PATENT SPECIFICATION

647,079



Date of Application and filing Complete Specification: Feb. 20, 1948. No. 5071/48.

Application made in United States of America on May 24, 1947.

Complete Specification Published: Dec. 6, 1950.

Index at acceptance:-Class 2(iii), Blg.

3483

COMPLETE SPECIFICATION

Control of Carbon in Hydrocarbon Synthesis

We, STANDARD OIL DEVELORMENT COM-PANY, a Corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, United States of America, hav-5 ing an office at Elizabeth, New Jersey, United States of America, do hereby declare the nature of this invention and in what manner the same is to be performed, to be particularly described and ascer-10 tained in and by the following statement:—

This invention relates to improvements in the art of synthesizing hydrocarbons from carbon monoxide and hydrogen.

15 Prior to this invention others have synthesized hydrocarbons boiling in the gasoline and higher ranges by reacting together carbon monoxide and hydrogen in the presence of a suitable catalyst at reaction temperatures and pressures. Prior to this invention cobalt promoted with thoria has been used as a catalyst for promoting the synthesis wherein carbon monoxide and bydrogen react to form hydrocarbons.

More recently, iron has been employed

as a catalyst in the hydrocarbon synthesis. employing carbon oxide and hydrogen as reactants. In the synthesis of hydrocarbons from carbon monoxide and hydrogen, 30 a ratio of about one mol of carbon monoxide to one mol of hydrogen has been employed when the catalyst was iron. It has also been found that this ratio of hydrogen to carbon monoxide using an 35 iron catalyst may be varied somewhat with improved results, i.e., it is found that best results are obtained when the ratio of hydrogen to carbon monoxide is at least 1.5 mol of hydrogen per mol of car-40 bon monoxide in the fresh feed because this higher ratio gives a higher conversion of the feed gas to desirable products and reduces carbon deposition on the catalyst and physical disintegration of the cata-

The present invention however comprises a process for the synthesis of hydrocarbons and oxygenated hydrocarbons comprising contacting a gaseous mixture [Price]

containing carbon monoxide, carbondi-50 oxide and hydrogen with a fluidised bed of powdered iron catalyst in a reaction zone at synthesis temperatures and under superatmospheric pressure, the hydrogen partial pressure in the reaction zone 55 amounting to at least 100 pounds per square inch and the carbon dioxide partial pressure in the zone amounting to at least 125 pounds per square inch and preferably over 150 pounds per square inch. 60

Preferably the total pressure in the reaction zone should be over 400 pounds per square inch.

This process results in higher yields of normally liquid hydrocarbons and oxygen. 65 ated compounds, and tends to repress the formation of large quantities of carbonaccous material on the catalyst. A great aid to the success of this process is the use of an iron catalyst sintered in hydrogen 70 for such a catalyst is resistant to fragment. ation. It is highly important that the average particle size of the powdered iron catalyst be maintained within certain limits hereinafter set forth. But carbon- 75 accous deposits on the catalyst tend to cause fragmentation or physical disintegration thereof with the result that the powdered iron catalyst is reduced to a state of fineness that it cannot be procured in a 80 fluidized state. A catalyst "sintered" (i.e. heated to a temperature of incipient fusion) in hydrogen exhibits a superior strength to one sintered in air or oxygen.

In the accompanying drawing, a suit- 85 able apparatus in which a preferred modification of this invention may be carried

into effect is shown.

Numeral 1 represents a hindered settling reactor containing a body of iron 90 catalyst in the form of a powder having a particle size of from about 40 to 80 microns but with appreciable quantities, say 25 per cent, of sizes less than 40 microns. A mixture, of carbon monoxide, carbon dispersion, and hydrogen is introduced into the present system through line 10, the ratio of hydrogen to carbon monoxide on a small

to mol basis being approximately 1.7 to 2 mols of hydrogen per mol of carbon monoxide, the ratio of earbon dioxide to carbon monoxide in the feed being 0.2 to 0.3 5 mols of carbon dioxide per mol of carbon monoxide. The mixture of hydrogen and the oxides of carbon preheated, say, to about 200° to 300° F, or thereabouts, in line 10 is discharged via line 11 into the 10 bottom of reactor 1, passes up through a foraminous member G and then contacts the fluidized catalyst C. The catalyst is formed into a fluidized mass in the reaction zone by regulating the superficial vel-15 ocity of the gasiform material in the reaction zone within the limits of from about to 11 feet per second so as to form a dense, turbulent, ebullient suspension now referred to in the art as a "fluidized" 20 catalyst. The dense phase of the fluidized catalyst has an upper level at L, above which a dilute suspension exists. dense phase suspension may weigh from 30 to 80 pounds per cubic foot while the 25 upper portion of the dilute phase contains mostly catalyst fines. The dense suspension from the foraminous member G to the level I, extends a distance of from 15 to 40 feet and above that, in a disengag-30 ing space from L to the top of the reactor. the height may be from 10 to 20 feet in which latter space the bulk of the catalyst separates from the gasiform material and descends by gravity toward the dense 85 phase suspension. Ordinarily, gus-solids contacting devices 3 are disposed in the upper part of reactor 1. These gas-solids contacting devices may be, for example, centrifugal separators and are disposed 40 therein for the purpose of separating entrained catalyst from the gases. The crude products issue from the reactor 1 through line 4 and, if desired, may be passed through one or more gas-liquid contacting 45 devices, such as an oil scrubber 5, for the purpose of removing substantially the last traces of catalyst fines. The use of an oil scrubber to remove catalyst fines is, per se, not novel. It has been previously used, 50 for example in catalytic cracking operations, and operates to cause the gases containing fines to contact a high boiling oil which may be one of the products of the reaction. For instance, the scrubbing oil 55 may be a cold gas oil. The amount of scrubbing oil and the rate of its circulation to the scrubber are such that the products boiling above, say, 450° F., at atmospherio pressure are condensed while 60 the products boiling up to 450° F. remain vaporized. The final effect of the scrubbing treatment is to remove substantially the last traces of catalyst from the gasiform material, as previously stated. The 85 reaction products issue from the oil

scrubber 5 through line 6, are further cooled in a condenser 7 below the boiling point of water, and discharged into a separator 8 from which normally liquid hydrocarbons and water are removed from 70 the bottom through line 9. The gasiform fraction is led by line 13 into a second cold oil scrubber 14 from which light hydrocarbons are removed, leaving undissolved residual gases, including carbon mon- 75 oxide, carbon dioxide, hydrogen, and normally gaseous hydrocarbons, such as methane, which undissolved constituents are recycled via line 20 to the reactor. The oil scrubber 14 may be omitted and the 80 gasiform material withdrawn from separation drum 8 recycled to the reactor via lines 13 and 11. This latter type of oil scrubbing is well known to petroleum refinery engineers, and need not be described 85 in detail. The main purposes, of course, of the oil scrubber, when used, is to remove hydrocarbons from vapors containing them.

As required, tail gas may be withdrawn 90 and rejected from the system through valved line 21. The valve in line 21 may also be operated to control the gas pressure in the system. As will more fully appear hereinafter, the operation of the valve in 95 line 21 is highly instrumental in maintaining the process in operation according to the present invention, for, as previously indicated, the desired partial pressure of hydrogen and carbon dioxide in the sys- 100 tem has been attained by means of the composition of the reactants and for by imposing suitable total pressure on the

As stated, the object of the invention is 105 to operate the hydrocarbon synthesis process in the presence of a fluidized iron cotalyst so as to obtain high yields of hydrocarbons, including normally liquid hydrocarbons, and also high yields of exy-110 genated hydrocarbons, as well as to suppress carbonaceous deposition of the catalyst. This is accomplished by maintaining a high partial pressure of carbon dioxide in the reaction zone, and also a high 115 hydrogen partial pressure in the reaction

There are at least two ways of attaining the desired hydrogen and carbon dioxide partial pressure as follows: --

1. By increasing the total pressure in the system.

2. By adding carbon dioxide from an extraneous source and controlling the ratio of recycled was with respect to fresh feed, 125 both in conjunction with a hydrogen to carbon monoxide ratio in the fresh feed of at least 1.5:1.

To explain (1) above, it is believed to be clear that, by simply imposing a greater 130

pressure on the system in accordance with Dalton's Law, the partial pressure of hydrogen and the partial pressure of carbon dioxide will both be increased. For 5 example, if the total pressure on the system is 200 pounds per square inch absolute and the partial pressure of carbon dioxide is 75 pounds per square inch, the partial pressure of carbon dioxide becomes 150 pounds per square inch when the total pressure on the system is increased to 400 pounds per square inch absolute. In like manner, the partial pressure of hydrogen will be increased.

15 With respect to item (2) immediately above, since, as the process is ordinarily operated with carbon monoxide conversion such that at least 90% of the carbon monoxide feed is reacted, and since the pro-20 cess is ordinarily operated so that at least a portion of the tail gas is recycled to the reaction zone, and further, since the fresh feed contains at least 5 volumes of hydrogen per volume of carbon dioxide, 25 but the recycled tail gas contains about 3 volumes of carbon dioxide per volume of hydrogen, the volumes of fresh feed gas per volume of recycled gas can be proportioned to give the desired partial pressures 30 of carbon dioxide and hydrogen in the reaction zone, it being understood that the total pressure on the system must be sufficient to maintain both the carbon dioxide and the hydrogen at the proper pressure

It is pertinent at this point to emphasize that the gas exiting from the reaction zone approximates closely the composition of the main portion of the gasiform material in the reaction zone, for due to the inti-40 mate mixing afforded by the fluidized bed of catalyst, experience has shown that the fresh reactants entering the reaction zone are mainly converted in the lowermost portion of the said zone.

By resorting to any of the foregoing expedients, the carbon dioxide partial pressure in the reaction zone may be maintained at the desired level, namely, at a minimum value of 125 pounds per square 50

inch partial pressure.

When the CO₂ partial pressure in the reactor is maintained above 125 pounds per square inch up to about 250 pounds per square inch absolute, better yields of 55 hydrocarbons and "alcohols" or oxygenated products are formed per volume of CO and H2 consumed. Also, it is necessary to maintain the hydrogen partial pressure in the inlet gases at at least 100 60 pounds per square inch up to 750 pounds per square inch absolute, to avoid carbon formation and catalyst disintegration. This is demonstrated in the following data, the first set showing the benefits 65 from increasing partial pressure of the H2 and CO, by increasing the total pressure and the second set showing the improved yields and maintenance of catalyst size by changing the CO2 in the fresh feed and 70 altering the CO2 in the total feed by altering the recycle to fresh feed volumetric

	Vase	•	A	В
75	Catalyst Iron (pyrites Ash+2% K ₂ CO ₃ oxidized at 1000° F., reduced at 700° F.)			-
80	Temperature $^{\circ}F$. Total pressure per square inch, absolute - Fresh Feed, H_2/CO - CO_u/CO - Recycle ratio		644 442 - 1.92 .26 2.0	630 229 1.93 .28 I.2
85	Total feed analysis, %—CO ₂ CO ₂ H ₁₂ CO ₃ CO ₄ CO ₄	٠	34.8 12.8 81.2 21.2	28.6 16.1 41.0 14.3
90	Exit gas analysis, %—CO $_{\circ}$	-	50.7 2.7 16.5 30.1	45-6 2-6 27-4 24-9
	Partial pressure, per square inch, absolute —CO ₂ (ave)	-	176	83
	H ₂ (inlet)		129	94
	Conversion—% CO + H ₂ fresh feed		96.7	91

	Çase		A	В
	Yields - cc/M3CO + H _o Cons. ** C ₄ + Hydrocarbons	_	192	163
	Oxygenated products in water layer -	-	34	31
5	Carbon formation—Lbs. C/100 Lb. Fereactor/100 hours	in -	44	S9
	Catalyst disintegration - ** Nitrogen, methane, ethane, etc.	-	Satisfactory	Very severe

*Nitrogen, methane, ethane, etc. **Cubic centimeters of C_4 + hydrocarbons per cubic meter of C_4 + hydrocarbons per cubic meter of C_4 + C_4 + C_4 consumed.

The data clearly show that when the partial pressure of CO₂ is reduced from 176 to 83 pounds in the reactor, average of the inlet and outlet partial pressures, the yield of C₄+ hydrocarbons on CO+H₂
consumed decreased from 192 to 163 cc/M³ of product. At the same time there was a decrease in water soluble compounds, expressed as ethyl alcohol equivalent of carbon in the water layer, from 34 to 31 cc/M³H₂+CO consumed. The decrease in inlet hydrogen partial pressure from 129 to 94 pounds per square inch absolute increased the carbon

deposition rate from 44 to 89 pounds carbon per 100 pounds Fe in reactor per 100 25 hours of operation, causing very severe catalyst disintegration and requiring withdrawal of half of the catalyst in the reactor and replacement with new catalyst

each day to maintain operations.

The following experiments show the effect of changing the CO₂ and H₂ partial pressures by altering the feed composition and recycle ratios at the same total pressure, viz., 415 pounds per square inch 35

absolute.

		C	D	E
40	Catalyst—Red iron oxide promoted with $0.5-1.0\%$ K_cCO_c Temperature ${}^{\circ}F$	650 1.9 .27 0 8.5 91.0	· 650 1.7 .33 2.5 42 18	650 1.9 0 2.8 16
45. 50	CO H ₂ Other*	60.0 0.5 38.0 6.3 43.0	24 21 56 5 11 28	27 45 22 4 13 61
55	Partial pressure, pounds per square inch absolute CO ₂ (Ave)	96 - 250 70	205 100 96	72 11 2 98
60	Yields—cc/M ² CO + H ₂ *** Cons. C ₄ + Hydrocarbons Oxygenated products in water layer**** Carbon formation Cutalyst disintegration * Nitrography without characters	in re	168 43 10 lbs. carbon/10 actor/100 hours	
65	and H _a consumed. **** Cubic centimeters of C ₁ and H _a consumed. ***** Cubic centimeters of oxygenated hydrocarbons.			

Since the hydrogen partial pressure in reaction zone was 100 pounds per square inch or over and further since this catalyst was sintered in hydrogen at 1600° F., making it more resistant to disintegration by carbon, the carbon formation rate was low and the catalyst resisted disintegration, an 800 hour run was made with no severe catalyst disintegration.

The effect of the CO, on the yields of C+ hydrocarbons and oxygenated products, (again ethyl alcohol equivalent of carbon in water layer), is clearly shown in the two sets of data. When the CO, par-

15 tial pressure was increased from 96 to 205 pounds per square inch, absolute, by using 2.5 recycle gas gas ratio rather than one pass operations, (columns C and D), the yields of C₄ + hydrocarbons increased from

20 109 to 168 cc/M3% H2 + CO consumed and the yield of oxygenated products increased from 34 to 48 cc/ M^3 H₂+CO consumed.

A CO₂ partial pressure increase by increasing the CO2 content of the fresh feed 25 gas with the same recycle, cases E and D, where the CO₂/CO ratio of the fresh feed was increased from 0 to 0.33 raising the CO₂ partial pressure in the reactor from 72 to 205 pounds per square inch, absolute,

80 gave an increase in C_c + yields from 149 to 168 cc/M³ CO + H₂ consumed and the oxygeneted products from 39 to 43 cc/M³ CO+H₂ consumed.

Various processes have been proposed 35 for the production of hydrocarbons and oxygenated hydrocarbons from gases containing carbon monoxide and hydrogen, for example, Specifications Nos. 478,318 and 510,514 both disclose the presence of 40 substantial amounts of carbon dioxide in the reaction zone. However, neither of them suggest the use of fluidised catalysts where the deposition of carbon on the cata-

lyst is more severe.

In the Specification of our Patent No. 627,905, which was not published at the date of application of the present case, is claimed an improved process for the synthesis of hydrocarbons containing three 50 and more carbon atoms in the molecule which comprises introducing into a synthesis reaction zone which may contain a fluidised iron catalyst a feed mixture comprising carbon monoxide and hydrogen, said feed mixture being characterized in that the ratio of hydrogen to carbon monoxide is not less than 3 mols of hydrogen

per mol. of carbon monoxide, said feed

mixture also being characterised in that the concentration of the carbon dioxide is 60 maintained at a relatively high level so that the mol. ratio of hydrogen minus carbon dioxide over carbon monoxide plus carbon dioxide is not in excess of 2, and maintaining said reaction zone at a tem- 65 perature and pressure adapted to produce the desired synthesis reaction.

Having now particularly described and ascertained the nature of our said invention and in what manner the same is to 70 be performed, we declare that what we

claim is:

1. The method of synthesizing hydrocarbons and oxygenated hydrocarbons which comprises contacting a gaseous mix- 75 ture containing carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide and hydrogen with a fluidized bed of powdered iron catalyst in a reaction zone at synthesis temperatures and under super-atmospheric pressure, the hydrogen 80 partial pressure in the reaction zone amounting to at least one hundred pounds per square inch and that of the carbon dioxide amounting to at least 125 pounds per square inch, and recovering from said re- 85 action zone a hydrocarbon product and oxygenated hydrocarbons.
2. The method according to claim 1 in

which a total pressure of over 400 pounds per square inch absolute is imposed on the 90

reactants in the reaction zone.

3. The method according to claims 1 and 2 in which the ratio of CO₂ to CO in the total feed to the reaction zone is increased by recycling to the reaction some 95 normally gaseous portions of the withdrawn product.

4. The method according to claims 1 to 3 in which the catalyst is sintered in hydrogen prior to use in the process.

5. The method of claim 4 in which the catalyst is red iron oxide sintered in hydrogen at 160° F.

6. The method as claimed in any of the preceding claims including the step of 105 maintaining a carbon dioxide partial pressure in excess of about 150 pounds per square inch absolute whereby increased yields of oxygenated compounds are obtained. 110

Dated this 20th day of February, 1948. DAVID T. CROSS, 16, Charles II Street, Haymarket, London, S.W.1 Agent for the Applicants.

Loamington Spa: Printed for His Majesty's Stationery Office, by the Courier Press .-- 1950. Published at The Patent Office, 25, Southampton Buildings, London, W.C.2, from which copies, price 2s. 0d. each (inland) 2s. 1d. (abroad) may be obtained.

