

DOE/PC/92108--T10

TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT FOR COBALT F-T CATALYSTS

Contract No. DE-AC22-92PC92108

QUARTERLY TECHNICAL PROGRESS REPORT No. 10

Covering the Period January 1, 1995 to March 31, 1995

Prepared for:

U.S. Department of Energy
Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center
PETC Project Manager: Richard E. Tischer
P. O. Box 10940
Pittsburgh, PA 15236-0940

Submitted by:

Principal Investigator: Alan H. Singleton
Energy International Corporation
135 William Pitt Way
Pittsburgh, PA 15238

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

June 28, 1995

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

RECEIVED

OCT 16 1995

OSTI

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A number of important technical accomplishments and conclusions have been reached during this reporting period.

Following the catalyst pretreatment effects reported in the previous quarterly report, it appears that the higher activity obtained for the catalysts prepared using an organic solution and reduced directly without prior calcination was the result of higher dispersions obtained under such pretreatment.

A Ru-promoted Co catalyst on alumina with 30% Co loading exhibited a four-fold increase in dispersion and a two-fold increase in activity in the fixed-bed reactor from that obtained with the non-promoted catalyst, reported in the previous report. Compared with the results obtained with the Ru-promoted 20 wt% Co catalyst, the increase in activity due to higher Co loadings is not as significant as that reported for the non-promoted catalysts. This would confirm the suggestion that a greater percent of the additional Co is reducible to the metal without the presence of a reduction promoter such as Ru.

Several slurry bubble column reactor runs during this reporting period have again focused on pushing conversion to higher levels. Larger charges of catalysts than in previous runs, in addition to raising the temperature and varying the reactants flow rates, were used in order to achieve higher conversions. The maximum conversion obtained has been 49.7% with 26g catalyst at 260°C and 403 SL/h (25% N₂ in a H₂ + CO mixture). The higher catalyst charge resulted in higher conversions at the standard reaction conditions. However, as in the previous runs at high conversions, low flow rates and higher temperatures resulted in greater heat being released. This resulted in difficulties in temperature control and faster loss of activity especially at the high

temperatures. When a low activity catalyst was used, relatively high conversions could be achieved at temperatures as high as 280°C without excessive deactivation.

Further investigations of the effect of reaction temperature on the performance of Co catalysts during F-T synthesis were started using a low activity catalyst and one of the most active catalysts. The catalysts were tested in the fixed-bed reactor at various temperatures while monitoring their deactivation. The preliminary results obtained during this quarter confirm that Co catalysts are very sensitive to temperature. They deactivate very fast at temperatures above 240°C. Further tests will be carried out in the following quarter in order to determine the nature of this deactivation process and possible means to prevent it.

The three 1 kg catalyst batches prepared by Calsicat for the reproducibility and aging studies were tested in both the fixed-bed and slurry bubble column reactors under the standard reaction conditions. Their performances for F-T synthesis were similar, and they were also similar to the performances obtained with two catalysts previously prepared by Calsicat in smaller batches and with the reference catalyst prepared in-house. The 1000 hour aging test was started with one of these catalysts prepared by Calsicat.

The effects of adding various promoters to some cobalt catalysts have also been addressed. Based on the SBCR results, La and Zr promotion of Co/Al₂O₃ appear to have little or no effect on catalyst activity. However, these activities were also among the highest already obtained in SBCR. On the other hand, Re and La addition to Co/SiO₂ did not improve the activity over that obtained with the low activity unpromoted Co/SiO₂ catalyst.

Finally, a special test run was made to determine whether slugging or plugging occurred in the reactor system at higher solids loading. A charge of 50 wt% inactive catalyst in synfluid was tested at 240°C, 450 psi. Nitrogen gas feed rate was varied between 900 SL/h and 1300 SL/h with no solids carryover. In addition, synfluid was fed at 30 ml/hr and no overhead slugging and no plugging occurred in the internal heavy product filter. This test suggests that the reactor system can handle high catalyst loading. However, the reactor cannot handle high heat generation because there is no capability for removing the heat of reaction.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
LIST OF TABLES	iv
I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1
II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION	1
III. OBJECTIVES	3
IV. SUMMARY OF WORK ACCOMPLISHED THIS QUARTER	4
V. DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF TECHNICAL PROGRESS	6
A. Task 1: Catalyst Development	6
a. Technology Assessment	6
b. Catalyst Formulation	6
c. Catalyst Pretreatment	7
d. Catalyst Characterization	7
B. Task 2: Catalyst Testing	8
VI. PLANS FOR THE NEXT REPORTING PERIOD	16
VII. ASSESSMENT OF PROSPECTS FOR FUTURE PROGRESS	16
VIII. APPENDICES	44
A. Co Catalyst Formulations	A1
B. Fixed Bed Reaction Data	B1
C. Chronology of Runs in Slurry Bubble Column Reactors	C1
D. Slurry Bubble Column Reactor Data	D1

LIST of TABLES

<u>Table No.</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page No.</u>
1	List of Co-Based F-T Catalysts Formulated	17
2	List of Water-Gas-Shift Catalysts and F-T Catalysts with WGS Function	22
3	Summary of Physical Properties	23
4	H ₂ Chemisorption and TPR Results	26
5	Summary of Fixed Bed Reaction Results	30
6	Summary of M3-SBCR Run #35 Results	35
7	Summary of M4-SBCR Run #30 Results	36
8	Comparison of Conversion and Selectivity of Cobalt-Based F-T Catalysts at Startup Conditions in M4-SBCR	37
9	Comparison of Conversion and Selectivity of Cobalt-Based Catalysts at Startup Conditions in M3-SBCR	39
10	Comparison of Conversion and Selectivity of Calsicat-Produced Catalysts at Startup Conditions (in M3 & M4)	40
11	SBCR Catalyst Extractions	41

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The following persons contributed to this report: Ajay R. Belambe, Rachid Oukaci, James G. Goodwin, Jr., and George Marcelin, all from the University of Pittsburgh; William Gall, Alan Singleton, and Ronald W. Genser, from Energy International Corporation.