DE-FC22-7JFC7JUJ1--4

DOE/Mc/95051--4

JUN 2 9 1998

Engineering Development of Slurry Bubble Column Reactor (SBCR) Technology

Quarterly Report January 1 - March 31, 1996

> By Bernard A. Toseland Richard E. Tischer

Work Performeed Under Contract No.: DE-FC22-95PC95051

For
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Fossil Energy
Feederal Energy Technology Center
P.O. Box 880
Morggantown, West Virginia 26507-0880

By
AAir Products and Chemical, Inc.
7201 Hamilton Blvd.
Allelentown, Pennsylvania 18195-1501

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITEE

Disclaimer

This report was I prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the Unitited States Government. Neither the United States Government nor I any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warrantity, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, appaiaratus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specifific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, I, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or implyly its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States C Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of 1 this document may be illegible electronic inmage products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

ENGINEERING DEVELCOPMENT OF SLURRY BUBBLE COLUMN REACTOR (SBCR) TECHOLOGY

Quartreely Technical Progress Report No. 4 for thie Period 1 January - 31 March 1996

Contract Objectives

The major technical objectives oof this program are threefold: 1) to develop the design tools and a fundamental understanding of the fluid dynamics of a slurry bubble column reactor to maximize reactor productivity, 2) to develop the mathematical reactor design models and gain an understanding of the hydrodynamic fundamentals under industrially relevant process conditions, and 3) to develop an understandiding of the hydrodynamics and their interaction with the chemistries occurring in the bubbble column reactor. Successful completion of these objectives will permit more efficient usage e of the reactor column and tighter design criteria, increase overall reactor efficiency, and ensure a design that leads to stable reactor behavior when scaling up to large diameter reactors.

Summary of Progress

Technique Development

The high-temperature, high-t-pressure bubble column was improved by adding differential pressure transducers with a c computer data acquisition system, calibrating the high-temperature, high-pressure liliquid flow meter and fabricating several distributor plates that can be easily interchanged.

(The Ohio State University)

Data Acquisition

Measurements of density at h high temperature and pressure were made. Fundamental physical property data for two- and ththree-phase systems are not well understood, but are vital to understanding the fluid mechhanics of such systems. Thus, a program of measuring properties such as interfacial tension and density has been undertaken. Liquid density increased by about 5% when pressure was increæased from 15 to 3000 psig at room temperature, while the increase was 6% at 250°F.

(The Ohio State University)

The study of two-dimensional flow sometimes simplifies flow problems and facilitates the understanding of the actual 3 3-D case. Thus, the effect of increasing scale on instantaneous flow structures in 2-D bubblele columns was studied under a variety of flow rates using Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV). It In columns larger than 8 inches, the 4-region flow structure (discussed in the last quartertry report) was found in the transition region, but only a 3-region flow structure was found in a smaller columns. Gas holdup distribution also differed with increasing length. These detatailed results will form the basis for validation of computational fluid dynamic codes for two-)-phase flow.

(The Ohio State University)

Model Development/Data Proocessing

Analysis of the tracer data fromm the hydrodynamic trial showed that:

- ♦ The standard axial dispersicion model generally fits the tracer data well. Although one can calculate values for the coeefficients in the axial dispersion model, the model itself is physically unreasonable. NNew models are needed to increase the accuracy of scaleup.
- ◆ Liquid dispersion coefficierents can only be calculated reliably when the detector is far away from the injector. (This co:onclusion was made previously by inspection of the data. It was incorporated in the planning for the trial.)
- Gas phase dispersion coefficients are strongly dependent on gas holdup. Gas holdup depends upon the extent of conversion at any point in the reactor, which in turn depends upon the flow characteristics of the reactor (CSTR or PFR).
- ♦ The calculated dispersion c coefficients are about 150% greater than predicted by the standard correlations (with h data measured at atmospheric pressure).
- Gas phase dispersion coeffificients are from 1-3.5 times lower than predicted by standard correlations (atmospheric p pressure).
- ♦ Both liquid and gas axial didispersion coefficients increase with increasing gas velocity.
- ♦ Additional work to develop a suitable data base is needed in order to characterize flow patterns in bubble column r reactors at high temperatures and pressures.

(Washington University in St. Louis) (Air Products and Chemicals)