#### LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	<u>PAGE</u>
3.2.1	Nuclear transitions in $^{57}$ Fe resulting in the observable
	Mössbauer parameters30
3.2.2	Mossbauer spectra for the Fe catalyst in various chemical
	phases35
3.2.3	Mossbauer spectrum for the Fe catalyst after exposure to 1/1
	CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atm and 250°C36
3.2.4	Mossbauer spectrum for the Fe catalyst after exposure to 1/3
	CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 14 atm and 250°C37
3.2.5	Mössbauer spectra for the FeCo catalyst in various chemicals
	states39
3.2.6	Mossbauer spectrum for the FeCo catalyst after exposure to
	to the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 14 atm and 250°C40
3.3.1	Reactor scheme used for kinetic experiments at pressures
	greater than 1 atm44
3.3.2	Reactor scheme used for kinetic experiments at 1 atm.
	pressure45
3.3.3	Typical chromatogram for the low molecular weight products50
3.3.4	Typical chromatogram for the high molecular weight products51
4.1.1	NCO/PT versus % CO conversion for the Fe catalyst
	at 1, 7.8 and 14 atmospheres with the 1/3 $CO/H_2$ feed58
4.1.2	NCO/PT versus % CO conversion for the Fe catalyst
	at 1, 7.8 and 14 atmospheres with the $1/1$ CO/H $_2$ feed59
4.1.3	NCO/PT versus % of CO conversion for the CO catalyst
	at 1 and 7.8 atmospheres with the 1/1 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed62

FIGURE		<u>PAGE</u>
4.1.4	NCO/PT versus % of CO conversion for the FeCo catalyst	
	at several pressuress with the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed	63
4.1.5	NCO/PT versus % CO conversion for the Fe catalyst at	
	1, 7.8 and 14 atmospheres with the 1/1 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$ feed	64
4.1.6	$N_{ m CH}$ $/N_{ m CO}$ versus % of CO conversion for the Fe and	
	FeCo catalyst using the 1/3 feed at 1 and 14 atmospheres	67
4.1.7	$N_{\mathrm{CH}_4}/N_{\mathrm{CO}}$ versus % of CO conversion for the Co catalyst	
	using the 1/3 feed at 1 and 14 atmospheres	69
4.1.8	NCH4/NCO versus % of CO conversion for all three catalyst	
	at several pressures using the 1/1 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed	71
4.1.9	Product mole fraction of methanol versus % CO conversion	
	for all three catalysts using the I/3 ${ m CO/H_2}$ feed at 7.8	
	and 14 atmospheres	73
4.1.10	Product mole fraction of methanol versus % CO conversion	
	for the Fe and FeCo catalyst using the 1/1 ${ m CO/H_2}$ feed at	
	14 atmospheres	73
4.1.11	GHSV - % CO conversion relationship for 40 hours	
	continuous on stream exposure for the FeCo catalyst at 14	
	atmospheres using the 1/3 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$ mixture	75
4.2.1	Shift activity versus % CO conversion for all three catalysts	;
	at 1 atmosphere using both the 1/1 and 1/3 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$ feeds	79
4.2.2	Shift activity versus % CO conversion for the Fe catalyst	
	at various pressures using the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed	81

FIGURE	<u>PAGE</u>
4.2.3	Shift activity versus % Co conversion for the Fe and FeCo
	catalyst at 14 atmospheres with the 1/1 and 1/3 CO2H feeds82
4.2.4	Shift activity versus % CO conversion for the Co catalyst
	at several pressures for the 1/1 and 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feeds83
4.2.5	Shift activity versus CO conversion for the FeCo catalyst
	at 7.8 atmospheres with the $1/3~{\rm CO/H_2}$ feed and enhanced
	feed water concentration87
4.3.1	Ethylene yield versus % CO conversion for the Fe catalyst
	at 1, 7.8 and 14 atmospheres in the $1/3$ CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture90
4.3.2	Propylene yield versus % CO conversion for the catalyst
	at 1, 7.8 and 14 atmospheres in the 1/3 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$ mixture91
4.3.3	1-Butene yield versus % CO conversion for the Fe catalyst
	at 1, 7.8 and 14 atmospheres in the 1/3 CO/H mixture92
4.3.4	$N_{C_2}^2/N_{\tilde{Q}}$ , $N_{C_3}^2/N_{C_3}$ , and $N_1$ - $C_4^2/N_{C_4}$ versus % COo conversion for
	the Fe catalyst at 1, 7.8 and 14 atmsphere in the 1/3 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$
	mixture94
4.3.5	Ethylene yield versus % Co conversion for the COo catalyst
	at several pressures in the 1/3 CO/H 2mixture97
4.3.6	Propylene yield versus % CO conversion for the Co catalyst
	at 1, 7.8 and 14 atmosphere in the 1/3 $CO/H_2$ mixture98
4.3.7	Ethylene yield versus % CO conversion for the Fe, Co,
	and FeCo catalysts at 1 atmosphere in the 1/3 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$ mixture99
4.3.8	$N_{C_2}^2/N_{C_2}$ , $N_{C_3}^2/N_{C_3}$ versus % CO conversion for the Fe, Co,
	and FeCo catalysts at 1 atmosphere in the 1/3 CO/H2 mixture102

PAGE
4.3.9 Propylene yield versus % CO conversion for the Fe, Co, and FeCo
4.3.9 Propylene yield versus & co conversion 1.
catalyst at 1 atmosphere in the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture
4.3.10 Propylene and ethylene yields versus % CO conversion for the Fe,
Co, and FeCo catalysts at 7.8 atmosphere in the $1/3~{\rm CO/H_2}$
mixture104
4.3.11 Propylene yield versus % CO conversion for the Fe, Co and FeCo
catalyst at 14 atmosphere in the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture105
4.3.12 $N_{C_2}^2/N_{C_2}$ and $N_{C_3}^2/N_{C_3}$ selectivities versus % CO conversin for the
Fe. Co, and FeCo catalysts at 14 atmosphere in the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub>
mixture106
4.3.13 Propane yield versus % CO conversion for the Fe, Co and FeCo
catalyst at 14 atmosphere in the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture107
4.3.14 One butene yield versus % CO conversion for the Fe, Co and FeCo
catalyst at 14 atmospheres in the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture107
4.3.15 Methane yield versus % CO conversion for the Fe, Co and FeCo
catalyst at 1 atmosphere in the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture109
4.3.16 Methane yield versus % CO conversion for the Fe catalyst at 1 and
14 atmosphere in the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture
14 atmosphere in the 1/3 co/ng mixed for the Co catalyst at 1 and
4.3.17 Methane yield versus % CO conversion for the Co catalyst at 1 and
14 atmospheres in the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture
4.3.18 Methane yield versus % CO conversin for the FeCo catalyst at 1 and
14 atmospheres in the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture
4.3.19 Ethylene yield versus % CO conversion for the Fe, Co and FeCo
catalysts at 1 atmosphere in the 1/1 CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture
4.3.20 Propylene yield versus % CO conversion for the Fe, Co and FeCo
catalysts at 1 atmosphere in the 1/1 CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture

FIGURE	PAGE
4.3.21	$N_{C_3}^2/N_{C_3}$ and $N_{C_2}^2/N_{C_2}$ versus % CO conversion for the Fe,
	Co and FeCo catalyst at 1 atmosphere in the 1/1 ${\rm CO/H_2}$
	mixture115
4.3.22	Ethylene yield versus % CO conversion for the FeCo catalyst
	at 1, 7.8, and 14 atmosphere in the 1/1 $CO/H_2$ mixture117
4.3.23	Ethylene yield versus % CO conversion for the FeCo catalyst
	at 1, 7.8, and 14 atmosphere in the 1/1 $CO/H_2$ mixture
4.3.24	One butene yield versus % CO conversion for the FeCo catalyst
	at 1, 7.8 and 14 atmospheres in the $1/1$ CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture119
4.3.25	Propylene yield versus % CO conversion forthe Fe and FeCo
	catalysts at 14 atmospheres in the 1/1 CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture120
4.3.26	Ethylene and propylene yield versus % CO conversion for the
	Co catalyst at 1 and 7.8 atmospheres in the 1/1 CO/½ mixture121
4.3.27	${ m NC_2^{-}/N_{C_2}}$ versus % CO conversion for the Fe, Co and FeCo
	catalysts at 7.8 atmosphere in the 1/1 CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture122
4.3.28	N <sub>C3</sub> -/N <sub>C3</sub> versus % CO conversion for the Fe, Co and FeCo
	catalysts at 7.8 atmosphere in the $1/1 \text{ CO/H}_2$ mixture
4.3.29	$Nc_3^2/N_{C3}$ and $Nc_2^2/N_{C2}$ versus % CO conversion for the Fe and
-	FeCo catalysts at 14 atmospheres in the 1/1 $CO/H_2$ mixture125
4.3.30	Methane yield versus % CO conversion for the Fe catalyst at
	1 and 14 atmosphere in the 1/1 CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture126
4.3.31	Methane yield versus % CO conversion for the Co catalyst at
<b>j</b>	l and 7.8 atmospheres in the 1/1 CO/Ho mixture

FIGURE	PAGE
4.3.32	Methane yield versus % CO conversion for the FeCo catalyst
:	at 1 and 14 atmosphere in the 1/1 CO/H <sub>2</sub> mixture128
4.4.1	Product mole fractions for all three catalysts at one
	atmosphere with the 1/3 $CO/H_2$ feed130
4.4.2	Product mole fractions for all three catalysts at one
	atmosphere with the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed131
4.4.3	Product mole fractions forthe Fe catalyst at one atmosphere
	with the 1/1 and 1/3 $CO/H_2$ feeds
4.4.4	Product mole fractions for all three catalysts at 7.8
iri.	atmospheres with the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed
4.4.5	Product mole fractions for all three catalysts at 14
	atmospheres with the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed
4.4.6	Product mole fractions for the Fe and FeCo catalysts at 7.8
	atmosphere with the 1/1 $CO/H_2$ feed137
4.4.7	Product mole fractions for the FeCo and Co catalysts at 7.8
	atmospheres with the 1/1 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed138
4.4.8	Product mole fractions for the Fe and FeCo catalyst at 14
	atmospheres with the 1/1 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed139
4.4.9	Product mole fractions for the Fe catalyst at 1 and 14 atmos-
	pheres using the 1/3 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$ feed at a constant CO conversion142
4.4.10	Product mole fractions for the Fe catalyst at 14 atmospheres
	using the 1/3 $CO/H_2$ feed at two different $CO$ conversions143
4.4.11	Product mole fractions for the Fe catalyst at 1 atmosphere
	using the $1/1$ CO/H $_2$ feed and two different CO conversions144

FIGURE	PAGE
4.4.12	Product mole fractions for the Co catalyst at 1 and 7.8
	atmospheres forthe $1/1$ CO/H $_2$ feed at constant CO.
	conversion145
4.4.13	Product mole fractions for the Co catalyst at 1 and 14
	atmospheres and constant CO conversion for the 1/3 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$
	feed146
4.4.14	Product mole fractions for the Co catalyst at two different
	Co conversions at 1 atmospheres for the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed147
4.4.15	Product mole fractions for the FeCo catalyst at 1 and 14
	atmospheres with constant CO conversion using the 1/1 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$
	feed148
4.4.16	Product mole fractions for the FeCo catalyst at 1 and 14
	atmospheres with constant CO conversion using the 1/3 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$
	feed149
4.4.17	Product mole fraction for the FeCo catalyst at two different
	conversions nad 14 atmospheres using the 1/1 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed150
4.4.18	Product mole fraction for the FeCo catalyst at two different
	conversions and 14 atmospheres using the 1/3 $CO/H_2$ feed152
4.5.1	Schulz Flory plot for the Fe catalyst at 1 atm. using the 1/1
	and 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed157
4.5.2	Shulz Flory plot for the Fe catalyst at 7.8 atm. using the 1/1
4.5.2	and 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feeds158
4.5.3	Schulz Flory plot for the Co catalyst at 1 and 7.8 atm. using the
	1/1 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed160

FIGURE	PAGE
4.5.4	Schulz Flory plot for the Co catalyst at several pressures
	using the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed161
4.5.5	Schulz Flory plot for the FeCo catalyst at 1 atmopshere
	and 250°C with the $1/1$ CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed and $1/3$ CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed163
4.5.6	Schulz Flory plot for the FeCo catalyst using the 1/3 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$
	feed at 7.8 at 14 atm164
4.5.7	Schulz Flory plot for the FeCo catalyst using the 1/1 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$
	feed at 7.8 and 14 atm165
4.5.8	Schulz Flory plot for the Fe and FeCo catalyst at 14 atm.
r.	using the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed166
4.5.9	Growth probabilities as a function of pressure for the 1/3
	and 1/1 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed mixtures168
4.5.10	Fraction of converted CO reacted to form methanol and methane
	as a function of pressure for the Fe and FeCo catalyst using
	the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed169
4.5.11	Fraction of converted CO reacted to form methanol and methane as
	as a function of pressure for the Co catalyst using the 1/3
	CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed170
4.6.1	Methane and ${ m C_2}$ through ${ m C_4}$ olefin yields as a function of time
	during the transient response of the Fe catalyst using the 1/1
	CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atm174
4.6.2	$lpha ext{-Olefin}$ yields for the C $_5$ through C $_8$ products as a function of
	time during the transient response of the Fe catalyst using the
	1/1 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atm175
4.6.3	${ m C_5}$ through ${ m C_8}$ paraffin yields as a function of time during
	the transient response of the Fe catalyst using the $1/1~{\rm CO/H_2}$
	feed at 7.8 atm

FIGURE	<u>PAGE</u>	
4.6.4	Methanol yield as a function of time during the transient	
	response of the Fe catalyst at 7.8 and 14 atm with the 1/1	
	CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed179	
4.6.5	Transient response of the hydrocarbon product distribution using	
	the Schulz Flory parameterization for the Fe catalyst at 7.8 atm	
	using the 1/1 $CO/H_2$ feed182	
4.6.6	Transient response in the hydrocarbon product distribution for a	
	Ru/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> catalyst183	i
4.6.7	Methane and $C_2$ through $C_4$ olefin yields as a function of time	
	during the transient response for the Fe catalyst at 14	
•	atmospheres using the 1/3 CO2H feed185	;
. 4.6.8	$lpha$ -Olefin yields for the C $_5$ through C $_8$ products as a function of	
d C	time during the transient response for the Fe catalyst at 14 atm.	
6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	using the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed187	7
4.6.9	Carbon dioxide yield, methanol yield and NCH4/NCO rates as a	
	function of time during the transient response for the Fe	
4	catalyst at 14 atm using the 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed19	0
5.1.1	Methane activity as a function of gas hourly space velocity	
	for the Fe catalyst using the olefin enhanced 1/3 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$ feeds	
	at 1 atmosphere pressure19	6
5.1.2	Methane activity as a function of gas hourly space velocity	
	for the Fe catalyst using the olefin enhanced 1/3 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$ feeds	
	at 7.8 atmospheres pressure19	)6
5.1.3	Methane activity as a function of gas hourly space velocity for	
	the FeCo catalyst using the olefin enhanced $1/3~{\rm CO/H_2}$ feeds	
	at I atmosphere pressure	98

FIGURE	<u>PAGE</u>	
5.1.4	Methane activity as a function of gas hourly space velocity	
· ·	for the FeCo catalyst using the olefin enhanced 1/3 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$	
<u>.</u>	feeds at 7.8 atmospheres pressure198	
5.1.5	Methane activity as a function of gas hourly space velocity	
	for the CO catalyst using the olefin enhanced 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feeds	
	at 1 atmosphere pressure199	
5.1.6	Methane activity as a function of gas hourly space velocity	
	for the Co catalyst using ethylene enhanced feed at 7.8	
	atmospheres pressure199	
5.2.1	Propylene and 1-butene product yields as a function of the	•
	gas hourly space velocity for the Fe catalyst using 5.4 mole %	
	the ethylene and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feeds at 1 atmosphere202	
5.2.2	Propane and 1-butene product yields as a function of the gas	
	hourly space velocity for the Fe catalyst using 5.4 mole %	
	the ethylene and pure $1/3$ CO/H $_2$ feeds at 1 atmosphere203	
5,2.3	Propylene product yield as a function of the gas hourly space	
	velocity for the Fe and FeCo catalysts using the 5.4 mole %	
	ethylene and pure $1/3$ CO/H $_2$ feeds at 7.8 atmosphere204	
5.2.4	Propylene product yield as a function of the gas hourly space	
	velocity for the Co and FeCo catalyst using the 5.4 mole %	
	ethylene and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feeds at 7.8 atmospheres205	
5,2.5	Ethylene, propylene and 1-hexene yields as a function of the gas	
	hourly space velocity for the Fe catalyst using the .5 mole %	
	1-pentene and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feeds at 1 atmosphere21 xxi	4
Control of the Contro	7747 1	

FIGURE	PAGE
5.2.6	1-butene and 1-hexene yields as a function of the gas hourly
	space velocity for the Fe catalyst using the .5 mole %
	1-pentene and pure 1/3 $CO/H_2$ feeds at 7.8 atmospheres215
5.2.7	Ethylene propylene and 1-butene product yields as a function
	of the gas hourly space velocity for the FeCo catalyst using the
	.5 mole % 1-pentene and purg 1/3 CO/H feed at 1 atmosphere217
5.2.8	l-butene, and l-hexene product yields as a function of the gas
•	hourly space velocity for the FeCo catalyst using the .5 mole %
•	1-pentene and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atmosphere218
5.2.9	1-butene, 1-hexene and n-hexane product yields as a function
))	of the gas hourly space velocity using the .5 moel % l-pentene
<b>)</b> Y	and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 1 atmosphere220
5.2.10	Ethylene, propylene, and 1-butene product yields as a function
12	of the gas hourly space velocity for the Co catalyst using the
<b>X</b> .	.5 mole % 1-pentene and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> at 7.8 atmospheres221
5.2.11	l-hexene and n-hexane product yields as a function of the
	gas hourly space velocity for the Co catalyst using the .5
	mole % 1-pentene and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> at 7.8 atmospheres225
5.2.12	Methanol product yield as a function of the gas hourly space
	velocity for the Fe catalyst using the olefin enhanced and pure
	1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feeds at 1 atmosphere226
5.2.13	Methanol product yield as a function of the gas hourly space
	velocity for the Fe catalyst using the olefin enhanced and pure
	1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feeds at 7.8 atmosphere226

IGURE		PAGE
5.3.1	Schulz Flory plot for the Fe catalyst using the enthylene	
	enhanced 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 1 atmosphere	.229
5.3.2	Schulz Flory plot for the Fe catalyst using the 1-pentene	
	enhanced 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 1 atmosphere	.229
5.3.3	Schulz Flory plot for the Fe catalyst using the ethylene	
	enhanced 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atmospheres	.231
5.3.4	Schulz Flory plot for the Fe catalyst using the 1-pentene	
	enhanced 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atmospheres	.233
5.3.5	Schulz Flory plot for the Fe catalyst using the 1-pentene	
	enhanced 1/3 $CO/H_2$ feed at 14 atmospheres	234
<b>5.3.6</b>	Schulz Flory plot for the Co catalyst using the 1-pentene	
	enhanced 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 1 atmosphere	235
5.3.7	Schulz Flory plot for the Co catalyst using the 1-pentene	
	enhanced 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atmosphere	236
5.3.8	Schulz Flory plot for the Co catalyst using the ethylene	
	enhanced 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atmospheres	237
5.3.9	Schulz Flory plot for the Co catalyst using the ethylene	
	enhanced 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 1 atmospheres	239
5.3.10		
	enhanced 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 1 atmosphere	240
5.3.11	· .	
	enhanced 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atmospheres	241
5.3.12	·	
	enhanced 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 1 atmosphere	243

FIGURE	<u>PAGE</u>
5.3.12	Schulz Flory plot for the FeCo catalyst using the 1-pentene
	enhanced 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atmospheres243
5.3.13	Schulz Flory plot for the FeCo catalyst using the 1-pentene
	containing and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atm. and 250°C244
5.4.1A	Ethylene to ethane mole fraction rates versus the gas hourly
	space velocity for the Fe catalyst with the ethylene containing
	and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 1 atm249
5.4.1B	Ethylene to ethane mole fraction ratios versus the gas hourly
	space velocity for the Fe catalyst with the ethylene containing
	and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atm249
5.4.2A	The propylene to propane product mole fraction ratio versus the
	gas hourly space velocity for the Fe catalyst with the ethylene
	containing and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 1 atm251
5.4.2B	Propylene to propane product mole fraction ratio versus the
7	GHSV for the Fe catalyst using the ethylene containing and
	pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feeds at 7.8 atm252
5.4.3	Ethylene to ethane mole fraction ratio versus the gas hourly
	space velocity for the Co catalyst with the ethylene containing
	and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 1 atm253
5.4.4	Ethylene to ethane mole fraction ratio versus the gas hourly
	space velocity for the Co catalyst with the ethylene containing
	and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atm
5.4.5	The propylene to propane product mole fraction ratio versus the
	gas hourly space velocity for the Co catalyst with the ethylene
	containing and pure 1/3 CO/H feed at I atm

FIGURE	<u>PAGE</u>
5.4.6	The ethylene to ethane and propylene to propane mole fraction
}	ratios versus the gas hourly space velocity for the FeCo catalyst
\$	with the ethylene containing and pure 1/3 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$ feed at 1 atm257
5.4.7	The ethylene to ethane and propylene to propane mole fraction
	ratios versus the gas hourly space velocity for the FeCo catalyst
<b>.</b>	with the ethylene containing and pure 1/3 ${\rm CO/H_2}$ feed at 7.8 atm259
5.4.8	Ethylene to ethane mole fraction ratio versus the gas hourly
	space velocity for the FeCo catalyst using the ethylene
	containing and pure $1/3$ CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 1 and 7.8 atm
5.4.9	$N_{C_2}^2/N_{C_2}$ and $N_{C_3}^2/N_{C_3}$ selectivities versus the gas hourly space
	velocity for the Fe catalyst using the 1-pentene containing and
	pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 1 atm277
5.4.10	${\rm NC_2^2/NC_2}$ and ${\rm NC_3^2/NC_3}$ selectivities versus the gas hourly space
	velocity for the Fe catalyst using the 1-pentene containing and
	pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atm279
5.4.11	$NC_2^2/NC_2$ , $NC_3^2/NC_3$ , and $N_{1-C_4^2}/N_{C_4}$ selectivities versus the gas
	hourly space velocity for the FeCo catalyst using both the
	1-pentene containing and pure 1/3 CO/H feed at 1 atm280
5.4.12	${\rm NC_2^-/NC_2}$ , ${\rm NC_3^-/NC_3}$ , and ${\rm N_{1-C_4^-/NC_4}}$ selectivities versus the gas
	hourly space velocity for the Fe Co using both the 1-pentene
	containing and pure $1/3$ CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atm281
5.4.13	$N_{C_2}^{-}/N_{C_2}$ and $N_{C_3}^{-}/N_{C_3}$ selectivities versus the gas hourly space
	velocity for the Co catalyst using the 1-pentene containing
	and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 1 atm282

FIGURE	PAGE
5.4.14	${\rm NC_2^2/N_{C_2}}$ selectivity versus the gas hourly space velocity for the
	Co catalyst using the 1-pentene containing and pure $1/3 \text{ CO/H}_2$
	feed at 7.8 atm283
5.4.15	The 1-pentene fraction of total $C_5$ productversus the gas hourly
7.7	space velocity for the Fe catalyst using the 0.5% 1-pentene
•	containing and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at several pressures285
5.4.16	The mole fractions of 1-pentene and n-pentane versus the gas
	hourly space velocity for the Co catalyst using the 0.5%
	1-pentene containing and pure 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 1 atm286
5.4.17	The mole fractions of 1-pentene and n-pentane versus the gas
	hourly space velocity for all three catalyst using the 0.5%
	1-pentene/1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at 7.8 atm
5.4.18	3 The 2-pentene fraction of total $C_{S}$ products versus GHSV for the
	Fe catalyst using the 0.5% 1-pentene/1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at several
	pressures and 250°C289
5.4.19	9 The 2-pentene fraction of total C <sub>5</sub> products versus GHSV for
	the Fe catalyst using the 0.5% 1-pentene/ 1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed at
	several pressures and 250°C290
5.4.2	O The 2-pentene fraction of total $C_{S}$ products versus the GHSV
	for the Co catalyst using the 0.5% l-pentene/1/3 CO/H <sub>2</sub> feed
	at 1 and 7.8 atm and 250°C290
5.4.2	?1 The n-pentane fraction of total $C_5$ products versus the GHSV for
	the Fe catalyst using the 0.5% l-pentene/1/3 CO/H $_{ m 2}$ feed at 1 and
	7.8 atm and 250°C292

FIGURE		PAGE
5.4.22	The n-pentane fraction of total $C_5$ products versus the GHSV for	
	the FeCo catalyst using the 0.5% 1-pentene/ 1/3 $\mathrm{CO/H_2}$ feed at 1	
	and 7.8 atm and 250°C	293
5.4.23	The n-pentane fraction of total $C_{\mathbf{S}}$ products versus the GHSV	
	for the Co catalyst using the 0.5 1-pentene/ 1/3 60/H feed	
	at 1 and 7.8 atm. and 250°C	.293
5.4.24	The cis and trans 2-pentene fractions of total C sproducts	
:	versus the GHSV for the Co catalyst using the 0.5%	
	l pentene containing and pure <sub>2</sub> 1/3 CO/H feed at 1 and 7.8 atm.	
7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7	and 250°C	.294

#### LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1.2.1	Possible Synthesis Reactions	2
1.2.2	Complicating Reactions	2
3.1.1	Catalyst Metal Loadings	24
3.2.2	Mossbauer Parameters for the Fe Catalyst	38
3.2.3	Mossbauer Parameters for the FeCo Catalyst	41
3.2.4	Dispersion Measurements	42
3.3.1	Feed Mixture Compositions	47
4.1.1	Specific CO Turnover Frequencies	57
4.5.1	Schulz Flory Growth Probabilities	154
5.2.1	Product Yield Increases at 1 atm. in the Ethylene enhanced Feed	207
5.2.2	Product Yield Increases at 7.8 atm. in the Ethylene enhanced Feed	208
5.4.1	Ethylene Hydrogenation Activity	260
5.4.2	Ethylene Fractions Consumed via Secondary Reactions	269

#### CHAPTER 1

#### THE FISCHER TROPSCH SYNTHESIS

#### 1.1 Historical Overview

Synthetic fuels have become a major research interest in the current world wide attempt to develop alternate energy sources. Since many countries (including the U.S.) contain an abundance of coal relative to crude petroleum, the technology required to convert this solid energy source to liquid fuels economically is very desirable. By gasifying coal into a mixture of carbon monoxide and hydrogen one can use the Fischer Tropsch (FT) synthesis process to manufacture a myriad of hydrocarbon and alcohol products. A recent investigation (85) reports 120 identifiable product compounds obtained at typical reaction conditions (10 atm., 220°C). In fact the main problem with this process is producing only a select range of products.

In the mid 1920's, researchers Franz Fischer and Hans Tropsch (44) discovered the process conditions by which a mixture of carbon monoxide and hydrogen can be catalytically converted to hydrocarbons. Thermodynamically, it is possible to produce hydrocarbon products including olefins, paraffins, alcohols, aromatics and oxygenated derivatives at the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis conditions (1-30 atm at 180-300°C). Indeed over 120 seperate products have been identified under the typical FT conditions (85). The transition metals used in the synthesis (Fe, Co, Ni, Rh) generally have different activities, selectivities, and överall catalytic behavior when alloyed or combined with oxide promoters.

Historically, the research and development effort in this area often paralleled a growing shortage of liquid fuel. In the late twenties, Germany was faced with a gasoline and diesel fuel shortage and needed a

synthetic process for the production of liquid fuels from coal. At the end of World War II, the United States initiated an extensive research effort (6,91) following up on the German work. However, the development of the Mid-East oil fields in the late 1950's postponed all major investigations until the 1973 "oil embargo" created a renaissance in research activities.

1.21 Primary FT Reactions and Secondary Complication Reactions

The synthesis process is actually a set of sequential and/or parallel reactions. Only the production of methanol and methane can be controlled selectively but only over non-FT catalysts (i.e., Pt, ZnO). If a process were developed which would selectively produce one or two products under FT conditions, it would deserve its own distinctive title. The synthesis reactions are given in Table 1.1 (123).

Table 1.2.1: Possible Synthesis Reactions

(1) Methanation:  $3H_2 + CO \rightarrow CH_4 + H_2O$ 

(2) Parafins:  $(2n + 1)H_2 + n CO \rightarrow C_n H_{2n+2} + n H_2O$ 

(3) Olefins:  $2nH_2 + n CO \rightarrow C_nH_{2n} + n H_2O$ 

(4) Methanol:  $2H_2 + CO + CH_3OH^{-1}$ 

(5) Alcohols:  $2nH_2 + n CO + C_nH_{2n+1} OH + (n-1) H_2O$ 

A number of other reactions, commonly called complicating reactions, (132) can occur at reaction conditions. These are listed in Table 1.2.

Isomerization and hydrogenation are commonly termed secondary reactions since they involve the primary FT products as reactants.

Table 1.2.2: Complicating Reactions in the Synthesis Process

Water gas shift:  $CO + H_2O \neq CO_2 + H_2$ Boudouard rxn:  $2CO + C + CO_2$ Coke Deposition:  $H_2 + CO + C + H_2O$ Carbide formation: xM + yC + MxCy (where M is metal atom) Olefin Isomerization: C = C - C - R + R - C = C - ROlefin Hydrogenation:  $R = CH_2 + H_2 + H - R - CH_3$ Hydroformulation:  $RCH = CH_2 + CO + H_2 + RCH_2CH_2CHO$