

# PATENT SPECIFICATION

632,961



Application Date: April 18, 1947.

No. 10466/47.

Complete Specification Accepted: Dec. 5, 1949.

3558

Index at acceptance:—Classes 1(i), F3b(1:2x); and 2(iii), B1(d:g), C3a10a(1:3), C3a13a3.

## COMPLETE SPECIFICATION

### Improvements in or relating to the Synthesis of Hydrocarbons and Catalysts therefor

SPECIFICATION No. 632,961

By a direction given under Section 17(1) of the Patents Act 1949 this application proceeded in the name of Standard Oil Development Company, a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, United States of America, having an office at Linden, New Jersey, and 100, West 10th Street, Wilmington, Delaware, both in the United States of America.

THE PATENT OFFICE,  
22nd April, 1950.

DS 32052/1(10)/3372 150 4/50 R

in and by the following statement:—

The present invention relates to  
15 improvements in the art of synthesizing  
hydrocarbons and more particularly it  
relates to catalysts which are particu-  
larly suitable for this reaction.

The synthesis of hydrocarbons from  
20 carbon monoxide and hydrogen is a  
matter of record and to some extent in  
certain countries, such as Germany,  
gasoline and other normally liquid  
hydrocarbons have been prepared com-  
25 mercially in this manner. Originally the  
synthesis of hydrocarbons from carbon  
monoxide and hydrogen was accom-  
plished in the presence of a cobalt  
catalyst usually supported on a material  
30 such as kieselguhr and promoted with a  
material such as thorium. This synthesis,  
usually carried out at around 400° F.,  
resulted in the production of straight-  
chain paraffinic compounds for the most  
35 part. Later a process was developed in  
which the catalyst was iron, and in which  
the temperature was substantially higher  
than in the first-mentioned process; that  
is to say, it was somewhere between about  
40 450° to 675° F. In this latter type of  
process where the iron catalyst was used,  
the process further differed from the  
earlier one in that the ratio of hydrogen  
to carbon monoxide fed to the reaction  
45 zone was somewhat lower, being of the  
order of, say, 1 to 1½ mols. of hydrogen

ing iron type hydrogenation catalysts.  
For example, contact masses comprising 60  
iron and calcium boron fluoride have  
been suggested for the catalytic hydro-  
genation of organic compounds such as  
mineral oils, linseed oil, cotton seed oil,  
or edible fats. Further in the case of 65  
the hydrocarbon synthesis reaction with  
which the present invention is concerned  
it has heretofore been proposed that a  
suitable catalyst therefor may be made  
by roasting pyrites or a spent pyrites 70  
to convert the same to an iron oxide and  
then to reduce the iron oxide to metallic  
iron. Other proposals have included  
reducing a natural iron oxide, mineral or  
ore such as magnetite, hematite, or 75  
limonite. Still other proposals include  
the use of those types of iron commonly  
employed in the synthetic ammonia pro-  
cess. Furthermore, certain promoters  
designed to increase the activity of the 80  
catalyst have been proposed heretofore.

In a specific sense, the present inven-  
tion relates to improving the activity of  
an iron catalyst in the hydrocarbon syn-  
thesis reaction by incorporating therein 85  
certain promoters. It is known that good  
catalysts for hydrocarbon synthesis are  
produced by the addition of potassium  
compounds, such as K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, KF, or  
K<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, to iron oxide followed by reduc- 90  
tion in the temperature range 600 to  
1600° F. It has now been found that by

[Price 2/-]

Price 4s 6d

# PATENT SPECIFICATION

632,961



Application Date: April 18, 1947.

No. 10466/47.

Complete Specification Accepted: Dec. 5, 1949.

Index at acceptance:—Classes 1(i), F3b(1:2x); and 2(iii), B1(d:g), C3a10a(1:3); C3a13a3.

## COMPLETE SPECIFICATION

### Improvements in or relating to the Synthesis of Hydrocarbons and Catalysts therefor

I, JOHN CONRAD ARNOLD, a British subject, of 29, Southampton Buildings, Chancery Lane, London, W.C.2, do hereby declare the nature of this invention (a communication from Standard Oil Development Company, a corporation duly organised and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, United States of America, Linden, New Jersey, United States of America) and in what manner the same is to be performed, to be particularly described and ascertained in and by the following statement:—

The present invention relates to improvements in the art of synthesizing hydrocarbons and more particularly it relates to catalysts which are particularly suitable for this reaction.

The synthesis of hydrocarbons from carbon monoxide and hydrogen is a matter of record and to some extent in certain countries, such as Germany, gasoline and other normally liquid hydrocarbons have been prepared commercially in this manner. Originally the synthesis of hydrocarbons from carbon monoxide and hydrogen was accomplished in the presence of a cobalt catalyst usually supported on a material such as kieselguhr and promoted with a material such as thoria. This synthesis, usually carried out at around 400° F., resulted in the production of straight-chain paraffinic compounds for the most part. Later a process was developed in which the catalyst was iron, and in which the temperature was substantially higher than in the first-mentioned process; that is to say, it was somewhere between about 450° to 675° F. In this latter type of process where the iron catalyst was used, the process further differed from the earlier one in that the ratio of hydrogen to carbon monoxide fed to the reaction zone was somewhat lower, being of the order of, say, 1 to 1½ mols. of hydrogen

per mol. of carbon monoxide in the feed to the reaction. The product from the process employing the iron catalyst was different from that using the cobalt catalyst in that the former contained a substantial quantity of olefins and, therefore, the gasoline made using the iron catalyst usually possessed a higher octane number than that produced in the cobalt catalyst process.

There have been a number of proposals made by prior investigators for preparing iron type hydrogenation catalysts. For example, contact masses comprising iron and calcium boron fluoride have been suggested for the catalytic hydrogenation of organic compounds such as mineral oils, linseed oil, cotton seed oil, or edible fats. Further in the case of the hydrocarbon synthesis reaction with which the present invention is concerned it has heretofore been proposed that a suitable catalyst therefor may be made by roasting pyrites or a spent pyrites to convert the same to an iron oxide and then to reduce the iron oxide to metallic iron. Other proposals have included reducing a natural iron oxide, mineral or ore such as magnetite, hematite, or limonite. Still other proposals include the use of those types of iron commonly employed in the synthetic ammonia process. Furthermore, certain promoters designed to increase the activity of the catalyst have been proposed heretofore.

In a specific sense, the present invention relates to improving the activity of an iron catalyst in the hydrocarbon synthesis reaction by incorporating therein certain promoters. It is known that good catalysts for hydrocarbon synthesis are produced by the addition of potassium compounds, such as  $K_2CO_3$ ,  $KF$ , or  $K_3PO_4$  to iron oxide followed by reduction in the temperature range 600 to 1600° F. It has now been found that by

[Price 2/-]

Price 4s 6d

combining fluoboric acid with a metal such as potassium or sodium to form metal fluoborates, superior promoters for iron catalysts are formed. Although 5  $\text{NaBF}_4$  may be used as promoter, the use of potassium fluoborate,  $\text{KBF}_4$  is preferred. The promoter may be added to pure iron oxide or hydroxide or to iron ores such as magnetite, hematite or 10 limonite in amounts varying between approximately 0.2 and 10.0% based on the iron present.

Various procedures may be employed for the addition of the promoter to the 15 iron base. Thus the base may be moistened with an aqueous solution of the promoter at room temperature followed by evaporation of the water, or the aqueous solution, or even the molten 20 promoter itself may be sprayed into the zone containing the iron base. This method may also be employed for reactivation of partly spent catalyst. The iron base may be reduced with hydrogen 25 or other reducing gases either before or after addition of the promoter. Reduction temperatures may be varied between approximately 500° and 1600° F. When reducing the catalyst after adding the 30 promoter, however, the temperature should preferably not exceed the melting point of the promoter, so that when using  $\text{KBF}_4$ , the reduction temperature should preferably be held below 986° F. 35 and with  $\text{NaBF}_4$  below 720° F.

Other fluoborates such as calcium and caesium fluoborates may also be used as promoters for the iron catalysts. Also mixtures of fluoborates may be used.

40 After reduction these catalysts may be used either in fixed bed, "fluid" catalyst, or moving bed types of operation.

The main object of the invention, 45 therefore, is to prepare a catalyst comprising iron which is adapted not only to promote the reaction between carbon monoxide and hydrogen to form hydrocarbons and oxygenated hydrocarbons, but also to promote to a greater degree 50 than catalysts heretofore employed, the polymerization of olefins so that normally gaseous olefins existing in a nascent state will be polymerized or condensed to olefinic hydrocarbons boiling in the gaso- 55 line boiling range.

Other and further objects of this invention will appear from the following more detailed description and claims.

To illustrate the invention several 60 specific examples are set forth below:

#### EXAMPLE 1.

9.11 grams of potassium fluoborate ( $\text{KBF}_4$ ) were dissolved in 225 cc. of hot distilled water. The solution was poured 65 onto 491 grams powdered iron oxide (or

commercial pigment: red iron oxide) while mixing to form a paste. The paste was dried at 220° F., then pilled in the presence of 2 per cent. of a hydrogenated vegetable oil ("Sterotex") which was 70 used as a lubricant for the pilling operation. The "Sterotex" was burned from the pills by heating in air at 850° F. for 3 hours. On reducing the pills for 4 hours at 900° F. with hydrogen at atmo- 75 spheric pressure (1000 volumes  $\text{H}_2$  per volume of catalyst per hour) the catalyst was ready for synthesis operation.

#### EXAMPLE 2.

A catalyst was prepared in which 80 granular specular hematite was employed instead of the red iron oxide in Example 1 and impregnated as in Example 1 with  $\text{KBF}_4$  in the same manner but employing ten times as much  $\text{KBF}_4$ . Upon 85 grinding and classifying to the proper particle size (average about 60 microns) followed by reduction in a fluid solids reactor for 4 hours at 900° F. with hydrogen at a linear velocity of one foot per 90 second and atmospheric pressure, this catalyst was especially suited for hydrocarbon synthesis in "fluid" operation.

#### EXAMPLE 3.

The same as in Example 2 except that 95 the reduction temperature was 700° F., the hydrogen pressure 300 pounds per square inch and the linear velocity 0.5 ft. per second.

#### EXAMPLE 4.

The same as in Example 3 except that 100 the promoter was  $\text{NaBF}_4$  instead of  $\text{KBF}_4$ .

#### EXAMPLE 5.

The catalyst prepared in Example 1 105 was used in a test for production of hydrocarbons from carbon monoxide and hydrogen. Fifty cubic centimeters of the catalyst pills were placed in a reactor surrounded by a jacket containing a heat 110 exchange fluid for temperature control. Synthesis gas in which 0.92 mols. of hydrogen were present per mol. of carbon monoxide was passed through the catalyst bed at 250 pounds per square 115 inch gauge pressure at a flow rate of 200 volumes of gas (STP) per volume of catalyst per hour while adjusting the temperature to give about 95 per cent. conversion of carbon monoxide. Under 120 these conditions a yield of liquid hydrocarbons ( $\text{C}_4+$ ) of 211 cc. per cubic meter of carbon monoxide plus hydrogen consumed were obtained when operating at 550° F. with a carbon monoxide conver- 125 sion of 96.4 per cent. Including the propane and propylene produced, the yield increased to 254 cc. per cubic meter of  $\text{CO} + \text{H}_2$  consumed. The product 130 water produced at the same time

amounted to 53.7 cc. per cubic meter of  $\text{CO} + \text{H}_2$  consumed and contained approximately 14.5 weight per cent. alcohols and 3.7 weight per cent. acids calculated as ethyl alcohol and acetic acid, respectively. After operation for 258 hours at 500 to 559° F., the catalyst was extracted with benzene followed by carbon and hydrogen analysis of the extracted catalyst. The wax extracted amounted to 13.2 weight per cent. on the extracted catalyst while the carbon and hydrogen of the non-extracted or residual contaminants were 2.48 per cent. and 0.18 per cent., respectively. Assuming a hydrogen to carbon ratio of 15 to 85 in the residual wax, the amount of wax left on the catalyst amounted to 1.2 per cent.,

corresponding to about 1.0 per cent. carbon. The carbon on the catalyst on a 20 wax-free basis was, therefore, 2.48 per cent. minus 1.0 per cent., equaling 1.48 per cent., and the wax content 13.2 plus 1.2; equaling 14.4 per cent.

The catalyst was quite stable as indicated by a conversion of 95.3 per cent. at 539° F. in hours 102 to 147 of the run, as compared to 96.4 per cent. conversion at 549° F. in hours 213 to 258.

The hydrocarbon product produced was unsaturated, as indicated by an olefin content of 80.8 weight per cent. in the  $\text{C}_4$  cut, and 85.3 per cent. in the  $\text{C}_5$  cut.

In the following table the  $\text{KBF}_4$  promoted catalyst is compared with  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  promoted with  $\text{KF}$  and with  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ .

Hydrocarbon Synthesis  
0.8 to 1.0 Ratio  $\text{H}_2/\text{CO}$ , 200 V/V/Hr., 250 psig.

Catalyst Reduced with  $\text{H}_2$  (1000 V/V/Hr.)  
for 4 Hours at 900° F. before the Tests

	Catalyst Base	$\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$	
	Promoter	1.8% $\text{KBF}_4$ *	1% $\text{KF}$ ** 1% $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ **
	Avg. Catalyst Temp. °F.	549	640 607
	Yield $\text{C}_4+$ , cc/m <sup>3</sup> $\text{CO} + \text{H}_2$ cons.	211	215 188
	Wt. % C. on catalyst per 100 hrs.	0.59	2.36 2.74

\* Percentages are on a weight basis

\*\* Reduced at 900° F. followed by sintering in  $\text{H}_2$  at 1200° F. for 4 hours to reduce carbon formation.

The above data show that  $\text{KBF}_4$  is a true promoter for in two comparative runs, one using the  $\text{KBF}_4$  and the other  $\text{KF}$ , it will be noted that the yield of liquid product containing  $\text{C}_4$ +hydrocarbons was approximately the same in both runs even though the run in which the  $\text{KBF}_4$  was used was conducted at a temperature 91 degrees below that employed in the run using  $\text{KF}$ . However, the important advantage of  $\text{KBF}_4$  over  $\text{KF}$  will be noted in the formation of carbon in the two runs. Thus, in the case of the  $\text{KBF}_4$  promoted catalyst 0.59 weight per cent. carbon was formed on the catalyst per 100 hours of the run while in the  $\text{KF}$  promoted catalyst 2.36 weight per cent. carbon was formed on the catalyst per 100 hours of the run.  $\text{KF}$  is a commonly used promoter and is one of the best prior to the present invention. However, all the known promoters had the defect that the runs in which they were used produced an inordinate amount of carbonaceous deposits on the catalyst and in a fluid system this is highly undesirable since carbonaceous deposits on the catalyst not only lower

its activity but cause physical disintegration of the catalyst to the extent that it cannot be fluidized in a dense, turbulent, ebullient mass of catalyst but rather tends to pass out of the reactor at the top thereof with the product vapors. As is known, in the bottom drawoff type of operation it is highly desirable that the main bulk of catalyst be separated from the vapors in the upper portion of the reactor and remain therein while the product vapors issue from the reactor substantially freed of catalyst and containing merely traces of fines. As stated, however, when the catalyst is highly carbonized, it is impossible to achieve this result; hence the importance of minimizing the amount of carbon formed on the catalyst during the operation.

Having now particularly described and ascertained the nature of the said invention, and in what manner the same is to be performed, as communicated to me by my foreign correspondents, I declare that what I claim is:—

1. A method of forming an iron-containing catalyst suitable for use in promoting the synthesis of hydrocarbons

from carbon monoxide and hydrogen, which comprises incorporating a fluoroborate into iron oxide, iron hydroxide or an iron oxide ore, in amounts such that the catalyst contains from approximately 0.2 to 10 weight per cent. (based on the iron present) of said fluoroborate and reducing at least a part of the iron oxide to the metallic state before or after the incorporation of said fluoroborate.

2. A method according to Claim 1, wherein the reduction is carried out at temperatures in the range of from substantially 500 to 1600° F.

3. A method of synthesizing hydrocarbons and oxygenated hydrocarbons which comprises contacting a mixture of carbon monoxide and hydrogen under synthesis conditions of temperature and

pressure with a catalyst consisting essentially of a reduced form of iron oxide, iron hydroxide or iron oxide ore, having incorporated therein a fluoroborate.

4. A method according to Claim 3, wherein the catalyst contains from approximately 0.2 to 10 weight per cent. (based on the iron present) of said fluoroborate.

5. A method according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein said fluoroborate is an alkali metal fluoroborate, preferably potassium fluoroborate.

Dated this 18th day of April, 1947.

D. YOUNG & CO.,  
29, Southampton Buildings,  
Chancery Lane, London, W.C.2,  
Agents for the Applicant.

Leamington Spa: Printed for His Majesty's Stationery Office, by the Courier Press.—1949.  
Published at The Patent Office, 25, Southampton Buildings, London, W.C.2, from which  
copies, price 2s. 0d. each (inland) 2s. 1d. (abroad) may be obtained.