RESERVE COPY

PATENT SPECIFICATION

668.140



Date of Application and filing Complete Specification Feb. 3, 1950. No. 2911/50.

Application made in United States of America on Oct. 27, 1949. Complete Specification Published March 12, 1952.

Index at acceptance:—Classes 1(i), F3b1; 2(iii), Blg; B19; and 90, D. COMPLETE SPECIFICATION

Improved Process and Catalyst for the Synthesis of Hydrocarbons

We, STANDARD OIL DEVELOPMENT COM-PANY, a Corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, United States of America, hav-5 ing an office at Elizabeth, New Jersey, United States of America, do hereby declare the invention, for which we pray that a patent may be granted to use, and the method by which it is to be performed, 10 to be particularly described in and by the

following statement: -The present invention relates to the catalytic reaction between carbon monoxide and hydrogen to form valuable liquid hydrocarbons. More particularly, the present invention is concerned with improvements in the reaction based on an improved composition οf catalyst

employed in the reaction. The synthetic production of liquid

hydrocarbons from gas mixtures containing various proportions of carbon monoxide and hydrogen is a matter of record. and numerous catalysts, usually contain-25 ing an iron group metal, have been described which are specifically active in promoting the desired reactions at certain preferred operating conditions. For example, cobalt supported on an inert 30 carrier is used when relatively low pressures of about 1 to 5 atmospheres and low temperatures of about 300 to about 425° F. are applied in the manufacture of a substantially saturated hydrocarbon pro-duct while at higher temperatures of 450—750° F. and higher pressures of 15—40 atmospheres required for the production of unsaturated and branched chain products of high antiknock value, 40 iron type catalysts are more suitable.

In both cases, the reaction is strongly exothermic and the utility of the catalyst declines steadily in the course of the reaction chiefly due to deposition of non-vola-45 tile conversion products such as paraffin wax, carbon, and the like on the catalyst.

The extremely exothermic character and high temperature sensitivity of the synthesis reaction and the relatively rapid 50 catalyst deactivation have led, in recent years, to the application of the fluid solids

technique wherein the synthesis gas is contacted with a turbulent bed of finely divided catalyst fluidized by the gaseous reactants and products. This technique 55 permits continuous catalyst replacement and greatly improves heat dissipation and temperature control.

It is general practice in iron-catalyst hydrocarbon synthesis operations to 60 recycle tail gas back to the synthesis reactor in order to obtain high over-all conversion of synthesis gas components. However, the recycle gas operation is costly, both from the standpoint of invest- 65 ment and operation because of the large amounts of gas which must be handled in compressors and heat exchangers. In addition, since the fluid reactors have limitations with respect to gas velocities. 70 the added gas volume, due to recycling. necessitates greater reactor cross section in order to say within proper velocity limitations.

It would be highly desirable, therefore. 75 to be able to operate a hydrocarbon synthesis plant in a once-through operation and to maintain the consumption ratio of the synthesis gas components the same as the feed ratio. Depending upon the source of the synthesis gas, the H₂/C() ratio may vary considerably. Thus, when synthesis gas is obtained by the water gas reaction from coal, the H_2/CO ratio of the gas is close to 1 to 1. (In the other hand. 85 when it is obtained from partial exidation of methane, the ratio is closer to 2/1.

Furthermore, most processes for synthesis of hydrocarbons from synthesis gas 90 obtained from coal or natural gas involve the production of relatively pure oxygen for the partial combustion of these raw materials to form CO and H2 which are then reacted in a second step to form the 95 desired hydrocarbons. In order to produce a highly unsaturated hydrocarbon product of high octune value, it is generally considered desirable to operate the synthesis reaction at high pressures of 100 about 400 psig. in the presence of an iron catalyst. This, however, involves produc-

[Prio

tion of relatively pure oxygen, also at high pressures. It would be uneconomic to employ air at high pressure rather than oxygen, because the recycle requirements associated with an iron catalyst to obtain high over-all consumption of H₂ and CO would result in the undesirable recirculation of a gas containing an ever-increas-

ing amount of nitrogen.

As indicated above, it would be highly desirable to operate a hydrocarbon synthesis process by the fluid solids technique wherein the synthesis gas is prepared at lower pressures by air instead 15 of by oxygen at high pressures, and wherein the synthesis itself is carried out at moderate instead of at high pressures, wherein a valuable alefinic motor fuel is and wherein tan grany ed. The art shows many in fixed obtained, 20 not recycled. attempts in this direction in fixed Thus, it has bed processes. attempted to prepare high octane motor fuel using a thoria-promoted cobalt cata-25 lyst on a silica gel catalyst. However, it was found that when the variables were adjusted in an effort to improve the liquid yield and the quality of the product, the yield of liquid products increased some-30 what with pressure when the temperature is held constant, but the yield of wax inoreased also. This is quite undesirable formation renders it because wax extremely difficult to maintain a fluidized bed in the reactor. On the other hand, if the pressure is held constant in the relatively low pressure areas where cobalt catalyst functions well, that is, in the region of 15 to about 75 psig., the olefin

on the other hand, experience has indicated that operation with a conventional iron catalyst at the lower pressures is usually accompanied by severe carbonization of the catalyst as well as heavy for-

40 content of the product is low, and attempts to increase the oldfinioity by increasing the temperature cause a decrease in liquid product yield and an

50 mation of wax, both making the maintenance of a fluid catalyst bed a very great difficulty. Carbonization further causes rupture of iron catalysts resulting in formation of fines which eventually make 55 impossible the maintenance of fluidiza-

tion and temperature control.

It is the principal object of the present invention to provide an improved and flexible hydrocarbon synthesis process 60 wherein recycle of tail gas is avoided and

 $(2) \quad 3nH_a + 3nCO \longrightarrow 2(CH_a)$

The CO₂ that occurs along with the products of the synthesis reaction, as in (2) above, may be a result of the reversible 125 water gas shift reaction

wherein the $\rm H_z/CO$ consumption ratio within the reactor is substantially the same as the ratio in which $\rm H_z$ and $\rm CO$ are present in the synthesis gas feed, irrespective of the source.

It is also an object of the present invention to provide an improved hydrocarbon synthesis process operable at moderate pressures wherein high yields of valuable liquid synthesis products having a high degree of unsaturation are obtainable and wherein wax formation is minimized.

 Other objects of the invention will in part be obvious and will in part appear

hereinafter.

In the synthesis of hydrocarbons from carbon monoxide and hydrogen, particularly wherein the synthesis gas contains large quantities of nitrogen, it is obvious that a once-through operation is most 80 desirable, because otherwise large quantities of inert material would be recycled, markedly decreasing the capacity of the plant and the operation. Such nitrogen is present when the synthesis gas is pre- 85 pared by partial combustion of natural gas with air at moderate pressures, which is of marked economic advantage over preparing the same by combustion with pure oxygen under pressure. Furthermore, in the synthesis of hydrocarbons from CO and H, employing a once-through operation, it is apparent that the optimum consumption ratio of the reactants should be the same as their ratio in 95 the synthesis feed gas, to avoid losses of unreacted H₂ and CO.

For example, in the production of synthesis gas by partial oxidation of methane and natural gas with air, hydrogen and 100 CO are produced at a 2/1 ratio. When such a gas is used as a feed to the synthesis reactor, the elimination of oxygen in the form of water rather than carbon dioxide is essential for an approximately 105 2/1 H. CO consumption ratio, in accord-

2/1 H₂ CO consumption ratio, in accordance with the reaction:—
(1) 2nH₂+nCO——>(CH₂)_n+nH₂O. This is essentially the course of the reaction when cobalt is employed as a synthesis catalyst and cobalt is thus ideally suited for a once-through operation when the synthesis feed gas has an H_a/CO ratio of 2/1. However, as indicated above and as is well known, cobalt catalyst is not 115 conducive to formation of olefinic hydrocarbons valuable as motor fuel. On the other hand, when an iron catalyst is employed, the overall reaction can be

 $\longrightarrow 2(CH_2)_n + nH_2O + nCO_2.$

(8) $CO + H_uO \longrightarrow CO_u + H_u$

120

more nearly represented by:---

Thus, in the synthesis reaction according to (1) above, the consumption ratio of

hydrogen to carbon monoxide is 2/1. However, since some of the water formed in (1) may react with some unconverted CO in accordance with (3) hydrogen is 5 formed and CO is consumed which in effect lowers the H₂/CO consumption ratio. Carried to its limit, the ultimate effect of this reaction would be for all of the H2O formed to react rapidly and 10 irreversibly with CO in which case the net synthesis reaction could be written as (4) $nH_2 + 2nCO$ $\longrightarrow (CH_2)_n + nCO_3$ giving an H₂/CO consumption ratio of 0.5/1. From the above it may be seen 15 that, starting with a 2/1 H₂/CO feed gas, the H2/CO consumption ratio may vary about 2/1 to almost 0.5/1 depending upon the degree to which the water gas shift reaction takes place. During the normal synthesis with an iron catalyst, and iron is considered to be and excellent shift catalyst, the water (CO_2) (H_2) -, calculated from constants K = $(00) (H_20)$ the gas concentrations in the reacter are 25 only 60-95% of the known water-gas equilibrium constants at temperatures in the range of 550° – 650° F. The known values for K at 550° F. and at 650° F. are about 50 and 23 respectively. The low 30 calculated values indicate that the amounts of CO₂ and H₂ present are inadequate fully to satisfy the water gas shift equilibrium and that the reaction of CO and H₂O is slower than the synthesis 35 reaction. Summarizing, therefore, the removal of oxygen in the synthesis reaction when cobalt is employed as catalyst, appears to be accomplished by its elimination as 40 water, and the reaction is accompanied by an H2/CO consumption ratio of about 2 to 1. However, the olefinity of the product is low and the reaction is accompanied by significant wax formation. On 45 the other hand, when an iron hydrocarbon synthesis catalyst is employed, oxygen is eliminated mainly in the form of CO₂, which latter must be recycled to the reactor in order to maintain high over-all con-50 version of H2 and CO. Because of the water gas shift reaction, the overall H2/CO consumption is substantially less than the H2/CO ratio in the feed. It has now been found that it is possible 55 to operate a once-through hydrocarbon synthesis process and obtain high yields of olefinic hydrocarbons while maintaining H2/CO consumption ratios substantially the same as H2/CO fresh feed ratios

60 by employing in the reaction zone a cata-

lytic mixture comprising a special supported cobalt catalyst wherein part of the cobalt has been replaced by iron, together

with a supported or unsupported alkali metal salt promoted iron type synthesis 65 catalyst. The first-mentioned iron-cobalt cataylst, though more than 50% of the cobalt may be replaced by iron, not only produces excellent yields of elefinic products and substantially minimizes wax 70 formation, but also consumes H₂ and CO as though there were no iron present, i.e. appears to eliminate oxygen as water and operates at an H₂/CO consumption ratio up to about 2/1. Previous 75 known modifications of iron synthesis catalyst were associated with H₂/CO consumption ratios considerably smaller than this.

Since it is desirable to utilize the 80 special cobalt-iron supported catalyst in a once-through operation wherein the synthesis gas H₂/CO composition is about 2/1, the maximum utility of this catalyst is not realized when the H₂/CO 85 ratio is less than this value, as about 1/1 when coal or water gas is the source of synthesis gas. Thus, when operating at about 75 psig. and at 500° F. with a feed gas of 1. 15 H₂/CO ratio with this catalyst, a CO-rich tail gas is obtained with an H₂/CO consumption ratio of about 1.72—1.89.

In order to eliminate recycling of gas to the reactor and thereby operate on a 95 once-through feed gas basis wherein high conversion of both CO and H₂ to products of high saturation are obtained, in accordance with the present invention, an alkali promoted iron-type catalyst having speci- 100 ficity for unsaturated product formation and which is characterized by a high degree of CO conversion when employed in synthesis operation is mixed with a cobalt-iron-thoria catalyst supported on 105 silica gel, which catalyst is also specific to formation of olefinic products but is further characterized in that it promotes high hydrogen rather than high CO conversion in the synthesis reaction. These 110 mixtures are suitably adjusted in composition so that, when employed in a oncethrough synthesis operation with synthesis gas (H_2/CO) ratio ranging from about 0.6 to about 2.0) which has been 115 prepared from natural gas or carbonaceous solids by oxygen, air, or steam the H_z/CO consumption ratio within the reactor is substantially the same as that in the synthesis gas feed.

The improved catalyst of the invention comprises a physical mixture of an alkali metal salt promoted iron catalyst and a finely divided support, such support carrying as active component a mixture 125 of cobalt and iron promoted with a minor amount of thoria. The preferred catalyst mixture of the present invention consists

essentially of an alkali metal salt promoted iron-type hydrocarbon synthesis catalyst and a cobalt-iron catalyst promoted by thoria and supported on a siliceous carrier, preferably silica gel. The total cobalt + iron content of the supported catalyst may vary from 5-35% of the total weight of the silica gel supported portion of the catalyst, and the ratio of 10 cobalt to iron on the supported catalyst

may vary from about 10/1 to 1/6. The invention will best be understood by referring to the accompanying diagrammatic representation of one of the 15 modifications of the present invention, where suitable equipment and flow of material are shown for carrying out one embodiment of the invention. In this embodiment synthesis gas obtained by 20 partial oxidation of natural gas is employed, though it will be understood that any source of synthesis gas having

any desired H₂/CO ratio within the limits

of about 0.5 to 2.0 may be employed.

Referring now in detail to the drawing, natural gas from any convenient source preheated in preheater 4 is passed to synthesis gas producer vessel 6, which comprises a catalytic oxidation zone. Simul-30 taneously, air is passed through line 18 into compressor 20, wherein it is moderately compressed to about 50— 100 psig, and the compressed material is passed through line 22 and preheater 16, 35 wherein it is preheated to about 1200° F., and introduced into synthesis generation plant 6. In generator 6, partial oxidation mainly to CO and H, takes place. The temperature in the oxidation zone may be 40 of the order of 2000-2500° F., the lower portion 8 of generator 6 may comprise a catalytic reformer bed, containing a reforming catalyst such as nickel or copper on magnesia, and any CO, and 45 H₂O formed as a result of combustion in the upper part of the generator will reform unreacted methane to produce further quantities of H, and CO.

The hot synthesis gases leaving gener-50 ator 6, which are at a temperature of about 1600-1800 F. are passed through line 10 and are preferably employed to preheat the incoming natural gas and air in preheaters 4 and 16, respectively, the 55 synthesis gas stream being divided for this purpose to pass through lines 12 and 14, and through lines 25 and 26. The raunited synthesis gas stream, which has been cooled as indicated to about 450°— 60 650° F., and may be further cooled, if desired, is passed to the bottom of hydro-

carbon synthesis reactor 28. The latter is preferably in the form of a vertical cylinder with a conical base and an upper 65 expanded section, and has a grid or

screen located in the lower section to

effect good gas distribution.
Within reactor 28, a mass of the catalyst described below is maintained in the form of a finely divided powder having a 70 particle size distribution from about 100-400 mesh, preferably in the range of about 150-250 mesh. The catalyst mixture is supplied from catalyst hoppers 37 and 34 through lines 39 and 36 respectively. The 75 catalyst supplied from hoppers 37 may be any iron-type supported or unsupported hydrocarbon synthesis catalyst, such as pyrites ash, mill scale or reduced iron supported on an active carbon support, suit- 80 ably promoted with alkali metal salt promoter such as sodium or potassium choride or carbonate. The catalyst suplied from hopper 34 is a mixed cobaltiron catalyst supported on silica gel pro- 85 moted with thoria and which may have a total iron plus cobalt content of 5 to 35%. Thus, for illustrative purposes, the supported partion of the catalyst supplied to reactor 28 from hopper 34 may have an 90 iron content of about 2—25%, a cobalt content of 5 to 30%, theria equivalent to 1-5% therium, and silica of from 60 to 89%. The catalyst supplied from hopper 37 may be a reduced iron catalyst sup- 95 ported on active carbon, promoted with not less than 0.4% and not more than 1% K₂CO₂, the weight of iron being preferably 10—20% of the total catalyst. By suitable adjustment of valves 35 and 33, 100 the amounts of the two catalysts may be proportioned to provide catalyst mixtures within 28 having the property of effecting substantially complete H₂ and consumption.

The synthesis gas mixture, having a mular ratio of H₂/CO of 2 or less, flows upwardly through grid 30. The linear velocity of the gas within the reactor is kept within the approximate range of 110 0.1-3.0 feet per second, preferably about 0.4-1.5 feet per second so as to maintain the catalyst in the form of a dense, highly turbulent fluidized mass having a well defined upper level 38 and 115 an apparent density of from about 30—150 lbs. per cu. ft., depending upon the

Muidization conditions. The invention is particularly applicable for production of olefinic hydrocarbons at 120 low pressures, and the pressure within reactor 28 is kept within the limits of 50-100 psig., though, if desired, the process may be applied to the more conventional pressures associated with iron syn- 125 thesis catalysts up to 400-500 psig. The temperature is maintained constant within the limits of about 450°-650° F. Surplus heat from the exothermic reaction may be withdrawn by any conven- 130 tional means, such as cooling coil 32.

Only a minor portion of the catalyst is carried into the disengaging section of the reactor above level 38, and these catables particles are separated from the reaction products in a conventional gus-solids separator, such as cyclone 40 and returned to the dense bed via dip pipe 42. The rate of gas throughput is in the range of 2—20 volumes of synthesis gas per weight of catalyst per hour. There are no provisions for tail gas recycle, as in accordance with the invention, this costly process is no longer necessary. As indicated below, under certain circumstances it may be desirable to omit cyclone 40 and

the product gas stream.

Product vapor and gases are withdrawn
20 overhead from reactor 28 and are passed
through line 44 and condenser 46 to liquid
products separator 48, wherein liquid products are separated from gases. The liquid
products, containing high yields of olefins
with little or no wax may be withdrawn
through line 52 to further processing,
such as fractionation, cracking of the gas
oil fraction, isomerization and poly-

to remove the catalyst fines overhead with

merization, all in a manner known per se. The uncondensed gases, comprising lower molecular weight hydrocarbons as well as unreacted synthesis gas and nitrogen, are preferably passed through line 50 to a fluidized solids active carbon adsorption plant, wherein light hydrocarbons may be removed and recovered by desorption at lower pressures; this represents a considerably more economical process than the conventional oil adsorption

The present invention admits of numerous modifications apparent to those skilled in the art. Thus, instead of producing synthesis gas from partial combustion of natural gas or methane by air at low pressures, synthesis gas may also be prepared by the water gas reaction from coal. In such case, depending upon how heat is furnished to the process, either by direct combustion of coke or coal within the water gas generator with air or by recycling of hot combustion solids from a burner vessel, the synthesis gas may or may not contain appreciable quantities of nitrogen. The ratio of H₂/

CO in synthesis gas prepared from coal is

Catalyst
Temperature F.

Pressure lbs/sq.in. gauge
Feed gas ratio, H₂/CO - - CO conversion, % output*
H₂ conversion, % output*
H₂/CO consumption ratio
120 C₄+yield, cc/m3 H₂+CO consumed
Estimated unsaturation**

about 1/1, and such a synthesis gas may be passed through a shift converter to increase the feed gas ratio from 1/1 to about 2/1 or any intermediate values. In 60 such system, also, a sulfur removal step would be introduced, such as by passing the synthesis gases through spent synthesis catalyst to remove sulfur.

Furthermore, particularly when operating with low H_2/CO feed gas, it may be desirable to dispense with cyclone 40. Particularly in the case of iron catalyst, low H_2/CO feed gas ratios combined with low synthesis reactor pressures are severe 70 conditions, resulting in substantial catalyst disintegration, and it may be desirable to take overhead fines formed within synthesis reactor 28.

As for the catalyst, various modifica- 75 tions of the above type catalysts shows imilar and further improvement in the synthesis reaction.

The following comparative examples represent fixed bed laboratory data, 80 Example III being illustrative of a catalyst according to the invention.

Example I.

The cobalt-iron silica catalyst may be prepared in the following manner.

Cobalt, iron and thorium, all as their hydrated nitrate salts, were mulled in a Simpson Mixer with silica (as silica hydrogel containing about 18% solids) and the wet mixture passed through a 90 colloid mill (a Simpson Mixer is a pan with circular walls and a cover. A roller is mounted on the bottom portion or platform and crushes the ingredients placed within the mixer. Scrapers are also provided to remove ingredients alhering to the inside of the mixer). The above composite was dried at about 250° F., then further heated for 48 hours at 420° F. and for an additional 5 to 6 hours at 550° F. 100 to complete the decomposition of the nitrates. The resulting dried material was then ground to a suitable size and reduced with hydrogen at 700° F. at atmospheric pressure. The catalyst thus prepared contained 25.2% Co, 2.8% Fe, 4.4% Th and 67.6% SiO₂.

The following examples illustrate the relationship of the H₂/CO consumption 110 ratio to the nature of the synthesis catalyst:—

A	В	U	υ.
500	500	600	600
75	75	75	75
1.15	1.15	1.15	2.04
60	54	83	97
93	85	45	51
1.79	1.81	0.62	1.08
180	187	215	174
66	42	77	_

The catalytic mixture was prepared as 65 *These figures represent the percentage follows:—
The pyrites component was prepared by of CO which reacts and are calculated on an output basis as fellows. The output impregnating 99 parts of sintered iron pyrites ash with 1 part of KCl in the form of an aqueous solution. The mixed cobaltgases are weighed and converted to the 5 corresponding weight, of CO; likewise the carbon and the liquid products are weighed and converted to the correspondiron catalyst compound was prepared by mulling the nitrates of cobalt, thorium ing weights of OO. The sum of these two converted weights which may be called "q" will represent the total weight of CO converted. If "q" is divided by the sum of "p+q" where "p" is the weight of unconverted CO, the percentage and iron with silica hydrogel followed by drying and heating to decompose the nitrates. On a reduced basis, this component consisted of 25.2% Co, 2.8% Fe, 4.4% Th, and 67.6% SiO₂. The dried and heated product last-named was then mixed in a ball mill with the first-named conversion based on output is obtained. 15 The percentage H₂ conversion based on output is calculated in an analogous pyrites ash and after mechanical mixing, the resultant powder was pilled and reduced with hydrogen at 700° F. for 4 manner, except of course that the carbon need not be taken into consideration.
**Expressed as percentage by weight of
20 the liquid product boiling up to 400° F. hours. The final catalyst contained about 51.4% Fe, 0.5% KCl, 12.6% Co, 1.4% Th, 33.8% SiO₂.

The catalyst thus prepared was tested In the above table, catalyst A has the following composition: 25.2% Co, 2.8% Fe, 4.4% Th, and 67.6% SiO₂. It is prein a fixed bed laboratory unit under synthesis conditions including a temperature pared similarly as the catalyst described of 500° F., 75 psig. pressure and a 2/1 25 in Example I. H₂/CO feed. Temperature, F. Catalyst B is a conventional cobalt catalyst, consisting of 30% Co, 1% ThO₄, 3% MgO and 66% SiO₂, promoted with 2% Na (as Na₂CO₃, based on Co). 500 75Pressure, psig. Feed gas ratio, H₂/CO -1.9^{-} Feed rate, V/V/Hr. Co conversion, % output H. conversion, % output 100Catalyst C is an iron catalyst supported 99.8on an active carbon carrier. It contains 14.9% iron and is promoted with 0.5% 96H₂/CO consumption ratio 1.81K_aCO_a based on the total amount of the $C_4 + \text{ yeild, } cc/m^3 \quad H_2 + CO$ catalyst carrier. consumed Catalyst D is a reduced resintered iron pyrites ash catalyst, promoted with K₂CO₃.

The above data clearly indicate the From the above table, it may be seen 100 that the two catalyst components in the reactor are proportioned so that practihigh H2 conversions, relatively low CO cally complete conversion of the synthesis gas constituents is obtained, thus making feasible a once-through synthesis opera- 105 tion. In general the H₂/CO consumption 40 conversions and high consumption ratios obtained with cobalt and mixed Co-Fe catalyst precipitated on a silica gel carratio in the synthesis zone may be adjusted to be substantially the same as rier. The data also show that at the moderate pressures employed, the conventional cobalt catalyst produces a product of low olefinicity. Hence, though the consump-tion ratio is high in both the Co and the Co—Fe catalyst, the former is not partithe H₂/CO ratio in the feed gases by adjusting the ratio of iron catalyst to sup- 110 ported catalyst so that the higher the ${
m H_2/CO}$ ratio in the feed gases the higher the weight ratio of cobalt-iron supported cularly suitable for the production of 50 motor fuel in accordance with the pre-sent invention. It will be noted that in hydrocarbon synthesis is carried out under once-through conditions with high the case of catalysts A and Br-hydrogen conversions were high and CO conversion conversions of H₂ and CO with mixtures low. On the other hand, in the case of 55 catalysts C and D, hydrogen conversions of catalysts possessing individually not only the characteristics of eliminating 120 exygen primarily as CO or H₂O, but also were low but CO conversions high:

EXAMPLE III. To illustrate the high hydrogen and high carbon monoxide conversion levels 60 obtainable by operating in accordance with the invention, a catalyst was prepared consisting of about 50% of a KOl-promoted iron pyrites ash and 50% of a mixed cobalt-iron-thoria silica catalyst.

selectivity unsaturated products.
While the foregoing description and exemplary operation have served to illus- 125 trate specific applications and results of the invention, other modifications obvious to those skilled in the art are within its

the characteristic of producing with high

95

What we claim is:-

1. An improved catalyst for the synthesis of normally liquid hydrocarbons of high olefinic content by the conversion of 5 carbon monoxide and hydrogen which comprises a physical mixture of an alkali metal salt promoted iron catalyst and a finely divided support, said support carrying as active component a mixture 10 of cobalt and iron promoted with a minor amount of thoria.

2. A catalyst according to Claim 1 wherein said finely divided support is

silica gel.
3. A catalyst according to Claim 2, wherein said iron plus cobalt comprises 5 to 35% of the total weight of the silica gel-supported portion of the catalyst mixture.

4. A catalyst according to Claim 2, wherein the silica gel-supported portion of the catalyst mixture comprises substantially 2 to 25% by weight of iron, 5 to 30% by weight of cobalt, 1 to 5% of 25 thorium, and 60 to 89% by weight of siles gel.

5. A catalyst according to Claim 2 wherein the ratio of cobalt to iron on said support is in the approximate range of

30 from 10 to 1 to 1 to 6.
6. A catalyst according to Claim 2, wherein the silica gel-supported portion of the catalyst mixture consists of substantially 25.2% cobalt, 2.8% iron, 4.4% 35 thorium, and 67.6% silica by weight.

7. A catalyst according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the iron catalyst portion of said mixture is sup-

ported on active carbon.

8. A catalyst according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the iron catalyst component of said mixture is reduced pyrites ash.

9. A catalyst mixture according to
45 Claim 2, consisting of substantially
51.4% Fe, 0.5% KCl, 12.6% Co, 1.4%
Th and 33.8% SiO_s.

10. An improved process for converting CO and H, to normally liquid hydrocar-50 bons of high clefin content whereby high conversion levels of CO and H2 are attained which comprises contacting a

feed gas comprising CO and H2 in synthesis proportions at synthesis conditions with a dense tubulent fluidized mass of 55 finely divided synthesis catalyst as claimed in any one of the preceding claims.

11. A process according to Claim 10, wherein said synthesis conditions include 60 pressures in the approximate range of 50-500 psig, and temperatures in the approximate range of 450°-650° F.

12. A process according to Claim 10 or 11, wherein the H2/CO consumption ratio 65 in the synthesis zone is adjusted to be substantially the same as the $m H_z/CO$ ratio in the feed gases, by adjusting the ratio of iron catalyst to supported cobalt/iron catalyst, so that the higher the H₂/CO 70 ratio in the feed gases the higher the weight ratio of cobalt-iron supported catalyst to iron catalyst.

13. An improved low pressure once-through process for preparing high yields 75 of valuble olefinic hydrocarbons from synthesis gas containing appreciable quantities of nitrogen which comprises passing CO and H₂ in synthesis proportions diluted with nitrogen into a hydrocarbon 80 synthesis reaction zone, contacting said gaseous mixture with a dense tubulent mass of finely divided synthesis catalyst consisting of a mixture of an alkali metal salt promoted iron catalyst and a silica 85 gel support, said support carrying, as active components, a mixture of iron and cobalt promoted with minor amounts of thoria, maintaining a pressure of about 50-100 psig. and a temperature of about 90 450°-650° F. within said zone, and withdrawing a product containing high yields of liquid olefinic hydrocarbon product.

14. A process according to Claim 13, 95 wherein substantially all fines entrained from said fluidized catalyst bed are withdrawn overhead from said reaction zone along with the reaction products.

> \mathbf{D} , YOUNG & CO. 29, Southampton Buildings Chancery Lane, London, W.O.2, Agents for the Applicants.

Learnington Spa: Printed for His Majesty's Stationery Office, by the Courier Press .- 1952. Published at The Patent Office, 25, Southampton Buildings, London, W.C.2, from which copies may be obtained.