.0	40.0	380.0	535.0
Press. (KPA)	10000.0	101.3	10000.0	10000.0	100001	101.3	7.4	3000.0	3000.0

Table F.2 Case 6 Energy Analysis

ELECTRICITY		
Plant	Electricity Used (MW)	Electricity Produced (MW)
Coal Preparation Plant	1.2	0
Cryogenic Oxygen Plant	2.5	0
Rectisol Plant	0.6	0
Syn. Gas Heat Recovery	0.5	0
Claus Plant	0.1	0
Gas Turbine	0	80.4
Steam Turbine	0	62.6
Compressor 1	18.2	0
Compressor 2	3.6	0
Compressor 3	4.9	0
Compressor 4	23.9	0
Compressor 5	3.6	0
Total	59.0	143.1