

ETHANOL SYNTHESIS AND WATER GAS SHIFT OVER BIFUNCTIONAL SULFIDE CATALYSTS

RECEIVED
OCT 31 1995
OSTI

Final Technical Progress Report

September 12, 1991 to December 11, 1994

Kamil Klier and Richard G. Herman
with
Michael Deemer, Michelle Richards-Babb, and Tim Carr

Zettlemoyer Center for Surface Studies
and Department of Chemistry
Lehigh University
Bethlehem, PA 18015

July 1995

RECEIVED
USDOE/PETC
95 SEP 27 PM 12: 59
ACQUISITION & ASSISTANCE DIV.

PREPARED FOR THE UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Under Contract No. DE-FG22-91PC91301

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Ed. Final

ETHANOL SYNTHESIS AND WATER GAS SHIFT OVER BIFUNCTIONAL SULFIDE CATALYSTS

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States DOE, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

ETHANOL SYNTHESIS AND WATER GAS SHIFT OVER BIFUNCTIONAL SULFIDE CATALYSTS

Acknowledgements

In addition to the support of the U.S. Department of Energy-Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center for this research, we appreciate the support of the sponsors of the following fellowships and scholarships for Michelle Richards-Babb: Heim Fellowship, Texaco Philanthropic Fellowship, Buch Fellowship, and University Graduate Fellowship.

Tim Carr was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy through the University Coal Research (UCR) Internship Program established by the Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center.

ETHANOL SYNTHESIS AND WATER GAS SHIFT OVER BIFUNCTIONAL SULFIDE CATALYSTS

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Title Page	i
Disclaimer	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	viii
 ABSTRACT	 1
 INTRODUCTION	 3
Utility and Synthesis of Oxygenates for Fuels	3
Molybdenum Disulfide Catalysts	6
Structure of Molybdenum Disulfide	7
Role of Alkali Promoters in Molybdenum Disulfide	11
Activation and Incorporation of Carbon Monoxide	22
Mechanism of Alcohol Synthesis From Synthesis Gas	22
Computational Aspects of CO Insertion	26
Experimental Evidence of CO Insertion	31
Activation of Hydrogen by Molybdenum Disulfide	37
 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	 42
Preparation of Sulfide Catalysts	42
Preparation of MoS ₂	42
10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ Catalyst-Sample 1	43
10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ Catalyst-Sample 2	44
CsOOCH/MoS ₂ = 13/87 wt% Catalyst	45
CsOOCH/MoS ₂ = 20/88 wt% Catalyst	45
K/MoS ₂ = 12.5/87.5 wt% Catalyst	46
Determination of Surface Areas	48
Catalytic Testing of MoS ₂ -Based Catalysts	48
Theoretical Calculations	51

RESULTS

Consideration of the Thermodynamic Stability of Transition Metal Sulfides	53
Investigation of Electronic Structure	57
Catalytic Testing of Cs/MoS ₂ Catalysts	66
Air-Doped CsOOCH/MoS ₂ = 20/80 wt% Catalyst	66
Catalytic Testing of Undoped Molybdenum Disulfide	80
Testing of 13 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂	91
Catalytic Testing of the 12.5 wt% K/MoS ₂ Catalyst	97
Testing of the 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ Catalyst	102
Testing 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ Catalyst-Sample 2	119
X-Ray Powder Diffraction Analysis of MoS ₂ Catalysts	131
Surface Areas of the MoS ₂ -Based Catalysts	141
 CONCLUSIONS	 149
 REFERENCES	 150

ETHANOL SYNTHESIS AND WATER GAS SHIFT OVER BIFUNCTIONAL SULFIDE CATALYSTS

List of Tables

<u>TABLE</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Selected properties of hydrocarbon fuels and oxygenated additives.	4
2. Acid form of the potassium salts used to dope the MoS ₂ catalyst.	13
3. Steady-state (9 hr) activities and selectivities for the conversion of H ₂ /CO = 1 synthesis gas over unpromoted and K-promoted MoS ₂ catalysts at 300°C and 1.5 MPa.	13
4. The content of potassium (wt%) in/on the MoS ₂ catalysts as determined by Auger electron spectroscopy (AES), X-ray dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), and chemical elemental analysis (CA).	14
5. Composition of the Cs-doped MoS ₂ catalysts.	21
6. Isotopic enriched of the carbon atoms in each product upon injection of ¹³ CH ₃ OH into the H ₂ /CO synthesis gas over a CsOOCH/MoS ₂ = 20/80 wt% catalyst [11,27,65].	33
7. Experimentally determined values of x and α for H _x MoS _α at 0.1 MPa and 180°C [82].	40
8. Chemical analyses (wt%) of the Catalyst B MoS ₂ and of the freshly prepared CsOOCH/MoS ₂ catalyst.	46
9. Product space time yields (g/kg cat/hr) obtained over the 20 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ catalyst with H ₂ /CO = 1/1 synthesis gas at 8.3 MPa and with GHSV = 7810 ℓ/kg cat/hr.	69
10. Alcohol yields and product molar ratios over the Cs/MoS ₂ = 20/80 wt% catalyst from H ₂ /CO = 1 synthesis gas at GHSV = 7810 ℓ/kg cat/hr.	69
11. Experimentally determined alpha values determined over MoS ₂ .	88
12. Product space time yields (g/kg cat/hr) of the hydrocarbons, carbon dioxide, and water formed over the undoped MoS ₂ catalyst from H ₂ /CO = 1/1 synthesis gas.	88

13. Comparison of product space time yields (g/kg cat/hr) and CO conversion rates (mol CO/kg cat/hr) for (A) the present 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS₂ catalyst with (B) those reported earlier [11,65] for a catalyst of the same composition under the same reaction conditions. 130
14. Comparison of the surface areas of the undoped MoS₂, untested 20 wt% CsOOCH/MoS₂, and tested 20 wt% CsOOCH/MoS₂ catalysts. 141

ETHANOL SYNTHESIS AND WATER GAS SHIFT OVER BIFUNCTIONAL SULFIDE CATALYSTS

List of Figures

<u>FIGURE</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Representations of the crystal structure of hexagonal MoS ₂ as taken from [A] Santiesteban, J. G., Ph.D. Dissertation, Lehigh University, May 1989 and [B] Wilson, J. A.; Yoffe, A. D., Advan. Phys., 1969, <u>18</u> , 193.	8
2. Representation of the crystal structure of rhombohedral MoS ₂ as taken from Santiesteban, J. G., Ph.D. Dissertation, Lehigh University, May 1989. Note the additional layer compared with the hexagonal form of MoS ₂ .	9
3. Representations of the (10 $\bar{1}$ 0) and (11 $\bar{2}$ 0) edge planes of crystalline MoS ₂ , as well as the (0002) basal plane.	10
4. Relation between pK _a of the conjugate acids of the potassium dopants used and the space time yields of the alcohols produced over the MoS ₂ catalysts (see Table 3 for reaction conditions and reference).	14
5. Alcohol selectivity vs time-on-stream for K ₂ S- (●, not shown in Table 3) and KCl- (○) promoted MoS ₂ catalysts tested under the reaction conditions given in Table 3.	16
6. Total conversion of CO to products vs time-on-stream for K ₂ S- (●, not shown in Table 3) and KCl- (○) promoted MoS ₂ catalysts tested under the reaction conditions given in Table 3.	16
7. Infrared spectra of (A) a fresh K ₂ CO ₃ /MoS ₂ catalyst and (B) a used K ₂ SO ₄ /MoS ₂ catalyst tested at 300°C and 1.5 MPa pressure [22].	17
8. Effect of cesium content on the MoS ₂ catalyst on the space time yield of products formed over the catalysts from H ₂ /CO = 0.96 synthesis gas at 295°C and 8.3 MPa with GSHV = 7750 ℓ/kg cat/hr [11,27].	20
9. Calculated metal-carbonyl binding energies for naked metal atoms and for metal hydrides, relative to the free initial species [62].	29

10. Transition state energies for carbonyl insertion into metal-hydrogen and metal-alkyl bonds [62].	30
11. Reaction energies for carbonyl insertion into metal-hydrogen and metal-methyl bonds [62].	31
12. Diagram of the vacuum apparatus used in the preparation of alkali-doped MoS ₂ catalysts.	44
13. Apparatus used to prepare MoS ₂ catalysts intercalated with potassium.	47
14. Schematic diagram of the alcohol synthesis reactor system used to test the undoped and alkali-doped MoS ₂ catalysts.	50
15. Stability of TS ₂ toward reduction (298K, 1 atm).	54
16. Stability of TS ₂ toward oxidation (298K, 1 atm).	56
17. Valence band region of polycrystalline MoS ₂ .	60
18. Polycrystalline NbS _(2-x) valence band region.	61
19. Comparison of theoretical valence band spectrum with the experimental valence band spectrum of polycrystalline MoS ₂ .	62
20. Comparison of theoretical valence band spectrum with the experimental valence band spectrum of polycrystalline NbS _(2-x) .	63
21. Theoretical orbital components of the valence band for 2-D MoS ₂ .	65
22. Product yield over CsOOCH/MoS ₂ = 20/80 wt% catalyst vs temperature at 8.3 MPa with H ₂ /CO = 1/1 synthesis gas with GHSV = 7810 ℓ/kg cat/hr.	67
23. Product selectivity as a function of temperature at 8.3 MPa with H ₂ /CO = 1/1 with GHSV = 7810 ℓ/kg cat/hr.	68
24. Product yields vs reactant flow rate at 350°C and 10.3 MPa in the presence of 45.5 ppm H ₂ S.	72
25. Total alcohol yield vs temperature at 8.9 MPa and GHSV = 7810 ℓ/kg cat/hr with and without H ₂ S in the H ₂ /CO = 1 synthesis gas.	73

26. Dependence of the methanol selectivity on reaction temperature at 8.9 MPa and GHSV = 7810 ℓ /kg cat/hr with and without H ₂ S in the synthesis gas.	75
27. Selectivity of C ₂ ⁺ alcohols vs temperature at 8.9 MPa and GHSV = 7810 ℓ /kg cat/hr with and without H ₂ S in the H ₂ /CO = 1 synthesis gas.	76
28. Hydrocarbon/alcohol molar ratio from H ₂ /CO = 1 synthesis gas at 8.9 MPa and GHSV = 7810 ℓ /kg cat/hr with and without the presence of H ₂ S.	77
29. Methanol/C ₂ ⁺ alcohol molar ratio vs temperature from H ₂ /CO = 1 synthesis gas at 8.9 MPa and GHSV = 7810 ℓ /kg cat/hr with and without the presence of H ₂ S.	78
30. Methane yield vs reaction temperature with H ₂ /CO = 1 synthesis gas at 8.9 MPa and GHSV = 7810 ℓ /kg cat/hr with and without the presence of H ₂ S.	79
31. CO conversion (mol/kg cat/hr) vs temperature over MoS ₂ at 8.1 MPa and GHSV = 7750 ℓ /kg cat/hr.	81
32. CO ₂ /H ₂ O molar ratio over MoS ₂ vs temperature over MoS ₂ at 8.1 MPa and GHSV = 7750 ℓ /kg cat/hr with H ₂ /CO = 1.	82
33. Schulz-Flory plot for MoS ₂ at 265°C, 8.1 MPa and GHSV = 7750 ℓ /kg cat/hr with H ₂ /CO = 1.	83
34. Schulz-Flory plot for MoS ₂ at 280°C, 8.1 MPa and GHSV = 7750 ℓ /kg cat/hr with H ₂ /CO = 1.	84
35. Schulz-Flory plot for MoS ₂ at 300°C, 8.1 MPa and GHSV = 7750 ℓ /kg cat/hr with H ₂ /CO = 1.	85
36. Schulz-Flory plot for MoS ₂ at 320°C, 8.1 MPa and GHSV = 7750 ℓ /kg cat/hr with H ₂ /CO = 1.	86
37. Space time yield vs temperature at 8.1 MPa and GHSV = 7750 ℓ /kg cat/hr over MoS ₂ .	89
38. Schulz-Flory plot for MoS ₂ at 295°C, 8.1 MPa and GHSV = 7750 ℓ /kg cat/hr with methanol injection into the H ₂ /CO = 1 synthesis gas.	90

39. Methane productivity vs time and temperature over the 13 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ catalyst with H ₂ /CO = 1 synthesis gas at 8.1 MPa and GHSV = 7750 ℓ/kg cat/hr.	92
40. Selectivity vs temperature over the Cs/MoS ₂ catalyst.	93
41. Hydrocarbon/alcohol molar ratio over Cs/MoS ₂ catalyst.	94
42. Methanol/C ₂ ⁺ oxygenated products molar ratio.	95
43. Space time yield of products over Cs/MoS ₂ with H ₂ /CO = 1 vs temperature at 8.1 MPa.	96
44. Selectivity (C mol%) vs temperature.	98
45. CO ₂ space time yield vs temperature.	99
46. Total alcohol and hydrocarbon space time yields vs temperature.	100
47. Alcohol space time yields vs temperature.	101
48. CO conversion rate (mol/kg cat/hr) over 12.5 wt% K/MoS ₂ catalyst vs temperature.	103
49. Methanol/C ₂ ⁺ alcohol molar ratio vs temperature.	104
50. Alcohol/hydrocarbon molar ratio vs temperature.	105
51. Product space time yield over 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ catalyst vs temperature (Set 1) at 8.1 MPa, GHSV = 7750 ℓ/kg cat/hr, and H ₂ /CO = 1.	107
52. Selectivity vs temperature (Set 1) at 8.1 MPa, GHSV = 7750 ℓ/kg cat/hr, and H ₂ /CO = 1.	108
53. Selectivity of total alcohols and hydrocarbons vs temperature (Set 1) at 8.1 MPa, GHSV = 7750 ℓ/kg cat/hr, and H ₂ /CO = 1.	109
54. Product space time yield over 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ catalyst vs temperature (Set 2) at 8.1 MPa, GHSV = 7750 ℓ/kg cat/hr, and H ₂ /CO = 1.	111
55. Selectivity vs temperature (Set 2) at 8.1 MPa, GHSV = 7750 ℓ/kg cat/hr, and H ₂ /CO = 1.	112

56. Selectivity of total alcohols and hydrocarbons vs temperature (Set 2) at 8.1 MPa, GHSV = 7750 ℓ /kg cat/hr, and $H_2/CO = 1$.	113
57. Conversion of CO in mol% over the 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ catalyst vs reaction temperature for temperature sets 1 and 2.	114
58. Conversion rate of CO (mol/kg cat/hr) over the 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ catalyst vs reaction temperature for temperature sets 1 and 2.	115
59. Total alcohol % yield over 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ catalyst vs temperature at 8.1 MPa and GHSV = 7750 ℓ /kg cat/hr with $H_2/CO = 1$.	116
60. Total alcohol space time yield vs temperature at 8.1 MPa and GHSV = 7750 ℓ /kg cat/hr with $H_2/CO = 1$ for temperature sets 1 and 2.	117
61. Hydrocarbon/alcohol molar ratio vs temperature from $H_2/CO = 1$ at 8.1 MPa and 7750 ℓ /kg cat/hr for temperature sets 1 and 2.	118
62. CO conversion (mol/kg cat/hr) over the 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ catalyst-Sample 2 with $H_2/CO = 1$ at 8.3 MPa and GHSV = 7758 ℓ /kg cat/hr vs time and reaction temperature.	121
63. Total alcohol and hydrocarbon space time yields vs time and temperature with the 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ catalyst.	122
64. Carbon dioxide space time yields vs time and temperature with the 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ catalyst.	123
65. Methanol space time yields vs time and temperature with the 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ catalyst.	124
66. Selectivity (C mol%) of alcohols and hydrocarbons vs temperature.	125
67. Alcohol/hydrocarbon molar ratio vs temperature.	126
68. Total alcohol and hydrocarbon space time yields vs temperature.	127
69. Alcohol space time yields vs temperature.	128
70. Methanol/C ₂ ⁺ alcohol molar ratio vs temperature.	129

71. X-Ray powder diffraction pattern of MoS ₂ obtained by decomposition of MoS ₃ under He flow (Batch 2A).	133
72. X-Ray powder diffraction pattern of MoS ₂ obtained by decomposition of MoS ₃ under N ₂ flow (Batch 1B).	134
73. X-Ray powder diffraction pattern of freshly prepared 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ prepared from Batch 1B MoS ₂ .	135
74. X-Ray powder diffraction pattern of 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ prepared from Batch 2A MoS ₂ after standing in air for over three weeks.	136
75. X-Ray powder diffraction pattern of a second freshly prepared 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ sample.	138
76. X-Ray powder diffraction pattern of the second prepared sample of 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ after standing in air for over one month.	139
77. X-Ray powder diffraction pattern of the second prepared sample of 10 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ after standing in air for over one month and then being tested for alcohol synthesis activity.	140
78. BET plot for undoped MoS ₂ .	142
79. BET plot for untested 20 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ .	143
80. BET plot for tested 20 wt% CsOOCH/MoS ₂ .	144
81. BET plot for undoped 96 m ² /g MoS ₂ .	147
82. BET plot for undoped 91 m ² /g MoS ₂ .	147