

SANDIA REPORT

SAND94-2589 • UC-406 706

Unlimited Release

Printed January 1997

RECEIVED

MAR 05 1997

OSTI

Optical Diagnostics for Turbulent and Multiphase Flows: Particle Image Velocimetry and Photorefractive Optics

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED *ph*

T.J. O'Hern, J.R. Torczynski, R.N. Shagam, T.K. Blanchat, T.Y. Chu,
A.L. Tassin-Leger, J.A. Henderson

Prepared by
Sandia National Laboratories
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185 and Livermore, California 94550
for the United States Department of Energy
under Contract DE-AC04-94AL85000

MASTER

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.



Issued by Sandia National Laboratories, operated for the United States Department of Energy by Sandia Corporation.

NOTICE: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government, any agency thereof, or any of their contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, any agency thereof, or any of their contractors.

Printed in the United States of America. This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831

Prices available from (615) 576-8401, FTS 626-8401

Available to the public from
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Rd
Springfield, VA 22161

NTIS price codes
Printed copy: A05
Microfiche copy: A01

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

Optical Diagnostics for Turbulent and Multiphase Flows: Particle Image Velocimetry and Photorefractive Optics

T. J. O'Hern, J. R. Torczynski, R. N. Shagam*, T. K. Blanchat**, T. Y. Chu**,
A. L. Tassin-Leger, and J. A. Henderson

Engineering Sciences Center

*Surety Components and Instrumentation Center

**Nuclear Energy Technology Center

Sandia National Laboratories
P. O. Box 5800
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185-0826

Abstract

This report summarizes the work performed under the Sandia Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) project "Optical Diagnostics for Turbulent and Multiphase Flows." Advanced optical diagnostics have been investigated and developed for flow field measurements, including capabilities for measurement in turbulent, multiphase, and heated flows. Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) includes several techniques for measurement of instantaneous flow field velocities and associated turbulence quantities. Nonlinear photorefractive optical materials have been investigated for the possibility of measuring turbulence quantities (turbulent spectrum) more directly.

The two-dimensional PIV techniques developed under this LDRD were shown to work well, and were compared with more traditional laser Doppler velocimetry (LDV). Three-dimensional PIV techniques were developed and tested, but due to several experimental difficulties were not as successful. The photorefractive techniques were tested, and both potential capabilities and possible problem areas were elucidated.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the United States Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC04-94AL85000. Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy. The support of DOE/Sandia Laboratory-Directed Research and Development (LDRD) funds is gratefully acknowledged. The technical assistance of T. W. Grasser in optical configuration, flow facility setup and maintenance, and data acquisition, is greatly appreciated. V. A. Amatucci assisted on the nonlinear optics evaluation.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgment.....	4
List of Tables	7
List of Figures	9
Nomenclature	13
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	14
1. INTRODUCTION	15
1.1 Motivation.....	15
1.2 Program Objectives.....	15
2. PARTICLE IMAGE VELOCIMETRY (PIV)	17
2.1 Introduction.....	17
2.2 Two-Dimensional PIV.....	18
2.2.1 Cross-Correlation Algorithm (CCT).....	18
2.2.2 Multi-Frame Tracking Algorithm	21
2.3 2D PIV Validation Experiments	24
2.3.1 Cavity Flow Experiments	24
2.3.1.1 Experimental Setup	24
2.3.1.2 Experimental Techniques	26
2.3.1.2.1 Laser Doppler Velocimetry (LDV)	26
2.3.1.2.2 Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV)	27
2.3.1.3 Experimental Approach	28
2.3.1.4 Computational Approach	29
2.3.1.5 Results of Cavity Flow Study	31
2.3.1.5.1 Laser Doppler Velocimetry (LDV)	31
2.3.1.5.2 Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV)	31
2.3.1.5.3 Computational Simulations (FIDAP)	34
2.3.1.5.4 Comparison of Results	38
2.3.1.6 Summary and Conclusions	39
2.3.2 2D Thermal Convection Experiments	40
2.3.2.1 Results	45
3. THREE-DIMENSIONAL PARTICLE IMAGE VELOCIMETRY	49
3.1 Introduction.....	49
3.2 Three-Dimensional PIV.....	49
3.2.1 Camera Calibration and Particle Combination Theory	49
3.2.2 Theory for Tracking Programs	55
3.3 3D Validation	56
3.3.1 Synthetic Data	56
3.3.2 Three-Dimensional Convection Experiment Set-Up	57
3.3.3 Results of Three-Dimensional Experiments	57
3.4 3D PIV Validation Computations	58
4. PHOTOREFRACTIVE OPTICS	69
4.1 Introduction.....	69
4.2 Experimental Investigations.....	70

4.3	Experimental Results	70
4.3.1	Turbulent Power Spectral Density Measurements	70
4.3.2	Temporal Schlieren Visualization.....	71
4.3.3	Orientation Effects on Flow Visualization	72
4.3.4	Sensitivity to Wavefront vs. Slope Disturbances	73
4.3.5	Effect of Apertures.....	73
4.3.6	Temporal Transmission Measurements	73
4.4	Photorefractive PIV	74
4.5	Summary	74
5.	CONCLUSIONS	84
	APPENDIX A - PIV Software Operating Manuals	85
A. 1.	Instructions for Using PIV Software for 2D Analysis.....	85
A. 2.	Instructions for Using PIV Software for 3D Analysis.....	86
	APPENDIX B - 2D and 3D PIV Software:.....	89
	REFERENCES	97
	DISTRIBUTION	100

List of Tables

Table 2.2.1. Nondimensionalization of properties.....	59
Table 2.2.2. Nondimensionalization of variables	59
Table 2.2.3. Dimensionless parameters	59

This page left intentionally blank

List of Figures

Figure 2.1 Calculating C_{ij} value for Cross Correlation Tracking (CCT) technique.	19
Figure 2.2 Intersection of particle images i and j between frames 1 and 2.	21
Figure 2.3 Determination of possible track for Multiframe Tracking (MFT) technique.	22
Figure 2.4 Schematic diagram of water channel/cavity flow.	25
Figure 2.5 Schematic layout of cavity flow system. $D = 3.68$ cm, $L = 3.70$ cm, $h = 1.56$ cm, $w = 7.76$ cm.	26
Figure 2.6 Schematic diagram of computational domain. Channel flow is from lower right to upper left. Symmetry plane (dashed) is exposed to view.	29
Figure 2.7 Computational meshes (27-node brick elements): top, coarse; bottom, refined.	30
Figure 2.8 LDV results for cavity flow. Time-averaged centerplane velocity fields.	32
Figure 2.9 PIV results for cavity flow. Instantaneous centerplane velocity fields.	33
Figure 2.10 CFD simulations of velocity vectors in the cavity flow at $Re = 600$. Flow above the cavity is from left to right, with a peak velocity of about 2.8 cm/s.	35
Figure 2.11 Dimensionless Re -dependence of position (X_C, Y_C) of center of main eddy in the symmetry plane $z = 0$	35
Figure 2.12 Streamlines: above, $Re = 3$ (no isolated region); below, $Re = 30$ (isolated region).	36
Figure 2.13 Topologies: left, low Re ; right, high Re . Transition occurs at $Re = 27$	37
Figure 2.14 Comparison of LDV and PIV velocity profiles through the center of primary recirculation region in cavity, for $Re=100$ and $Re=600$	40
Figure 2.15 Conceptual representation of a single well of a magma-energy extraction system in operation (cf. Chu et al., 1990).	41
Figure 2.16 Experimental setup for thermal convection experiments. Dimensions are inches.	42
Figure 2.17 Schematic diagram of cooling cylinder inserted into convection tank.	43
Figure 2.18 2-D PIV setup for thermal convection experiments.	44
Figure 2.19 Laser light sheet flow-visualization photographs: (a) slice through cylinder along heater; (b) slice through cylinder across heater.	46
Figure 2.20 PIV velocity vectors at similar conditions. Left, slice through cylinder along heater strip (plane $x = 0$); right, slice through cylinder across heater plane (plane $y = 0$). The flow pattern agrees with the flow visualization. Flow is downward beneath cylinder.	46
Figure 2.21 Finite element CFD simulation of selected streamlines in the symmetry planes.	47
Figure 2.22 Streamlines calculated from PIV velocity fields given in Figure 2.20. Qualitative agreement with computational simulation in Figure 2.21 can be seen.	47
Figure 3.1 Three-Dimensional PIV set-up.	50

Figure 3.2 Simple model of particle determination.	55
Figure 3.3 Schematic diagrams showing different views of the geometry.	61
Figure 3.4 Left, coarse mesh. Right, refined mesh.	62
Figure 3.5 Effect of mesh on u_z and T along $x = y = 0$ (below the cylinder on its axis).	62
Figure 3.6 Selected streamlines on symmetry planes.	63
Figure 3.7 Contour plot of u_x	63
Figure 3.8 Contour plot of u_y	64
Figure 3.9 Contour plot of u_z	64
Figure 3.10 Contour plot of speed v	65
Figure 3.11 Contour plot of pressure p	65
Figure 3.12 Contour plot of temperature T	66
Figure 3.13 Contour plot of viscosity μ	66
Figure 3.14 Computational results: left, temperature contours; center, velocity vectors; right, selected streamlines on symmetry planes.	67
Figure 3.15 Laser light sheet flow-visualization photographs: (a) slice through cylinder along heater; (b) slice through cylinder across heater.	67
Figure 4.1 Schematic layout of optical system for power spectral density (PSD) measurements. Fourier lens 500 mm focal length, relay lens 125 mm focal length. Video camera images Fourier plane of relay lens.	75
Figure 4.2 Turbulent power spectral density (PSD) measurements. (A) Static background image (no flow). (B) Gas jet: low-flow conditions. (C) Gas jet: high-flow conditions.	75
Figure 4.3 Schematic layout for photorefractive schlieren technique. Video camera images the phase disturbance plane.	76
Figure 4.4 Photorefractive schlieren flow visualization with BaTiO_3 . (A) Background field, no flow. (B) CO_2 jet flow field. (C) Jet flow field with background subtracted.	76
Figure 4.5 Effect of flow perturbation on BaTiO_3 . Test object is hot soldering iron. (A) Static laminar flow. (B) Perturbed flow field (air currents). (C) Perturbed flow field with static flow subtracted.	77
Figure 4.6 Orientation dependence of a turbulent CO_2 jet in air. (A) Horizontal flow. (B) Vertical flow. (C) Intensity histograms.	78
Figure 4.7 Dependence of photorefractive effect on gradient orientation. (A, B) Cross flow. (C, D) With flow. Crystal fanning is in vertical direction.	79
Figure 4.8 Schematic of phase sensitivity test setup.	79
Figure 4.9 Phase shift test.	80

Figure 4.10 Effect of cross-coupling beams within crystal. The arrows point to regions of temporally modulated fringes.	80
Figure 4.11 Effect of a vertical aperture on wavefront extinction: fanning direction vertical. ...	81
Figure 4.12 Effect of a horizontal aperture on wavefront extinction: fanning direction vertical. ...	81
Figure 4.13 Crystal extinction vs. time for a variety of initial poling (erasure exposure) times. Incident laser power constant.	82
Figure 4.14 Crystal peak transmission vs. poling time.	83
Figure A.1 Basic outline for PIV software for 2D and 3D analysis	88

This page left intentionally blank

Nomenclature

C_{ij}	Correlation coefficient - used in cross-correlation tracking technique
CCT	Cross-Correlation Tracking
D_{ij}	Amount that the diameters of overlapping particles i and j - used in cross-correlation tracking technique
MFT	Multi-Frame Tracking algorithm
N_{ij}	Number of particles that overlap between Images i and j - used in cross-correlation tracking technique
R_{ij}	pair reliability index - used in cross-correlation tracking technique
R_n	Radius of search circle - used in multi-frame tracking technique
Re	Reynolds number for cavity flow = $\bar{u}(2h)/\nu$
D	Cavity Depth = 3.68 cm (Figure 2.5)
L	Cavity Length in Streamwise direction = 3.70 cm (Figure 2.5)
h	channel depth upstream of cavity = 1.56 cm (Figure 2.5)
w	channel/cavity cross-stream width = 7.76 cm (Figure 2.5)
u_x	velocity component in the x direction
u_y	velocity component in the y direction
u_z	velocity component in the z direction
u_{av}	channel mass-flow velocity
X_C	X center of main eddy in cavity flow (Figure 2.11)
Y_C	Y center of main eddy in cavity flow (Figure 2.11)
σ_l	Standard deviation from the mean for the lengths of the vectors
σ_θ	Standard deviation from the mean for the angles in the x-y plane
σ_Φ	Standard deviation from the mean for the angles from the z axis
σ	Total standard deviation
L_{x-y}	Length between particles between frames x and y
θ_{1-3}	Angle in the x-y plane between l_{1-2} and l_{2-3}
θ_{2-4}	Angle in the x-y plane between l_{2-3} and l_{3-4}
Φ_{1-3}	Angle from the z axis between l_{1-2} and l_{2-3}
Φ_{2-4}	Angle from the z axis between l_{2-3} and l_{3-4}
$\bar{L}$	Average displacement of particles between frames = $(L_{1-2} + L_{2-3} + L_{3-4})/3$
$\bar{\theta}$	Average θ of the track = $(\theta_{1-3} + \theta_{2-4})/2$
$\bar{\Phi}$	Average Φ of the track = $(\Phi_{1-3} + \Phi_{2-4})/2$

Executive Summary

This report summarizes the work performed under the Sandia Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) project "Optical Diagnostics for Turbulent and Multiphase Flows."

Advanced optical diagnostics have been investigated and developed for flow field measurements, including capabilities for measurement in turbulent, multiphase, and heated flows. Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) includes several techniques for measurement of instantaneous flow field velocities and associated turbulence quantities. Nonlinear photorefractive optical materials have been investigated for the possibility of measuring turbulence quantities (turbulent spectrum) more directly.

The two-dimensional PIV techniques developed under this LDRD were demonstrated to work well and were compared with more traditional laser Doppler velocimetry (LDV), and with computational simulations. Two-dimensional benchmarking experiments included flow in a driven cavity and natural convection in a simulated magma chamber. Three-dimensional PIV techniques were developed and tested on the thermal convection experiment but were unsuccessful due to several experimental difficulties.

The nonlinear photorefractive crystal BaTiO_3 has been demonstrated as a significant visualizer of turbulent vs. steady-state flow. However, we have identified two potentially significant limitations in that it (1) appears to visualize gradients differently, depending upon the relative orientation of the gradient to the crystal fanning orientation, and (2) visualizes refractive gradients, not absolute phase change, as previously reported in the literature. The desired quantification of photorefractive measurements proved to be very difficult for these reasons.